1	SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY				
	LAW DIVISION - BERGEN COUNTY				
2	EIN DIVIDION BENGEN COONII				
3					
4					
	IN RE: CASE NO. 293				
5	DePUY ASR HIP MASTER DOCKET				
	IMPLANTS NO.				
6	LITIGATION BER-L-3971-11				
7					
8					
9	Thursday, November 21, 2013				
10					
11					
12	Transcript of the case management				
13	conference in the above-captioned matter, held at				
14	BERGEN COUNTY COURTHOUSE, 10 Main Street, Hackensack,				
15	New Jersey, commencing at approximately 11:07 a.m.,				
16	before Rosemary Locklear, a Registered Professional				
17	Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, Certified				
18	Court Reporter (NJ License No. 30XI00171000), and				
19	Notary Public.				
20					
21					
22					
23	BEFORE: HON. BRIAN R. MARTINOTTI				
24	GOLKOW TECHNOLOGIES, INC.				
	877.370.3377   971.591.5672 Fax				
25	deps@golkow.com				

```
1
          APPEARANCES FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:
 2
 3
          DAVID R. BUCHANAN, ESQUIRE
          SEEGER WEISS, L.L.P.
 4
          DANIEL R. LAPINSKI, ESQUIRE
 5
          WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER, P.A.
 6
          JOSHUA S. KINCANNON, ESQUIRE
          KEEFE BARTELS
 7
          ELLEN RELKIN, ESQUIRE
 8
          WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
 9
          ESTHER BEREZOFSKY, ESQUIRE
          WILLIAMS CUKER BEREZOFSKY, L.L.C.
10
          GREGG J. BORRI, ESQUIRE
11
          GREGG J. BORRI LAW OFFICES
12
          RICHARD D. MEADOW, ESOUIRE
          PAUL CORDELLA, ESQUIRE (via telephone)
13
          THE LANIER LAW FIRM
14
         MATTHEW R. MENDELSOHN, ESQUIRE
          MAZIE SLATER KATZ & FREEMAN
15
          SCOTT A. HARFORD, ESQUIRE
16
          LYNCH DASKAL EMERY, L.L.P.
17
          DAVID EISBROUCH, ESQUIRE
          BALKIN & EISBROUCH, L.L.C.
18
          TODD MUHLSTOCK, ESQUIRE
19
          SANDERS VIENER & GROSSMAN, L.L.P.
20
          MICHAEL COREN, ESQUIRE (via telephone)
          COHEN PLACITELLA & ROTH, P.C.
21
          DAVID SIROTKIN, ESQUIRE (via telephone)
22
          MORELLI ALTERS RATNER, P.C.
23
          MATTHEW TAYLOR, ESQUIRE (via telephone)
          STARK & STARK
24
          TODD OSHMAN, ESQUIRE (via telephone)
25
          OSHMAN & MIRASOLA, L.L.P.
```

```
APPEARANCES FOR THE PLAINTIFFS: (Continued)
 1
 2
 3
          SEAN JEZ, ESQUIRE (via telephone)
          FLEMING NOLAN JEZ, L.L.P.
 4
          ILYAS SAYEG, ESQUIRE (via telephone)
 5
          MAGLIO CHRISTOPHER & TOALE
 6
          JOHN CONNELLY, JR., ESQUIRE (via telephone)
          DRAZIN & WARSHAW, P.C.
 7
          RANDI KASSAN, ESQUIRE (via telephone)
          THE SANDERS FIRM
 8
 9
         MINDY SHEPETIN, ESQUIRE (via telephone)
          SHAPIRO & STERNLIEB, L.L.C.
10
          DAVID LUSTBADER, ESQUIRE (via telephone)
11
          LUSTBADER LAW
12
          ABE BOHRER, ESQUIRE (via telephone)
          BOHRER & LUKEMAN
13
          JAMES DOBIS, ESQUIRE (via telephone)
14
          DOBIS RUSSELL & PETERSON, P.C.
15
          PAUL DA COSTA, ESQUIRE (via telephone)
          EICHEN CRUTCHLOW ZASLOW & McELROW, L.L.C.
16
17
          APPEARANCES FOR THE DEFENDANTS:
18
19
          SUSAN SHARKO, ESQUIRE
20
          ZOHA BARKESHLI, ESQUIRE
          DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH, L.L.P.
21
22
    ALSO PRESENT:
23
             DAVID VOREACOS, Bloomberg News
24
             KIBRET MARKOS, The Bergen Record
25
```

