IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

- - -

IN RE: : MDL-07-1871

:

: :

AVANDIA MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

: CIVIL NUMBER 14-1701

GLAXOSMITHKLINE, LLC,

V

Plaintiff,

:

:

GIRARDI KEESE, : Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

: April 23, 2014

Defendant. : 3:03 p.m.

_ _ .

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING
BEFORE THE HONORABLE CYNTHIA M. RUFE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

- - -

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiffs DIANNE M. NAST, ESQUIRE

Advisory Committee: NastLaw, LLC

1101 Market Street

Suite 2801

Philadelphia, PA 19107

Transcribers Limited
17 Rickland Drive
Sewell, NJ 08080
856-589-6100 - 856-589-9005

APPEARANCES: (continued)

For the Plaintiffs' JOSEPH ZONIES, ESQUIRE Advisory Committee: Reilly Pozner, LLP

1900 Sixteenth Street

Suite 1700

Denver, CO 80202

VANCE R. ANDRUS, ESQUIRE Andrus Wagstaff, PC 7171 West Alaska Drive Suite 200 Lakewood, CO 80226

BRYAN F. AYLSTOCK, ESQUIRE Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC 17 East Main Street Suite 200 Pensacola, FL 32502

STEVEN J. CORR, ESQUIRE Jones Day 555 South Flower Street Fiftieth Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071

For the Plaintiff, GlaxoSmithKline:

NINA M. GUSSACK, ESQUIRE
MARIA E. MILLER, ESQUIRE
KENNETH H. ZUCKER, ESQUIRE
Pepper Hamilton, LLP
3000 Two Logan Square
18th and Arch Streets
Philadelphia, PA 19103-2799

GEORGE A. LEHNER, ESQUIRE
Pepper Hamilton, LLP
Hamilton Square
600 Fourteenth Street, NW
Washington, DC 20005-2004

For the Defendant, Girardi/Keese:

THOMAS V. GIRARDI, ESQUIRE Girardi/Keese 1126 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90017 Audio Operator: Erica Pratt

Transcribed by: Donna Anders

- -

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by computer-aided transcription service.

- - -

(The following was heard in open court at 3:03 1 p.m.) 2 3 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 4 ALL: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Please be seated, everyone. I know almost everyone in the courtroom. You must 6 7 be Mr. Girardi. 8 MR. GIRARDI: I'm Tom Girardi, yes, Your 9 Honor. 10 THE COURT: Hello. I saw you on the screen last, and you look a little different here -- much 11 12 tanner here in person. 13 MR. GIRARDI: Yes, Your Honor. There's a lot of pressure in this courtroom, so you get a little tan. 14 15 THE COURT: Is that what it is? Well, I hope that today we alleviate all pressure and get this 16 17 resolved, I hope. On behalf of yourself, Mr. Girardi, 18 is anyone else with you? MR. GIRARDI: No, Your Honor. 19 20 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. You may be seated. And on behalf of GSK? 21 22 MS. GUSSACK: Yes, Your Honor. Nina Gussack 23 for GSK, and sitting with us at counsel table I think 24 you know Mr. Lehner and Mr. Zucker and Maria Miller is with us, Your Honor. 25

THE COURT: Hello. I don't get to see you too often, Ms. Miller.

MS. MILLER: Good afternoon.

THE COURT: Nice to see you.

And we have also present Plaintiffs' Advisory

Committee representatives and the liaison counsel for
the Avandia MDL, right?

MR. CORR: Yes, Your Honor.

MS. NAST: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. So we entered the Rule to Show Cause because of the correspondence that was received by the Court and the difficulty that this Court was having in seeing that what we had directed was being done in an orderly and professional manner.

And I say that because of what I read in the letters, and I have to ask you, Mr. Girardi, to address this. Can you please explain to me what's going on, sir. Tell me how we can resolve it without me finding anybody in contempt, and at the same time preserving a settlement that you at one time thought was good for your clients.

MR. GIRARDI: Okay.

THE COURT: And that is a priority to me, because there are many, many plaintiffs involved in your settlement.

MR. GIRARDI: Your Honor, that's true. So let me start from the idea that we did not follow the Court's arbitration orders and so forth. The day that you submitted those orders, we immediately went to work. And, Your Honor, I'm telling you this under penalty of perjury, it's just not -- just a lawyer's statement -- under penalty of perjury.

THE COURT: You are proceeding as an officer of the Court. If you noticed, I didn't make you swear yourself in. We didn't swear you in. We're treating you like the professional that I pray that you are.

