

# EXHIBIT G

KING & FERLAUTO, LLP  
8 WHATNEY AVENUE, SUITE 101  
IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92618  
PHONE (949) 334-8650  
FACSIMILE (949) 334-8691

Thomas M. Ferlauto, SBN 155503  
Attorneys For: Plaintiff Mark Musin

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION

In re APPLE iPhone 4 PRODUCTS  
LIABILITY LITIGATION

) Master File No. 5:10-md-02188-RMW

)

) CLASS ACTION

)

This Document Relates To:

)

) SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF

) THOMAS M. FERLAUTO ON BEHALF OF

) KING & FERLAUTO, LLP IN SUPPORT OF

) AN AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AND

) EXPENSES TO NON-LEAD COUNSEL

)

) DATE: n/a

) TIME: n/a

) CTRM: The Honorable Ronald M. Whyte

ALL ACTIONS.

I, THOMAS M. FERLAUTO, declare as follows:

1. I am a member of the firm of KING & FERLAUTO, LLP. I am submitting this declaration in support of my firm's application for an award of attorneys' fees and expenses in connection with services rendered in the above-entitled action, pursuant to the Court's order of August 10, 2012, and in supplement to the Court's Order dated September 13, 2012.

2. The firm is counsel of record for plaintiff MARK MUSIN. The *Musin* action was initially filed in Santa Clara County, California State Superior Court, Case No. 1-10-CV-177126 and coordinated with the other State Court actions pending in Santa Clara County. Our firm was not appointed lead counsel in this consolidated MDL. I have not ever been paid or reimbursed any fees or expenses in this case as of the filing of this document.

KING & FERLAUTO, LLP  
 8 WHATNEY AVENUE, SUITE 101  
 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92618  
 PHONE (949) 334-8650  
 FACSIMILE (949) 334-8691

3. The identification and background of my firm and its partners is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

4. **Attached hereto as Exhibit B is a true and accurate itemization of the fees and costs incurred by KING & FERLAUTO, LLP in this action.** The following information regarding the firm's time and expenses is taken from time and expense printouts prepared and maintained by my firm in the ordinary course of business. I am the attorney who oversaw and/or conducted the day-to-day activities in the litigation and who reviewed these printouts (and backup documentation where necessary or appropriate). The purpose of these reviews was to confirm both the accuracy of the entries on the printouts as well as the necessity for and reasonableness of the time and expenses committed to the litigation. As a result of these reviews, reductions were made to both time and expenses either in the exercise of billing judgment or to conform to the firm's guidelines and policies regarding certain expenses such as charges for hotels, meals, and transportation. As a result of these reviews and adjustments, I believe that the time reflected in the firm's lodestar calculation and the expenses for which payment is sought are reasonable in amount and were necessary for the effective and efficient prosecution and resolution of the litigation.

5. The total number of hours spent on this litigation by my firm is 23.5. The total lodestar amount for attorney/paraprofessional time based on the firm's current rates is \$9,400.00. The hourly rates shown below are the usual and customary rates charged for each individual. A breakdown of the lodestar is as follows:

NAME	HOURS	RATE	LODESTAR
Thomas M. Ferlauto, Partner	23.5 hours	\$400.00	\$9,400.00

**This includes 14 hours (\$5,600 lodestar) incurred before the appointment of lead counsel on January 14, 2011, as includes, inter alia, my firm's investigation of the case and the claims, as well as drafting the complaint.**

**The remainder of the time incurred in this action, i.e., 9.5 hours (\$3,800 lodestar) involve time entries incurred in keeping informed of the progress of this action, coordination of my case with the lead case, updates from the State Court appointed liaison**

counsel, and includes reviewing orders or notices from the court, reviewing communications with lead counsel, accomplishing assignments from lead counsel, and reviewing joint filings.

6. My firm seeks an award of \$1,139.45 in expenses which were reasonably and necessarily committed to the prosecution of the litigation. They are broken down as follows:

***EXPENSES***

From Inception to May 21, 2012

DATE	DESCRIPTION	TOTAL
7/16/10	Filing Fee	\$1,084.50
7/23/10	Service Fee	\$54.95

7. The expenses pertaining to this case are reflected in the books and records of this firm. These books and records are prepared from expense vouchers, check records and other documents and are an accurate record of the expenses.

8. I submit that the time and expense submission included in this Declaration were incurred in this litigation. I request that the Court award my firm \$9,400 in attorneys' fees and \$1,139.45 in expenses reimbursement for a total of \$10,539.45.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 17th day of September, 2012, at Irvine in Orange County, California.