- 1 THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. You
- 2 may be seated.
- 3 (Discussion off the record.)
- 4 THE COURT: Counsel on the phone, can you
- 5 hear me?
- 6 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes, your Honor, I
- 7 can hear you.
- 8 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. We are on
- 9 the record in court.
- Good morning, Counsel. Your appearances
- 11 for the record, please.
- MR. BUCHANAN: David Buchanan, Seeger
- Weiss.
- MR. LAPINSKI: Good morning, your Honor.
- 15 Daniel Lapinski from the Wilentz firm.
- MR. KINCANNON: Good morning, your Honor.
- Josh Kincannon, Keefe Bartels.
- MS. RELKIN: Good morning. Ellen Relkin
- 19 from Weitz & Luxenberg.
- THE COURT: Anyone else?
- MS. BEREZOFSKY: Esther Berezofsky,
- 22 Williams Cuker Berezofsky.
- MR. MEADOW: Hi, Judge.
- THE COURT: No other appearances?
- MR. MEADOW: Rick Meadow, Lanier Law Firm.

- 1 MR. EISBROUCH: David Eisbrouch, Balkin &
- 2 Eisbrouch.
- MR. HARFORD: Scott Harford, Lynch Daskal
- 4 Emery.
- 5 MR. MUHLSTOCK: Todd Muhlstock, Sanders
- 6 Viener & Grossman.
- 7 MR. BORRI: Gregg Borri from Borri Law
- 8 Firm.
- 9 MR. MENDELSOHN: Matthew Mendelsohn, Mazie
- 10 Slater Katz & Freeman.
- 11 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Susan -- for David
- 12 Lustbader.
- THE COURT: Okay. Wait. We're just doing
- 14 counsel in chambers. In court. I'm sorry.
- 15 MS. SHARKO: I think there's two more
- 16 people in the back, your Honor.
- 17 THE COURT: They are just observing.
- MR. VOREACOS: David Voreacos, with
- 19 Bloomberg News.
- THE COURT: Thank you. Welcome, sir.
- MR. MARKOS: Kibret Markos, from The
- 22 Bergen Record.
- THE COURT: Thank you. Welcome.
- MS. SHARKO: Thank you.
- Susan Sharko, S-H-A-R-K-O, from Drinker

- 1 Biddle, for the defense, and my colleague, Zoha,
- 2 Z-O-H-A, Barkeshli, B-A-R-K-E-S-H-L-I, also an
- 3 attorney from Drinker Biddle, for the defense.
- 4 THE COURT: Okay.
- 5 Counsel appearing telephonically, rather
- 6 than go through the very daunting task of having you
- 7 put your appearances on the record verbally, I'm
- 8 going to ask that you E-mail plaintiffs' liaison
- 9 committee your appearance, that you appear
- telephonically, and it will be noted in the record
- 11 that you did appear telephonically.
- 12 Is that okay, Counsel?
- MR. LAPINSKI: Yes, your Honor.
- 14 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
- 15 That has been our protocol in the past. I
- 16 apologize if it hasn't made it into any of these
- 17 Orders.
- Just for the record, this is In Re. DePuy
- 19 ASR Hip Implant Litigation, Case Number 293, Master
- 20 Docket Number L-3971-11.
- 21 This matter was assigned to this Court
- 22 pursuant to the Supreme Court's Order of April 12th,
- 23 2011, ordering then centralized management, now
- 24 multi-county litigation, of this matter, which was
- 25 thereinafter referred to as DePuy.