MR. GIRARDI: All right, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GIRARDI: I've done this for 48 and a half years, and I've never been accused of violating any Court orders and I didn't violate any Court order here and let me just explain this.

As soon as you issued the order that we have to arbitrate this case, we immediately went out and got a list of arbitrators who are well known all over the country dealing with large firms and large cases. We eliminated everyone from JAMS because counsel said they wouldn't accept anyone from JAMS. So then -- then it took us --

THE COURT: I know full well -- we're not going back over old history.

MR. GIRARDI: Well, Your Honor --

THE COURT: This is what happened between the time you were on that screen in my courtroom and from the time I got those letters that you were penning --

MR. GIRARDI: All right. Your Honor --

THE COURT: -- to your adversary. I'm not rewriting history, I don't have the time and neither do you.

MR. GIRARDI: Then as soon as you issued that order, we submitted the arbitrators just like you wanted us to. They rejected those. They sent us some, we accepted Layn Phillips, Judge Layn Phillips. Terrific. We talked to his office every day as did they. They set the first arbitration hearing for Sunday, April 27th, just to let you know that we were totally on top of making sure that this gets done.

Then we got a call from his administrative assistant saying the law firm represents the defendant. So I said well, this would be a terrible position to put Judge Phillips in. On the other hand, we want to resolve this. We'll go forward with the --

THE COURT: Well, Judge Phillips withdrew, didn't he?

MR. GIRARDI: I'm sorry, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Judge Phillips withdrew, didn't

he?

MR. GIRARDI: Your Honor, yes. However, we said we want to get this resolved. We will use this date that you've already selected; namely, Sunday, the 27th, to be a mediation. Then he said, I have these other arbitrators who would be great. I said, great, give it to them, give it to us. We immediately selected one of those or more and sent it off to Judge Phillips, and that's where we ended.

So in terms of following what you wanted to do as arbitrating all of this stuff, we were happy to do so, and we did so. So that's what happened. Then the only reason Judge Phillips couldn't do it was because he -- his firm represented the defendant. And we sent a very nice letter saying, gosh, this wouldn't be good for you, it would be terrible. So let's get somebody else. That's what we did. We did everything I think that you told us to do without a doubt.

THE COURT: And how did you do it? That's what this is about, isn't it?

MR. GIRARDI: I beg your pardon?

THE COURT: In part -- and in large part, it's how did you do it?

MR. GIRARDI: Well, I know we couldn't have 1 done any better than selecting the person they 2 3 suggested. We couldn't do any better than saying if he 4 doesn't work, then let's get somebody else. THE COURT: He can't work. He withdrew. 5 Не withdrew and that's on him --6 7 MR. GIRARDI: Well --8 THE COURT: -- because he did that after you sent him the letter that you sent. 9 10 MR. GIRARDI: Right. I sent him a letter saying obviously -- Your Honor, if you were a lawyer, 11 12 could you have a mediator who represents the defendant 13 being the mediator -- I mean, or being the -- the arbitrator? 14 THE COURT: Well, I want you --15 16 MR. GIRARDI: I don't think so. 17 THE COURT: -- to not say two things at once, 18 Because even so, even when you knew that, you still wanted to get this over with, you just said, so 19 20 you proceeded to say, let's keep the date, let's -- you know, I'll overlook it. I think that's what I'm hearing 21 you say. But apparently that's not the way it went, and 22 23 that's fine. That's not why you're here. I'm --24 MR. GIRARDI: I don't know why --

THE COURT: -- I'm looking at letters that I

25

think are very unprofessional and possibly will lead you to disciplinary action if we don't get this cleared up.

I may have an obligation to do that. I don't want to do that, not to you, not to any attorney. But this kind of behavior would doom --

MR. GIRARDI: Your Honor --

THE COURT: -- any mediation, Judge Phillips or not. It doesn't matter who it is. It's going to be doomed, Mr. Girardi, if you continue to write letters like this and say things that are represented here.

MR. GIRARDI: Well, Your Honor, I'd like to tell the Court some underlying facts. I think the Court's referring to a fact that I said, "Let the fraudulent conduct continue." There's one.

THE COURT: Well, that's a big fact.

MR. GIRARDI: All right.

THE COURT: And --

MR. GIRARDI: Your Honor, here's -- can --

THE COURT: -- I'm not giving you permission to do that because I'm looking at your conduct right now, nobody else's.

MR. GIRARDI: Your Honor --

THE COURT: And I will look at somebody else's conduct when I see the grounds. But can you please tell me what you've been doing with Justice Trotter since you

appeared before me and my order was entered March 26th?
What has been going on with him?