KING & FERLAUTO, LLP

By: 

Thomas M. Ferlauto  
Attorneys For: MARK MUSIN

EXHIBIT A



ORANGE COUNTY OFFICE  
8 WHATNEY AVENUE, SUITE 101  
IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92618  
PHONE: 949-334-8650  
FACSIMILE: 949-334-8691

THE LAW OFFICES OF  
**KING & FERLAUTO, LLP**  
1880 CENTURY PARK EAST, SUITE 820  
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067  
PHONE: 310-552-3366  
FACSIMILE: 310-552-3289  
WWW.KINGFERLAUTO.COM

THOMAS M. FERLAUTO  
ATTORNEY AT LAW  
DIRECT DIAL  
310-788-1930  
E-MAIL  
TMF@KINGFERLAUTO.COM

August 21, 2012

### The Firm

King & Ferlauto, LLP is a litigation law firm in Century City, Los Angeles, California that specializes in providing its select clientele with the best representation possible -- understanding that the best result from a lawsuit is often avoiding the lawsuit in the first place. However, when the gauntlet is dropped, King & Ferlauto, LLP is a powerful advocate ready to zealously fight the most powerful individuals and the richest corporations. The firm is consistently successful in litigation, negotiation, trial and appeals. The firm's areas of practice have ranged from employment, entertainment, insurance, real estate to consumer class actions against Fortune 500 companies.

### History

This law firm was formed in 1997 by William T. King and Thomas M. Ferlauto to provide unparalleled legal services to its clients and to the community. Between them, they have over 70 years of legal experience.

*WILLIAM T. KING*, born Detroit, Michigan, February 3, 1933; admitted to bar, 1958, California and U.S. District Court, Central District of California; 1964, U.S. Supreme Court; 1970, U.S. Tax Court. Education: The Principia College (B.A., cum laude, 1954); Harvard University (J.D., 1957). Listed: Who's Who in America, Bicentennial Edition, 1976; Who's Who in American Law, 1st Edition, 1978. President, Board of Traffic Commissioners of Los Angeles, 1973-1975. Member, Board of Commissioners of the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, 1975-1976. Member, California Advisory Commission to U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, 1969-1970. Research Associate, Institute of Government and Public Affairs U.C.L.A., 1969-1970. Trustee, Constitutional Rights foundation, 1970-. Counsel: Directors' Guild Foundation. Member: Beverly Hills and Los Angeles County Bar Associations (Member: Executive Committee, Probate and Trust Section, 1966-1971; Family Law Section); The State Bar of California (Member, Family Law Section); California Trial Lawyers Association; Consumer Attorneys Association of Los Angeles. Fellow, American College of Probate Counsel. PRACTICE AREAS: Estate Planning; Probate; Trusts; Conservatorships; Family Law; Business Law; Real Estate; Corporate; Partnership; Civil Litigation.

*THOMAS M. FERLAUTO*, born Long Beach, California, July 1, 1966; admitted to bar, 1991, California and U.S. District Court, Northern, Southern, Eastern and Central Districts of California; U.S. District Court, District of Arizona. Education: University of Texas at Austin (B.S., with honors, 1987; J.D., 1990). Member: AAJ: American Association for Justice PRACTICE AREAS: State and Federal Litigation; Consumer Class Action Litigation; Business Law; anti-SLAPP (Strategic Lawsuits Against Public Participation); Defamation; Employment Law; Insurance; Real Estate; Intellectual Property; Entertainment.

## KING & FERLAUTO, LLP

Firm CV

August 21, 2012

Page 2 of 3

---

Mr. Ferlauto is currently representing a wide range of clients (including doctors, lawyers, interior designers, construction companies, patients, employees, policy holders, etc.) in a wide variety of civil litigation. He has also successfully represented consumers in class actions, including:

- *Bancroft vs. Apple Computer, Inc.*, LASC Case No. BC 267266
- *Vargas v. Tenet Healthcare Corporation*, LASC Case No. BC 291 303, Tenet Healthcare Cases II, JCCP Case No. 4289
- *Uy v. Ralphs Groceries Company*, LASC Case No. BC 306411 and *Seibel v. Ralphs Groceries Company*, LASC Case No. BC 324957, The Great Escape Promotion Cases, JCCP 4343
- *Finestone v. Menu Foods*, USDC Case No. CV 07-02338 CAS(CW) Pet Foods Product Liability Litigation, MDL Docket No. 1850
- *Martiez v. RC2 Corporation*, USDC Case No. CV 07-05401 AHM (FMOx) In Re RC2 Corp. Toy Lead Paint Products Liability Litigation, MDL Docket No. 1893

Mr. Ferlauto's prior successes include the following:

