

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO**

-----X  
IN RE HEPARIN PRODUCTS  
LIABILITY LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MDL Docket No. 1953

JUDGE JAMES G. CARR

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

Acierno v. Baxter Healthcare Corp., *et al.* (1:09-hc-60203);  
Baj v. Baxter Healthcare Corp., *et al.* (1:11-hc-60007);  
Barron v. Baxter Healthcare Corp., *et al.* (1:10-hc-60120);  
Heacox v. Baxter Healthcare Corp., *et al.* (1:11-hc-60045);  
Hines v. Baxter Healthcare Corp., *et al.* (1:09-hc-60087);  
Levitt v. Baxter Healthcare Corp., *et al.* (1:10-hc-60121);  
Lowry v. Baxter Healthcare Corp., *et al.* (1:09-hc-60144);  
Maddux v. Baxter Healthcare Corp., *et al.* (1:10-hc-60053);  
Martinez v. Baxter Healthcare Corp., *et al.* (1:11-hc-60046);  
Phillips v. Baxter Healthcare Corp., *et al.* (1:10-hc-60052);  
Powell v. Baxter Healthcare Corp., *et al.* (1:10-hc-60122);  
Robbins v. Baxter Healthcare Corp., *et al.* (1:10-hc-60008);  
Sarver v. Baxter Healthcare Corp., *et al.* (1:11-hc-60022);  
Shelby v. Baxter Healthcare Corp., *et al.* (1:10-hc-60003);  
Slomkowski v. Baxter Healthcare Corp., *et al.* (1:10-hc-60050);  
Terry v. Baxter Healthcare Corp., *et al.* (1:10-hc-60151);  
Upton v. Baxter Healthcare Corp., *et al.* (1:10-hc-60137);  
Wallach v. Baxter Healthcare Corp., *et al.* (1:10-hc-60014).  
Cruz v. Baxter Healthcare Corp., *et al.* (1:08-hc-60017);  
Neighbors v. Baxter Healthcare Corp., *et al.* (1:10-hc-60183);  
Pini v. Baxter Healthcare Corp., *et al.* (1:11-hc-60034);  
Eisenhour v. Baxter Healthcare Corp., *et al.* (1:12-hc-60005);  
Dimartino v. Baxter Healthcare Corp., *et al.* (1:10-hc-60142);  
Eastmond v. Baxter Healthcare Corp., *et al.* (1:10-hc-60153);  
King v. Baxter Healthcare Corp., *et al.* (1:09-hc-60173);  
Thompson v. Baxter Healthcare Corp., *et al.* (1:10-hc-60136);  
Daoudi v. Baxter Healthcare Corp., *et al.* (2010-L-2665); and  
Kirkland v. Baxter Healthcare Corp., *et al.* (2010-L-2620).

Douglas & London, counsel for Plaintiffs, and counsel for Defendants have submitted the Aggregate Settlement Agreement pertaining to 28 Heparin clients.

The Court, having given due consideration to the terms of the Aggregate Settlement, the submissions of the parties in support of approval of the Settlement, and the findings and recommendations of the Hon. David A. Katz, now finds that the Settlement is fair, reasonable and entered into in good faith.

**ACCORDINGLY, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Aggregate Settlement is hereby approved.

s/James G. Carr

---

Hon. James G. Carr  
United States District Judge