MR. GIRARDI: Well, there was the settlement conference where we settled this case with Justice Trotter being present. It was all agreed to.

THE COURT: When?

MR. GIRARDI: This was months ago, three months ago. It was done, it was over with.

There are three parts of this case. Number one, there are a whole group of plaintiffs that they agreed in writing they owe this money. That was a year ago. Number two, there was group two of plaintiffs that they agreed this is the money that they're entitled to. And then there was a third group of which there's contestability. It was contested as to onset of injury, the type of injury. That's fine. These are all agreements.

We then had a settlement conference with them, Justice Trotter was present, in which we settled everything. We agreed when they would start paying the people that they owed here, the people they owed here, and we agreed on the number that is over here. It was all done.

I sent letters out. These clients had been waiting for two years since the settlement to get some

money. "My dear pals: I know you don't believe me, but the thing is finally resolved."

Then after that we hear from them saying, oh, no, we don't have a settlement. And I should point out to the Court that there was authority to settle this, according to counsel because on this third group, we were attempting to get another 20 percent over the offer to cover costs, and they said no. The only authority we have is this. This is the extent of the authority. That's over with.

THE COURT: That doesn't sound like it was a settlement.

MR. GIRARDI: Oh, no. Then --

THE COURT: It doesn't sound like a complete settlement if there are terms that haven't been resolved.

MR. GIRARDI: No. No, no. Then I agreed. Then, fine, we'd get over the 20 percent, we agree with whatever they say. And to prove to you that that was the case, we've now entered into a written settlement agreement, the terms of which are identical penny for penny as the terms that we did two months ago.

Now, then, I --

THE COURT: Now, where is that settlement agreement? Where is it?

MR. GIRARDI: They have a copy of it. We 1 signed it. 2 3 THE COURT: When did you sign it? MR. GIRARDI: A couple days ago. They have 4 it. 5 THE COURT: Did you have any assistance 6 7 through a mediator to do that? MR. GIRARDI: No. Because this was the same 8 settlement agreement that they had agreed to pay about 9 10 two or three months ago, word for word. THE COURT: Okay. Before you go any further, 11 12 may I see such a document if it exists? 13 MS. GUSSACK: Your Honor, I'm going to hand up what I believe Mr. Girardi is referring to which is an 14 agreement that has his signature on it, and this is a 15 confidential --16 17 THE COURT: We'll keep it under seal as it is confidential. 18 MS. GUSSACK: Thank you, Your Honor. 19 20 THE COURT: Any objection to that, Mr. 21 Girardi? 22 MR. GIRARDI: No, Your Honor. That's the 23 agreement I signed. 24 THE COURT: So this is a letter agreement, and 25 without looking at the particular terms, it consists of

a number of pages, that is six pages, but a seventh page

is an additional signatory page, and it bears Judge --2 3 Judge Trotter was involved with this. He signed this 4 along with you, Mr. Girardi, on the 21st, and Providio MediSolutions, what is that? 5 MR. GIRARDI: That's just the Medicare liens. 6 7 THE COURT: So this was to resolve liens? 8 MR. GIRARDI: No, no, resolve the whole ball game, everything. 9 10 THE COURT: But the liens had to be resolved 11 at the same time? 12 MR. GIRARDI: And they're resolved. 13 THE COURT: Yes. That lien resolution has held up quite a few completed settlements in the MDL --14 MR. GIRARDI: Your Honor --15 THE COURT: -- because it is the lien. 16 17 MR. GIRARDI: -- I'm sorry, Judge, I didn't 18 mean --19 THE COURT: It's all right. 20 MR. GIRARDI: -- Your Honor, I didn't mean to 21 speak over you. 22 THE COURT: But I am looking for GSK's 23 signature. 24 MS. GUSSACK: Your Honor, we have not signed it for reasons that I can describe when Mr. Girardi's 25

completed. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. GIRARDI: They -- they sent it to us. 4 This is the same agreement that we entered into three months ago, word for word. 5 THE COURT: Well, whether it's the same or not 6 7 is not my issue. What I wanted to know was what were 8 you talking about? Now, I have a copy of the latest 9 agreement, not any other agreement. But this is a total 10 agreement that was sent to you by Ms. Gussack on behalf of her client, that I have. 11 12 MR. GIRARDI: More --13 THE COURT: And you did sign it? This is your signature? 14 15 MR. GIRARDI: Yes. THE COURT: And Judge Trotter signed it on the 16 17 21st of April, right? 18 MR. GIRARDI: Yes. 19 THE COURT: Okay. 20 MR. GIRARDI: More importantly, funds are due 21 within ten days of that -- of my signature, and then the