- 1 The initial case management conference in
- this matter was conducted on May 10th, 2011.
- 3 Counsel, welcome back to New Jersey. It
- 4 was truly an honor and a privilege to preside, and I
- 5 put that in quotes since it was done via Skype and
- 6 telephonically with Judge Katz and various state
- 7 court judges at this past hearing this Tuesday.
- 8 As always, the coordination and
- 9 cooperation with Judge Katz and other state court
- judges is paramount, in this Court's opinion, and has
- 11 worked effectively not only in this litigation but in
- 12 also the other litigations that I have in management.
- We certainly owe Judge Katz a great deal
- of gratitude for working day and night with
- 15 plaintiffs' and defendants' leadership and liaison
- 16 committees in brokering the settlement. Likewise, I
- would again like to extend thanks to the leadership
- and liaison counsel for their cooperation with each
- other, the Court and their diligent efforts in
- 20 bringing to fruition this settlement.
- Just for the record, this settlement was
- 22 not done in haste. The lawyers representing the
- 23 plaintiffs worked very hard to get to this point.
- 24 There was extensive discovery conducted, including
- 25 many depositions and the review of millions of pages

- 1 of documents.
- 2 Lawyers in New Jersey had one case that
- was trial ready, and after many fact and expert
- 4 witness depositions, that bellwether case ultimately
- 5 resolved.
- Furthermore, there were another two cases
- 7 that were scheduled for trial this upcoming January.
- 8 After extensive briefing and over DePuy's objections,
- 9 this Court entered an Order, rendered a decision that
- those cases were to be tried jointly.
- With respect to the settlement, I
- 12 personally think it's creative and a unique method to
- 13 resolve this litigation. It has a structure that's
- 14 flexible to accommodate the many variables that could
- 15 exist for plaintiffs.
- There is no doubt in my mind that the
- settlement is well conceived, and I urge the
- 18 claimants to consider participation in this program.
- 19 Again, I urge that no lawyer or client make any snap
- judgments about the settlement until they have a
- 21 chance to fully read the settlement and understand
- 22 the settlement program.
- In that regard, I direct all parties,
- 24 claimants and counsel to the official Website, which
- is www.usasrhipsettlement.com. That's

- 1 www.usasrhipsettlement.com, only one A.
- 2 The claims administrator's -- did I do it
- 3 wrong?
- 4 MR. KINCANNON: You're okay.
- 5 THE COURT: Oh, okay.
- The claims administrator's phone number is
- 7 877-391-3169.
- 8 The Court has become aware that there are
- 9 several rogue, if you will, Websites out there. This
- 10 is the only official Website. Please be wary of
- other Websites and, again, I direct your attention to
- 12 the official Website.
- Most unique about the settlement, in my
- opinion, is the lien section of the settlement. I've
- 15 never seen anything like this in any prior
- 16 settlements. It's a benefit to the plaintiffs and
- 17 claimants because the payment is made outside of the
- 18 settlement. In other words, the payment will not be
- 19 deducted from the proceeds.
- Specifically, there will not be any
- 21 attorney fee for the lien section of the settlement,
- which would usually be imposed in a conventional
- 23 settlement.
- That being said, again, I commend counsel,
- I thank counsel for their hard work, their diligent

- 1 efforts in bringing this settlement to fruition, and
- 2 I will hear from plaintiffs' counsel.
- MR. BUCHANAN: Thank you, your Honor.
- I guess it was two and a half years ago,
- 5 your Honor, that you appointed plaintiffs' leadership
- 6 in New Jersey, myself, Mr. Lapinski and also Mr.
- 7 Kincannon and his firm. And, like all cases, this
- 8 has been a winding road, and cases take different
- 9 paths.
- From the very beginning, with leadership
- in other jurisdictions -- and I just want to spend a
- moment, frankly, on behalf of New Jersey leadership
- to acknowledge and thank and provide appreciation to
- 14 the strong leadership we received from the MDL from
- 15 the very beginning.
- And it became very clear that this would
- 17 not be a litigation with islands of litigation in New
- 18 Jersey, the MDL, California, Illinois without
- 19 connections between the islands. And there was very
- 20 strong leadership at the outset on the plaintiffs'
- 21 side at the lawyer level, there was cooperation from
- the defense and ultimately very strong leadership and
- 23 cooperation among the judiciary that I think led a
- very successful, coordinated litigation that brings
- us to this point today.