- Litigation and trial of an employment dispute for a legal secretary against a large law firm resulting in a \$478,000 recovery for the firm's client.
- Litigation and trial of a medical malpractice wrongful death action for a widow against the hospital and negligent doctors resulting in a \$625,000 recovery for the firm's client.
- Litigation and appeal concerning the terms of DIRECTV's customer agreement. This lawsuit challenged the enforceability of the arbitration provision located in DIRECTV's customer agreement which prohibited class and representative actions. In *Cohen v. DIRECTV* (2006) 142 Cal.App.4th 1442, the California Court of Appeals found the arbitration provision was unconscionable and not enforceable. The Court refused to enforce DIRECTV's arbitration provision, permitting the proposed class action to proceed.
- Litigation and negotiation of a settlement of a nationwide class action lawsuit against RALPHS GROCERY COMPANY concerning its defunct Great Escape Promotion. This lawsuit was based on Ralphs' failure to give qualifying customers a free two night hotel stay as promised under the terms of its promotion. This settlement provided a remedy to over 300,000 customers across the State of California, providing class members certificates worth \$108 in free groceries for each time they qualified under the promotion. Thus, the settlement had a potential value to consumers in excess of \$30,000,000.00.
- Litigation and negotiation of a settlement of a nationwide class action lawsuit against APPLE COMPUTER, INC. concerning its operating system Mac OS X. This lawsuit was based on Apple's failure to adequately support the video graphic acceleration hardware in Apple's Mac G3 computer systems. This settlement provided a remedy to over 80,000 computer users across the country, including a full refund of the purchase price of \$129.00 per person. Thus, the settlement had a potential value to consumers in excess of \$10,000,000.00.

KING & FERLAUTO, LLP

Firm CV

August 21, 2012

Page 3 of 3

---

- Litigation and trial of a Federal copyright lawsuit on behalf of Plaintiff, a video producer. This jury trial resulted in a verdict in favor of the Plaintiff and awarded him injunctive relief and monetary damages.
- Litigation and negotiation of a confidential settlement of a breach of contract and fraud lawsuit for a motion picture director against the producers of the motion picture NATURAL BORN KILLERS.
- Litigation and negotiation of a confidential settlement of a breach of contract, fraud and business tort lawsuit against Frank and SYLVESTER STALLONE on behalf of the producers of the motion picture THE GOOD LIFE.
- Litigation and negotiation of a confidential settlement of a high profile defamation lawsuit against self-proclaimed plastic surgeon to the stars, DR. STEVEN M. HOEFFLIN. In connection with this case, Mr. Ferlauto managed to have the Court's gag order reversed in a published decision by the California Court of Appeal (*Hurvitz v. Hoefflin* (2000) 84 Cal.App.4th 1232 , 101 Cal.Rptr.2d 558) This case is widely cited in California jurisprudence on the Court's ability to restrict the free speech of litigants.



EXHIBIT B

THE LAW OFFICES OF  
**KING & FERLAUTO**  
 A LIMITED LIABILITY PARTNERSHIP  
 1880 CENTURY PARK EAST, SUITE 820  
 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067  
 PHONE (310) 552-3366  
 FACSIMILE (310) 552-3289  
 WWW.KINGFERLAUTO.COM

September 17, 2012

AAPL

**INVOICE FOR ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS**

**File Number:** AAPL-250

**Project Name:**

**AAPL**

**Summary of Attorney Fees:**

<u>Date</u>	<u>Atty</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Billable</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Fee</u>
7/13/10	TMF	Conference with client re new case; research issues re new case; review media reports re reception problem	2.75	\$400.00	\$1,100.00
7/14/10	TMF	Prepare complaint	3.5	\$400.00	\$1,400.00
7/15/10	TMF	Prepare complaint, and summons; send S&C off for filing and service.	2.25	\$400.00	\$900.00
8/4/10	TMF	Review proof of services on Apple, forward to co-counsel	.50	\$400.00	\$200.00
8/5/10	TMF	Review stipulation to extend time for responsive pleading	.50	\$400.00	\$200.00
8/18/10	TMF	Calls and email from Moses re Apple Complaint and time to respond	.5	\$400.00	\$200.00
8/19/10	TMF	Review stipulation to extend time to respond sign and email back to MoFo; Email re filing of stipulation	.75	\$400.00	\$300.00
8/20/10	TMF	Review Notice of submissions of petition for coordination and application for Stay	.75	\$400.00	\$300.00
8/24/10	TMF	Review order staying action pending coordination proceeding	.25	\$400.00	\$100.00
9/7/10	TMF	Review notice of entry of order assigning Coordination Motion Judge	.25	\$400.00	\$100.00
9/14/10	TMF	Review notice of submission orders and motion to stay	.25	\$400.00	\$100.00
10/6/10	TMF	Review order re coordination motion judge	.25	\$400.00	\$100.00
10/8/10	TMF	Review order setting date on defendants petition for coordination; Review petition	.50	\$400.00	\$200.00
11/15/10	TMF	Email from court clerk re hearing on coordination	.25	\$400.00	\$100.00
11/19/10	TMF	Review order of the court granting unopposed motion for coordination	.25	\$400.00	\$100.00