> or May -- towards the third week in May. THE COURT: Justice Trotter was your lien resolution administrator, right?

total funds are due within 20 days after that, I think,

22

23

24

25

MR. GIRARDI: He discussed the matter with the 1 company that we paid about \$500,000 to to --2 3 THE COURT: Yes. But he was the formal lien 4 resolution administrator through Robert Marcino, 5 Esquire --MR. GIRARDI: No. 6 7 THE COURT: -- representing Providio? 8 MR. GIRARDI: No, Your Honor. He was --THE COURT: That's what it says here. 9 10 MR. GIRARDI: -- he was appointed by the California Court to assist us in the resolution of the 11 case and to assist the clients in the resolution of the 12 13 case, he and Justice Panelli. (Pause in proceedings.) 14 THE COURT: "Within ten days following full 15 execution of this memorandum of understanding, GSK will 16 17 make a second payment." The first payment had already been made. 18 the second payment is within ten days following a full 19 20 execution. Do you consider this fully executed yet? 21 MS. GUSSACK: No, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: And I think it is important for 23 this Court to clarify the role of Justice Trotter. You 24 say he was appointed by the Court, Mr. Girardi? MR. GIRARDI: No, Your Honor. Let me -- let 25

me back up.

MR. GIRARDI: In these cases wherein there are numerous plaintiffs, we are of the view, and the California State Bar agreed with me, that there should be some outside source to be able to deal with certain economic issues of the various clients, the class that they belong, et cetera, et cetera, to take --

THE COURT: I don't see that here, but --

THE COURT: We're familiar with that type -
MR. GIRARDI: -- to take the lawyer out of the

mix.

THE COURT: -- of activity.

MR. GIRARDI: So we then asked for Judge
Edward Panelli, formerly of the California Supreme
Court; Justice John Trotter, formerly Presiding Justice
of the Court of Appeals, to have that role. They were
disclosed to the Judge in Los Angeles who's handled this
case for the last five years. He's only handled it for
three. Before him was a Judge who then became the
Presiding Judge, so then it went over to Judge Elihu
Berle, and various clients communicated with Justice
Panelli and Justice Trotter.

Justice Trotter was also involved in the resolution of the case that we had with defense counsel in which an agreement was made that the -- the matter is

now settled.

We then sent letters to our clients. At long last -- they've been -- this initial settlement agreement was in April of 2012. So finally these people are going to get some money. We sent them an agreement, we sent them a letter saying, I know you won't believe me, but at long last, we finally have a resolution of this case.

Then it was after that time that we hear, no, we don't have a resolution of the case. Then we were sent this document prepared by them, not us. We haven't changed one sentence of it. They wanted to have signatures back immediately, and we signed it, sent it back immediately, including the lien resolution portion.

Then, Your Honor, just to make sure these people with heart attacks and strokes finally got some dough, we even agreed to be personally responsible for the liens, to make sure we held the defendant and the attorneys out, no matter what lien claims there were, because we couldn't stand these people not getting any money any longer. So we put ourselves on the line to do that, to do the right thing for these clients.

THE COURT: All right. I'm going to direct you, Mr. Girardi, that when I address an issue, I want you to stick to the issue, okay? Because the primary

issue before me is the unprofessional conduct that I am seeing revealed through the letters and the correspondence that you've sent to a number of people, and I do not think that I need to have to ask you to explain that. The words speak for themselves.

And how it could be that in the middle of trying to negotiate a full and final and fair settlement on these liens which is the issue -- the liens held it up -- it is beyond me that you could produce such invective to your adversaries who are, like you are supposed to be, professionals trying to reach the same goal.

And it's very, very disconcerting to this

Court. I don't see where -- I don't see how anything
you've told me so far or anything you've put in your
declaration really gives you any cause to treat anyone
that way let alone your professional adversaries.

MR. GIRARDI: How about this? They promised me the thing is settled. They promised me these people are going to get some money and that it was a joke --

THE COURT: How about you sit down and calm down now, because I don't go for histrionics. Okay?

And we'll all get along a lot better and I won't have to call the marshals.

There is nothing before me that says they

didn't make good or weren't trying to make good on their promise. How many people do you have to have mediate this? So if you have a different idea of how to negotiate, that's one thing. But when you're dealing with professionals in between you and your adversaries, things have got to be a lot cleaner than this, and it's not acceptable --

MR. GIRARDI: Your Honor --

THE COURT: -- simply not acceptable.

MR. GIRARDI: -- Your Honor, how about this?