- 1 And so on behalf of plaintiffs'
- leadership, we're appreciative to the Court and thank
- you for the guidance and leadership in that regard.
- 4 We're greatly appreciative of the leadership in the
- 5 MDL, Miss Relkin, Mr. Skikos; the leadership in
- 6 California, Mr. Kelly, Mr. Devine, Mr. Seeger; also
- 7 in Illinois, the Flowers firm. And there were other
- 8 coordinated pockets.
- And, ultimately, the islands that may have
- 10 existed for a period of time were bridged and
- 11 ultimately joined and cooperated and proceeded I
- 12 think quite successfully to this point in time.
- 13 That happens sometimes; it happens rarely
- 14 as well as it happened here. Absent that, there can
- be significant chaos, and I'd say the chaos in this
- litigation, to the extent it existed, it was minimal
- and, frankly, quickly forgotten.
- 18 So with that, your Honor, we'd like to
- overview some of the particular elements of the
- 20 settlement. I know it's not news anymore. It was
- 21 discussed, obviously, a few days ago. But it had
- 22 come to the final minutes, I think, on Tuesday
- afternoon. Mr. Lapinski will provide a brief
- overview, but Miss Sharko can give a broader overview
- of really how we got here in terms of the extensive

- 1 discovery that's been undertaken and the enormous
- time spent on both sides of the V developing a case
- 3 that allowed people to be informed and present an
- 4 opportunity for people to consider.
- 5 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 6 MS. SHARKO: Thank you.
- 7 So how did we get here? We have produced
- 8 approximately 77 million pages of documents, we had
- 9 60 depositions of company and third-party witnesses,
- which were conducted over 105 days, resulting in over
- 11 38,000 pages of transcript. That's more than 1,000
- 12 hours of deposition time. We tried two cases to
- 13 verdict. We had other cases set to go for trial,
- 14 fully prepared, and among all the preparation that
- was done for those cases we had over 50 days of
- 16 expert depositions.
- And so, again, as I said on Tuesday when
- the settlement was announced to your Honor and to the
- other judges, on behalf of the men and women of DePuy
- 20 and our legal team and with thanks to Ms. Relkin and
- 21 Mr. Skikos and Mr. Lapinski and their legal team, we
- were pleased to report that the parties reached
- 23 agreement on a private settlement program for
- 24 patients who are U.S. residents and citizens who had
- 25 the ASR hip implanted in the United States and had a

- 1 surgery to replace the ASR hip before August 31,
- 2 2013.
- As was alluded to, this was a very long,
- 4 hard road, it was a hard-fought negotiation over many
- 5 months with lawyers from around the country
- 6 representing thousands of ASR patients, representing
- 7 them aggressively and with vigor.
- 8 We were still negotiating and drafting the
- 9 Agreement until we walked into the courthouse the
- 10 afternoon we announced the private settlement in
- 11 Toledo.
- The settlement is valued at approximately
- \$2.475 billion, assuming that approximately 8,000
- 14 patients participate.
- We believe -- and we greatly appreciate
- 16 your Honor's remarks -- that this is a program which
- is good for patients, which helps bring finality to
- the litigation and takes us in a new direction.
- 19 There's detailed information about the
- 20 program at the claims processor Website, and that
- 21 will be updated regularly.
- There are eligibility requirements for the
- program, which we discussed in Toledo and which are
- on the Website. Basically, you have to be a U.S.
- 25 citizen or resident, have been implanted with the ASR

- 1 XL Acetabular Hip System or resurfacing system in a
- 2 surgery that took place in the United States or in a
- 3 United States military hospital and had the ASR
- 4 removed for reasons related to the recall on or
- 5 before August 31, 2013, after having been in place
- 6 for more than 180 days.
- 7 If you do not already have a lawyer, you
- 8 don't need to go out and hire one nor do you need a
- 9 lawsuit to participate in the program. The U.S.
- 10 program will resolve the claims of unrepresented
- 11 people on a comparable basis to represented people
- but you don't save any money by going without a
- lawyer here.
- And I would urge people to beware of the
- 15 aggressive advertising that's going on now as a
- 16 result of this announcement on the Internet and not
- to change lawyers just because of some ad or some
- 18 conduct which is worse than advertising.
- 19 Claims forms and registration packets will
- 20 be available soon. The U.S. program is structured in
- 21 two parts: Part A, under the first part of the
- 22 program, patients who are qualified to participate
- will receive one base award of \$250,000, subject to
- 24 potential reductions. And that award includes a
- 25 component for attorneys' fees.