**Invoice For Attorney Fees And Costs**

September 17, 2012

**AAPL-250****AAPL**

<u>Date</u>	<u>Atty</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Billable</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Fee</u>
11/20/10	TMF	Notice of change of assignment	.25	\$400.00	\$100.00
11/22/10	TMF	Review notice of entry of order	.25	\$400.00	\$100.00
2/3/11	TMF	Review notice of entry of order re coordination trial judge	.25	\$400.00	\$100.00
2/10/11	TMF	Email re arranging teleconference for plaintiff's counsel; Email re stipulation re Apple's response ; Review notice of Entry of Order; Email accepting stipulation	.75	\$400.00	\$300.00
2/14/11	TMF	Review emails re stipulations	.25	\$400.00	\$100.00
2/17/11	TMF	Email from Defense Counsel re Stipulation re time to respond; Review Stipulation; Sign and return Stipulation; Review Order authorizing presiding Judge to appoint Trial Judge; Review Order appointing Huber and Trial Judge	1.0	\$400.00	\$400.00
2/18/11	TMF	Review Joint Stipulation filed	.25	\$400.00	\$100.00
2/23/11	TMF	Emails between plaintiff counsel re teleconference	.25	\$400.00	\$100.00
2/24/11	TMF	Email re conference call with plaintiff's lawyers; Review signed Joint Stipulation and Order	.5	\$400.00	\$200.00
2/25/11	TMF	Conference call with lead counsel and other plaintiff's counsel re status of case; Calls to/from plaintiff's counsel re same	2.0	\$400.00	\$800.00
3/10/11	TMF	Email to/from lead counsel re plan for stipulation and discovery and settlement; Review emails by co-counsel	.75	\$400.00	\$300.00
3/11/11	TMF	Email re proposed stipulation; Review proposed stipulation; Review email re edits	.75	\$400.00	\$300.00
3/17/11	TMF	Email from lead counsel re stipulation; Review stipulation, sign, and return	.50	\$400.00	\$200.00
3/18/11	TMF	Review emails re stipulation	.25	\$400.00	\$100.00
3/22/11	TMF	Review emails re stipulation	.25	\$400.00	\$100.00
3/25/11	TMF	Review proposed order filed with court	.25	\$400.00	\$100.00
3/28/11	TMF	Review notice of entry of order from court	.25	\$400.00	\$100.00
3/29/11	TMF	Review stipulation and case management order filed with court	.25	\$400.00	\$100.00
6/21/11	TMF	Emails to/from lead counsel re settlement negotiations in MDL action	.25	\$400.00	\$100.00
6/24/11	TMF	Emails to/from lead counsel re settlement status	.25	\$400.00	\$100.00
8/22/11	TMF	Review notice of withdrawal	.25	\$400.00	\$100.00
9/19/11	TMF	Review order granting conditional certification for settlement	.25	\$400.00	\$100.00

**Invoice For Attorney Fees And Costs**

September 17, 2012

AAPL-250

AAPL

<u>Date</u>	<u>Atty</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Billable</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Fee</u>
Total Amount of Billable Time: 23.50					
Sub-Total of Attorney Fees for File:					\$9,400.00

**Summary of Costs:**

<u>Date</u>	<u>Cost</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Charge</u>	<u>Cost</u>
7/16/10		Filing fee and Attorney Service	1084.50	\$1.00	\$1,084.50
7/23/10		Service fee	54.95	\$1.00	\$54.95
Total Amount of Amount: 1139.45					
Sub-Total of Costs for File:					\$1,139.45

<u>Summary of Staff Involvement</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>	<u>Total Fee</u>
William T. King	0	\$0.00
Thomas M. Ferlauto	23.5	\$9,400.00
Associate	0	\$0.00
Paralegal	0	\$0.00
Sub-Total of Attorney Fees and Costs for File:		\$10,539.45
Invoice Total:		\$10,539.45

I, Thomas M. Ferlauto, certify that I am the handling attorney on the matter invoiced above. I have reviewed this invoice and all charges submitted are reasonable, accurate and necessary.

 9/17/12  
Thomas M. Ferlauto