They --

THE COURT: No, there's no how about this.

MR. GIRARDI: -- well, if they agreed to a --

THE COURT: There's no how about this until I

ask you.

Ms. Gussack, I need a timeline again. I know that you've outlined a number of issues here, in terms of these letters which I find appalling, but give me an outline of what happened with these mediators and where the glitches are from GSK's perspective. I know Mr. Girardi thinks that you're refusing to pay him money. I see things that have to get done in every single settlement, and all of those are with large groups of inventory settlements.

Aggregate settlements produce these problems.

Lien administrators of various names are all involved in these aggregate settlements. If they're not, there's always problems. But even when they are, there could be differences of opinion. What's happening here from GSK's perspective?

MS. GUSSACK: Thank you, Your Honor.

First, let me say that it is with great regret that we are even imposing on the Court's time for this discussion and conflict, because I think Your Honor's aware that we have not had this problem in the resolution of any other matter.

And, frankly, while Mr. Girardi has a few years on me personally, I can tell you that sitting at counsel table, even excusing Ms. Miller's young tender years at the Bar, there's a hundred years of lawyer experience and none of us have had an occasion to be accused of conduct that was unprofessional, and that's why we felt it was important to ask the Court's assistance in getting this back on track. And I think, Your Honor, if I can give you just a little bit of chronology, I think we may well be there, and I hope to avert any further proceedings.

Mr. Girardi is correct, we reached an agreement in 2012. He had a year to qualify his claimants. He, at the end of a year, had not qualified

even 50 percent of them. He asked for an extension to continue the proceedings.

We granted that extension. There were a number of terms of the agreement that were not yet met. But in any event, we continued to, as Your Honor is well aware is our practice, to continue to try to find some common ground so that we could resolve the matters.

Mr. Girardi is also correct that several months ago in an effort to conclude after much negotiation and disagreement about various terms, we reached an agreement on all terms. Present at that meeting were Justices Trotter and Panelli. Justice Trotter and Justice Panelli are JAMS mediators that Mr. Girardi is using as settlement allocators. They have not been appointed by the Court. They are retained by him.

In any event, they have been constructive, and we, after our meeting in which we believed, as Mr. Girardi has said, we reached an agreement on terms, created a document and presented it to Justice Trotter for his confirmation that we had met the terms. Justice Trotter had a few tweaks to the document. We implemented those modifications and sent it back, and we were greeted by Mr. Girardi rejecting the agreement as not meeting the -- what he believed was our discussion.

And after that, we came to the Court seeking the Court's assistance in implementing the Master Settlement Agreement term for arbitration. And then I think Your Honor is aware that we believe our attempts to arbitrate were frustrated by some, I think, perhaps intemperate letter to Judge Phillips who then recused himself from wanting to either arbitrate or mediate.

In the interim after we wrote our letter of April 16 to Your Honor seeking your assistance in getting us back to an arbitration process, Justice Trotter surfaced and offered the following: If he would take full responsibility for implementation of the agreement that we reached several months ago, he would ensure -- and if he were to obtain Mr. Girardi's -- upon the signature on the agreement, that he would take responsibility for implementing, that he was agreeable to having this Court continue its supervision over the agreement and that we could go forward.

And on that representation, we again submitted formal documents -- this time to Justice Trotter, saying this represents our understanding of the deal. Mr. Girardi signed it very quickly as did the lien resolution entity that he has retained, as did Justice Trotter. It was returned to me with the caveat that Mr. Girardi would only agree to this settlement -- these

settlement terms if he were relieved of the obligation to appear today.

I explained to Justice Trotter as did Mr.

Lehner this was not our hearing. This hearing was the authority of the Court, and we could not control that.

Nonetheless, we were eager to proceed with this settlement and get back on track. So that is why we have not signed because we were not aware whether Mr.

Girardi was confirming his assent to the terms that are in the document before Your Honor.

If Your Honor is prepared to maintain supervision of the matter, ensure that Justice Trotter will, in fact, be responsible for implementation, we can go forward and finalize these terms.

THE COURT: So you have always -- when you sent this, it was with the hope that it would conclude the TRO and injunctive relief and motion that you had filed earlier, which we had a hearing on, and that things would proceed this way and that arbitration would continue to be the go to remedy for further disagreements?

MS. GUSSACK: Well, let me -- there's an arbitration provision in this agreement, but the expectation was that the Court's jurisdiction for venting threats of litigation in other State Courts

would continue while this agreement was implemented, that with Justice Trotter's good efforts that it would be implemented as described in this agreement, and that hopefully there would be no need for arbitration because we now have clarity about the terms, and that Mr. Girardi had consented to these terms. And if we have that representation, Your Honor, we can proceed.