- 1 Under Part B, the U.S. program will
- 2 provide supplemental awards to patients who can
- demonstrate that they have extraordinary injuries
- 4 related to the removal of the ASR, for example,
- 5 people who have had multiple surgeries following
- 6 their ASR surgery, called re-revisions, people who
- 7 had extraordinary medical events during revision
- 8 surgery, such as heart attack or stroke and other
- 9 things, which will be on a matrix which will be
- developed by the plaintiffs' lawyers.
- 11 Qualifying for a base award in Part A does
- 12 not automatically entitle a patient to a supplemental
- award in Part B. Medical records must be produced to
- 14 support all claims.
- In addition to these benefits, DePuy will
- 16 be responsible for the negotiation and resolution of
- 17 certain liens by qualified lienholders for medical
- 18 care directly associated with revision surgery and
- 19 for certain other treatment.
- This is a private resolution, it's not a
- 21 class action. It does not require approval by the
- 22 Courts. And this is henceforth the only settlement
- 23 program. This is the only program available for
- 24 patients who have been revised as of August 31, 2013.
- There's at lot more detail, terms and

- 1 conditions in the final settlement agreement that was
- 2 executed by the parties.
- We are most grateful to your Honor for
- 4 your careful and fair management of this large
- 5 litigation. You played a role in it, you guided us,
- 6 you were patient with us and, most of all, we
- 7 appreciate your confidence in us, in all of us, in
- 8 giving us the time and space we needed for these
- 9 negotiations.
- We hope that you will now give U.S.
- 11 patients and their lawyers around the country the
- time and space they need to carefully consider the
- benefits of this important program so they can make
- 14 an informed decision on participation.
- Each eligible patient must have the right
- and the ability to consider the benefits of this
- program and to receive accurate and objective
- information about it, not rumors, speculation or
- 19 misleading information.
- As for people who are not eligible for the
- 21 program because they have not been revised, the
- 22 Broadspire program is available to them.
- It's important to note that this product
- 24 continues to perform well in some patients, and the
- decision whether to be revised is a medical decision,

- 1 not a legal decision. It should be made by patients
- 2 and their surgeons.
- We all, the plaintiffs and the defense,
- 4 have worked hard on this program. We've fought and
- 5 wrestled over many issues, but we now come together
- 6 with a common goal of ensuring that each eligible
- 7 patient has the opportunity to evaluate the program
- 8 objectively, in good faith, and to reap the benefits
- 9 of it.
- Thank you.
- MR. LAPINSKI: Your Honor, thank you very
- 12 much.
- Mr. Buchanan has already expressed his
- 14 thanks to the Court but I also wanted to extend our
- thanks to you, our thanks to the MDL as well, Ellen
- 16 Relkin and Mr. Steve Skikos, for allowing the New
- 17 Jersey litigation to coordinate and participate in
- the MDL and, in particular, allowing New Jersey
- 19 lawyers and New Jersey law firms to play an active
- 20 role in everything that was going on in the MDL. So
- 21 I just want to express that thanks.
- A couple of points that I wanted to bring
- up. In regard to the settlement, as Ms. Sharko
- indicated, this settlement will not cover all cases
- 25 and all claims that are currently pending.

- 1 There will be unrevised patients who have
- 2 claims that are pending, there will be people who
- 3 underwent revision surgery after August 31st of 2013.
- 4 Their claims continue to be pending.
- 5 It's important to note that their rights
- 6 are not impacted by this settlement and they continue
- 7 to maintain all of their rights. And, in addition,
- 8 both within the MDL and within the State of New
- 9 Jersey, counsel will continue to work on behalf of
- 10 those patients with respect to those claims.
- The \$2.475 billion settlement number that
- was mentioned by Ms. Sharko is an estimated number
- based upon an estimate of approximately 8,000
- enrollees into the settlement program, and it should
- 15 be noted that that 8,000 number is not a fixed
- 16 number. If there are more enrollees in a program,
- there is a ratio program in place that will allow for
- 18 additional funding and, as Ms. Sharko noted, in
- 19 addition to that money, there is money in order to
- 20 resolve liens outside of that particular segment of
- 21 funds.
- The base award amount of \$250,000 is the
- 23 Part A of the program. There are a couple of factors
- that can influence that \$250,000 claim. Some of
- 25 those factors include how long the ASR device was

- 1 implanted, whether the patient smoked during the time
- of the revision surgery, whether the ASR hip
- 3 replacement surgery was used as part of a revision
- 4 surgery, person's body mass index will also impact
- 5 the \$250,000 number as will the age of the patient at
- 6 the time the ASR was implanted.
- 7 There will be a supplemental award that
- 8 people will be eligible for as well. The
- 9 supplemental award will be determined by a chief
- 10 special master who's been appointed.
- There's been three special masters and
- 12 also a chief special master who's been appointed:
- 13 Judge Corodemus, a retired judge from the State of
- 14 New Jersey; Retired Judge John Trotter from
- 15 California; and Cathy Yanni, who's a nationally
- 16 recognized mediator. The chief claims administrator
- is Judge John McMonigle from Ohio, who sat on the
- bench for over 20 years in Ohio. And they'll oversee
- 19 the entire claims process, including Parts A and Part
- 20 B.
- You mentioned the Website earlier, your
- Honor. There's going to be documents, hopefully as
- soon as tomorrow, that will be posted and it will be
- 24 a fluid Website. There will continually be updates
- and documents that will be posted on there.

- 1 There will be a couple different
- 2 requirements that we're going to have of counsel and
- of patients who are going to be enrolling in the
- 4 program. First of all, the MDL Court is in the
- 5 process of considering a registration order, which
- 6 would be a request out to all counsel and all
- 7 patients that the names of all individuals who are
- 8 ASR recipients, whether revised or unrevised,
- 9 register so that we're able to keep track of the
- 10 number of individuals who may be eligible for this
- 11 program.
- In addition to that, there will be a
- 13 requirement that, whether the case is filed or
- unfiled, those individuals register, and in addition
- to that, during the registration process the
- 16 appointment of a primary attorney, a single attorney
- who would be the primary contact for that individual
- 18 so that information can be shared with that
- 19 individual through an attorney if that person is
- 20 represented.
- Your Honor, as you're aware, the MDL
- 22 entered an Order on November 18th appointing a
- 23 settlement oversight committee. We would ask that
- your Honor give the same consideration to that Order
- so that the settlement oversight committee has the

- ability to oversee the cases that are filed in New
- 2 Jersey as well.
- 3 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 4 MR. LAPINSKI: Lastly, your Honor, just a
- 5 couple of deadlines that pertain.
- 6 As of right now, the deadline for
- 7 enrollment in the program is set as April 1st of
- 8 2014.
- 9 Defendants do have a right to be able to
- 10 make a decision based upon the number of enrollees in
- 11 the program to walk away or opt out of the
- settlement, and to the extent that they're going to
- do so, that would have to be done by June 1st of
- 14 2014. They do also have the ability prior to June
- 15 1st of 2014 to decide that there won't be any need to
- 16 do that.
- 17 That's some of the deadlines that we're
- 18 currently dealing with.
- Thank you, your Honor.
- THE COURT: Thank you.
- MS. SHARKO: So we have two Orders we
- 22 would like to present to your Honor. I will talk
- about one, Ms. Relkin will talk about the other.
- The Order I will present not today,
- because I don't have it, the parties are still

- 1 working on it but I hope we are close to finishing
- 2 it, is the registration order. Mr. Lapinski
- 3 described that.
- It's really a two-step process:
- 5 Registration and enrollment. Registration is not
- 6 accepting the program, it's just registering. You
- 7 then have to fill out a claim form and formally
- 8 enroll.
- 9 So we hope to get you the registration
- order certainly by the end of the week for review and
- 11 consideration, and it will be the same registration
- order as we have in the MDL, as we will present to
- 13 the other coordinated judges.
- MS. RELKIN: If I may approach the bench
- 15 with the Order.
- 16 THE COURT: Sure.
- MS. RELKIN: I've just presented you with
- proposed Case Management Order Number 26, which
- 19 embodies the Order appointing the settlement
- 20 oversight committee.
- I should note that, consistent with what
- everyone else has said in court today, it represents
- 23 a diverse group of the various jurisdictions who have
- worked cooperatively. It includes 22 lawyers who
- 25 played a key role in the negotiation, drafting of the