But as I said to Justice Trotter, I understand Mr. Girardi's interest in obviating the need to appear in Court and to address these issues, and I would happily have reached an agreement, but it was simply not within our authority, and we communicated with the Court to ask the Court's preference here as -- as Your Honor's aware, but we understood that --

THE COURT: Of course, we also became concerned about the diatribe coming from Mr. Girardi. I am still appalled, but let's put that aside for just a minute. But I am going to come back to it, Mr. Girardi.

Are you affirming your agreement on this memorandum of understanding that you signed along with your mediator -- not your mediator, excuse me -- your administrator, Judge Trotter?

MR. GIRARDI: I --

THE COURT: I don't know if he's a Judge or a Justice, so I don't want to address him incorrectly --

MR. GIRARDI: He's a Justice. 1 THE COURT: -- but he works for JAMS now. 2 3 He's retired, whatever he is. MR. GIRARDI: Right. No. I -- just like the 4 5 agreement we had three months ago, I affirmed it, too. I affirm this. 6 7 THE COURT: But you have to follow through on 8 You have to follow through on it. And if this is now the result of the post-hearing ongoing efforts to 9 10 continue to finalize all terms and all understandings, 11 if it's committed to this document, are you affirming 12 this or not? 13 MR. GIRARDI: Of course. 14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 MR. GIRARDI: I signed it. THE COURT: You signed it, yes. Okay. 16 Is 17 that what you're looking for? 18 MR. ZUCKER: Your Honor, if I may? 19 THE COURT: You may. 20 MR. ZUCKER: Just -- and not to prolong these issues, just so the Court is aware. This document had 21 22 been presented for signature by the Girardi firm before 23 we ever sought the Court's intervention to compel 24 arbitration, and so this was then signed. It was an 25 earlier draft as you can see. It's not on our

letterhead. It didn't -- it wasn't dated, but we reiterated our interest in having this document be the material terms of the agreement.

THE COURT: But it's addressed to Tom Girardi.

MR. ZUCKER: Yes, it was. It was in that same
format months ago. I mean, the first version of this
was -- was sent to Mr. Girardi and Justice Trotter back
in February actually.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. ZUCKER: There were some minor modifications over time. This that the Court is looking at had been sent prior to the time we first appeared before this Court.

It refers to a couple exhibits. I had sent those exhibits to the Girardi firm and to Justice

Trotter a couple days ago when they signed this just asking them to confirm that the exhibits are acceptable. I don't think there's any reason why they wouldn't be.

I just haven't yet gotten that confirmation.

So I don't -- I think we can proceed with the expectation there are a couple ministerial points to be nailed down relative to concluding the signed document, but with Mr. Girardi's signature on this document and with the assurance that Justice Trotter will be overseeing and taking responsibility for implementation

of the terms of this agreement, we are perfectly agreeable to proceeding on the terms as outlined in the document.

THE COURT: All right. Is there a signature page for Ms. Gussack then?

MR. ZUCKER: There is. I'll also mention just -- there is one place in here where there is a typo where the word "not" is missing. It's plain what the intention is. I don't anticipate any -- it was something that I, as I was reviewing again the other day, I saw there's a word "not" that's missing. Again, I don't anticipate that that's going to be a problem at all, but, yes, there's -- it was set up to be a letter sent by Ms. Gussack to be then countersigned by the other folks. And they countersigned it before -- before she signed it, that is.

THE COURT: All right. I know that this document has a memo sheet attached to the back of it that it was communicated from JAMS through a Trisha Lunsford on that same date that it shows to be signed, April 21st, 2014, at 6:29 p.m., sent to George Lehner and cc'd to Nina Gussack and Ken Zucker. So we see that it's a fully executed letter, needs Nina's signature and to be dated and with the typo corrected.

MR. ZUCKER: And the exhibits -- and the

exhibits added, that's correct, Your Honor. 1 THE COURT: Okay. Well, you're free to 2 3 finalize this, but Mr. Girardi has affirmed his 4 agreement, have you not? MR. GIRARDI: Yes, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: And it seems to me that -- where 6 7 is that typo, by the way, so I can just --8 MR. ZUCKER: Yes, just a second. THE COURT: I'm not going to write on this 9 10 document because it's not appropriate, but I just want to read it for myself. 11 12 MR. ZUCKER: Yes. I can. It's paragraph ten, 13 which is the indemnification provision, and one, two, three, four, five, the sixth line down under Romanette 14 15 iv. It says, "Any claims by participating 16 17 claimants on the initial list as to whom allocations are 18 not made or by participating claimants who do" -- and it says -- "who do accept their allocations." 19 20 THE COURT: It should be do not. MR. ZUCKER: It should be "who do not accept 21 their allocations." 22 23 THE COURT: Do you have a copy? 24 MR. GIRARDI: Your Honor, I do, and I've seen 25 it and I agree with that, and we changed it ourselves.

THE COURT: Yes.

1

It seems like an obvious

mis-type. All right. So that doesn't change anything. 2 3 It clarifies it as to what the parties actually meant. So with this accomplished, where does that 4 5 leave the parties? What's the next step? MS. GUSSACK: Your Honor, I would both 6 formalize the agreement, date it, execute it and return 7 8 it to Mr. Girardi. I would expect to communicate with Justice Trotter that we would, with the Court's 9 10 approval, expect that he would be responsible for all 11 implementation and the provisions of the agreement would 12 start to be implemented, including payment within ten 13 days that Mr. Girardi is referring to. That would be considered full 14 THE COURT: 15 execution of this memorandum of understanding --16 MS. GUSSACK: Yes, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: -- as it states in paragraph two? 18 MS. GUSSACK: Yes. THE COURT: And your second payment would then 19 20 be made, which is an additional percentage of the total amount owed --21 22 MS. GUSSACK: Yes. 23 THE COURT: -- for the participating 24 claimants. I'm not going to read into the record --25 MS. GUSSACK: Exactly.

THE COURT: -- the precise amounts of any particular term.

MS. GUSSACK: And then, of course, there are steps that need to be taken to establish escrow under Justice Trotter's supervision, to arrange for liens and amounts that are in dispute with the common benefit fee so that we have discharged our obligations and are protected.

THE COURT: Then if that's the case, is that acceptable? Is that your understanding of --

MR. GIRARDI: Yes.

THE COURT: -- what should happen now?

MR. GIRARDI: I'm sorry, Your Honor. I didn't mean to step on you.

THE COURT: That's all right.

MR. GIRARDI: That's already been set up. The Justice Trotter trust for the liens is already set up. They have the wire instructions to that. We've personally guaranteed so they're off the hook on the liens if they're bigger or whatever. We did that because we're desperate to get money to these people, and we've agreed to all these terms. We've given wire transfer information on everything, the three accounts that they have to wire transfer to. And it's an accomplished resolution.

THE COURT: Then we have to deal with how we proceed from here in terms of our expectations of professional conduct. Mr. Girardi, I don't know what the problem is, but I know you have a better reputation than what I've seen you write in these letters.

MR. GIRARDI: Your Honor, you're going to have to give me a pass, I think. This has been massively frustrating. We --

THE COURT: I don't have to give you a pass.

MR. GIRARDI: Oh, no, no, Your Honor. I'm just begging you for a pass. I wasn't saying you have to give me a pass.

This has been massively frustrating. These clients, heart attacks, strokes and so forth, we get 50 calls a day saying, you know, where's the money? And then at least when half -- when the first group was paid, we thought maybe half of the second group would be paid so we could get them something.

We haven't taken any fees. Everything we're trying to get to the people. And I suppose that if you think that you're not being treated fairly, even somebody like me who has a very soft spot to him, just reacted that said, gee, this is -- this isn't right. I wouldn't care if it was just me. To hear all these people -- the main reason we settled this case was for

these people to get some money. And so --1 THE COURT: You better -- you better not start 2 3 saying that you settled this case, even though you don't I don't want to hear that. 4 like it. MR. GIRARDI: Well, no, I --5 THE COURT: I don't want to hear that. 6 7 that's where you're going because you said it before. 8 MR. GIRARDI: No. I --THE COURT: What I do want to hear from you is 9 10 that --11 MR. GIRARDI: Okay. 12 THE COURT: -- something got ahold of you that 13 you allowed to change your usual way of being a professional adversary, or maybe this is how you are. 14 15 MR. GIRARDI: Your Honor --THE COURT: But it's not what I know about 16 17 you. MR. GIRARDI: -- don't do that to me. 18 19 -- I'm the -- I have the civility award from ABOTA. I 20 have the presidency -- I was just sworn in to the Trial Lawyer Hall of Fame at Temple University with Clarence 21 22 Darrow and John Adams and 42 others, and you only get 23 there if you have the utmost of ethics and

responsibility and sweetness and kindness and so forth,

and I think I have that.