- 1 settlement, which was a long and arduous process, and
- will be involved in the administration of the
- 3 settlement, especially the Part B aspect of the
- 4 settlement.
- 5 So it includes 22 lawyers from New Jersey,
- 6 from the MDL, from California, and from Illinois.
- 7 And Judge Katz is entering this Order as well, and we
- 8 ask that you do so in addition.
- 9 Thank you.
- 10 THE COURT: I have reviewed the same and I
- 11 will execute the Order.
- 12 Counsel?
- MR. BUCHANAN: I think, your Honor, at
- 14 this point, as Ms. Sharko urged, more information is
- 15 going to be public in a few days.
- 16 Counsel and their clients need to consider
- 17 and evaluate the program. It's a significant
- program, one that we in leadership support and urge
- 19 people to give serious consideration to and make
- 20 those determinations on full information rather than
- 21 based on preliminary reports.
- 22 And we welcome the opportunity for that
- information to get out very specifically in the form
- of available information on the Website and specific
- communication from the SOC committee that your Honor

- 1 has separately authorized.
- MR. BORRI: Your Honor, Greg Borri.
- Might I just ask a question of counsel on
- 4 the collective end of the Court?
- Just when is the Settlement Agreement that
- 6 was signed going to be published and when will these
- 7 matrices that were referred to be finalized and
- 8 published, just so we get an idea of what the time
- 9 line is?
- MS. SHARKO: In all due course. We've all
- 11 been working around the clock for as far back as I
- 12 can remember.
- 13 THE COURT: I can echo that, in working
- 14 with Judge Katz, that counsel have been diligently
- working on all Agreements and I know they will use
- 16 their best efforts to get the Settlement Agreement
- executed and published as soon as practical.
- MS. RELKIN: Just consistent with that,
- 19 there's the Settlement Agreement, which will be made
- 20 available soon.
- THE COURT: Right.
- MS. RELKIN: There's a separate document
- that's being prepared called the informed consent
- 24 document, which is being drafted now. It's very
- detailed because the settlement is detailed, it's

- inherently complex, because it has the revision of
- 2 all the different scenarios of many thousands of
- 3 people who have had the revisions.
- 4 So the informed consent document is being
- 5 drafted, it will be sent to and made available to
- 6 every lawyer to make available to their clients and
- 7 that they must present to their clients which lays
- 8 out all the details of the settlement. And we're
- 9 just trying to write it in an intelligible way
- 10 because it's so complicated, and we're working on it
- 11 night and day.
- MR. BORRI: Thank you.
- 13 THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
- Just by way of housekeeping, I'm going to
- 15 enter -- I've signed the oversight order today. I'm
- 16 going to enter an Order, Case Management Order Number
- 17 27, which will, one, on my own motion stay this
- 18 litigation until June 15th, 2014; two, note the
- official Website in the Order, this Order will be
- 20 posted on New Jersey's Website, so if anybody goes to
- our Website, they'll be able to see a link to the
- official Website; three, schedule this matter for
- another case management conference on January 29th,
- 24 2014, at 11:00 a.m.
- As I was going through my notes, I

```
realized that we had our joint conference with Judge
 1
 2
    Katz back in June of 2011. It feels like it was
    yesterday that Judge Katz came to New Jersey and
 3
 4
    presided over a joint conference but it was over two
 5
    years ago, which is remarkable.
 6
                That being said, Ms. Sharko, anything
 7
    further?
 8
                MS. SHARKO: No.
 9
                Once again, thank you very much, your
10
    Honor, for your leadership and your guidance in
    working with us on this program.
11
12
                THE COURT: Okay.
13
                Counsel?
14
                MR. BUCHANAN: No, your Honor.
15
                Thank you.
16
                THE COURT: Okay. We are adjourned.
17
                (Whereupon the conference concluded at
18
    11:35 a.m.)
19
                     TESTIMONY CLOSED
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	
4	I HEREBY CERTIFY that the witness was duly
5	sworn by me and that the deposition is a true record
6	of the conference in the above matter.
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
	ROSEMARY LOCKLEAR
13	REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL REPORTER
	CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER (NJ)
14	30XI00171000
	CERTIFIED REALTIME REPORTER
15	NOTARY PUBLIC
	Dated: 11/21/2013
16	
17	
18	
19	(The foregoing certification of this
20	transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the
21	same by any means, unless under the direct control
22	and/or supervision of the certifying reporter.)
23	
24	
25	

1			LAWYER'S NOTES
2	PAGE	LINE	
3			
4			
5			·
6			·
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			