24

25

THE COURT: But you didn't show that to 1 In fact, what you said in here was appalling. 2 anybody. 3 How you -- your tones, your intonations, you can't 4 threaten anybody, and they are never going to tolerate 5 it --MR. GIRARDI: Your Honor --6 7 THE COURT: -- let alone me. 8 MR. GIRARDI: -- Your Honor, it was based on total frustration over this process that I thought was 9 10 very unfair, and the massive pressure of the people that 11 kept calling us. That was all. I'm a real good guy, 12 Judge. 13 You probably are. THE COURT: I think Judge Savage would think 14 MR. GIRARDI: 15 I was a real good guy. I'm a real good fellow, and if I 16 offended counsel, I apologize. 17 THE COURT: How do you know Judge Savage? 18 MR. GIRARDI: I beg your pardon? 19 THE COURT: How do you know Judge Savage? 20 MR. GIRARDI: One of the Judges of the 21 California State Bar is -- used to walk the beat with 22 him as police officers, and so then because of their 23 close relationship, I was close to him, and then I got

to know Judge Savage and that's all. But I think he

would think I was a real nice fellow. And, Your Honor,

24

25

I would like for you some day to say, Tom, he's a real 1 nice fellow. He got a little --2 3 THE COURT: I would like to say the same. 4 MR. GIRARDI: -- he got a little screwed up 5 So, Your Honor, then what? here. THE COURT: Whatever there is going on that 6 7 forced you to act and react in unprofessional ways, I 8 hope you get a grip on them. MR. GIRARDI: Your Honor, you've taken me to 9 10 the woodshed. 11 THE COURT: Okay. 12 MR. GIRARDI: I swear to God, I appreciate it. 13 THE COURT: That's all I'm going to do about it. 14 15 MR. GIRARDI: Okay. 16 THE COURT: Because I know that you are 17 smarter and better than that. 18 MR. GIRARDI: Thank you. THE COURT: But I do think that you need to 19 20 apologize to the people that have been trying to deal with you, because you haven't made it easy. 21 22 MR. GIRARDI: This is a formal apology. 23 THE COURT: Do you accept that apology? 24 we move forward? 25 MS. GUSSACK: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you very much for your help.

THE COURT: I don't know if I've helped much but I do think that I -- I don't see a need to proceed now. If you have a dispute, there are terms to regulate that. I do think that we need to maintain the order that we imposed. I'm being requested to do that at any rate, and I will, because I would not want you to turn elsewhere to disrupt anything. This has been disruptive enough.

MR. GIRARDI: Your Honor, I won't do that.

THE COURT: Well --

MR. GIRARDI: With or without your order.

THE COURT: Because I'll go to Judge Savage and then you'll see. But -- I'm kidding -- on the record, I'm kidding.

MR. GIRARDI: I was only kidding, too.

THE COURT: He is my colleague and a good friend, but he has nothing to do with this case, and we don't discuss it. But I did want to ask Ms. Nast or Mr. Corr if there was another matter that we need to tend to, because I know it's in briefing, but I haven't seen anything.

MS. NAST: No, Your Honor. In terms of anything related to Mr. Girardi, the only thing that's pending before Your Honor at this point is our motion to

-- I don't remember the exact term of it -- but to

enforce the seven percent assessment, and that's --2 3 that's fully briefed and the -- the post-hearing briefs have been filed by both Mr. Girardi and the Advisory 4 Committee, so it's before the sub judice. 5 THE COURT: All right. So that -- that is 6 7 something that we still have to address unless you're 8 going to talk about that, but that's not the subject of today's hearing. 9 10 MR. GIRARDI: Okay. Good. 11 THE COURT: I didn't call you here to address 12 that because --13 MR. GIRARDI: It's a long way. THE COURT: Well, weren't you going to be 14 15 somewhere nearby anyway? MR. GIRARDI: I have to be in --16 17 THE COURT: Didn't you say in one of your 18 letters that you're going to be in New York or --MR. GIRARDI: No, I have to -- then I have to 19 20 be in Mississippi, too, Your Honor. I -- I keep trying to avoid process apparently. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. So --22 23 MR. GIRARDI: Anyway, Your Honor, thanks very 24 much. 25 THE COURT: You're welcome. Is there anything

else? MS. GUSSACK: No, Your Honor. Thank you. THE COURT: Anything else? MR. CORR: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. That's it. We're adjourned. Have a safe trip. MR. GIRARDI: Thanks. (Proceedings concluded at 3:43 p.m.)

<u>CERTIFICATION</u>

I, Donna M. Anders, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript from the electronic sound recordings of the proceedings in the above-captioned matter.

Donna M. Ander