

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF KENTUCKY
AT LOUISVILLE

IN RE: SKECHERS TONING SHOES
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MASTER FILE No. 3:11-MD-2308-TBR

MDL No. 2308

This Document Relates To:

Honorable Thomas B. Russell

Grabowski v. Skechers U.S.A., Inc.
S.D. California, Case No. 3:10-01300

Case No. 3:12-cv-00263-TBR

– and –

Final Fairness Hearing: March 19, 2013
1:00 p.m.

Stalker v. Skechers USA, Inc.,
C.D. California, Case No. 2:10-cv-05460

**DECLARATION OF RAY A. MANDLEKAR IN SUPPORT OF
APPLICATION FOR AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS BY
PLAINTIFF SONIA STALKER'S COUNSEL**

I, Ray A. Mandlekar, declare as follows:

1. I am an attorney at law licensed to practice before the courts of the State of California. I am an attorney of record for Plaintiff in the matter before this Court, *Sonia Stalker v. Skechers U.S.A., Inc.*, MDL 2308, Western District of Kentucky Master Case File No. 3:11-md-2308-TBR, individual Case No. 3:12-cv-00263. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth below and can and will competently testify thereto if called upon to do so.

2. I submit this Declaration in support of the Application for Award of Attorneys' Fees and Costs By Plaintiff Sonia Stalker's Counsel.

3. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of the Declaration of Christopher J. Morosoff in Support of Opposition to Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement [ECF No. in 11-md-02308].

4. Attached hereto as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of the Proof of Service of the complaint in the *Stalker* case. Attached hereto as Exhibit C is a true and correct copy of the Proof of Service of the complaint in the *Grabowski* case.

5. Attached hereto as Exhibit D is a portion of the July 24, 2012, Transcript of Preliminary Settlement Hearing Before Honorable Thomas B. Russell United States District Senior Judge.

6. In March 2010, a client retained my co-counsel, Christopher Morosoff, and I to represent her in connection with a false advertising lawsuit against Swiss toning shoe maker MBT. The client claimed that the company's advertising and marketing campaign was false and misleading in that she did not experience any of the physiological benefits of wearing the company's toning shoes promised thereby.

7. That same month, March 2010, Mr. Morosoff and I identified similar false and misleading advertising and marketing claims being made by other shoe makers such as Skechers and Reebok.

8. Throughout the latter half of March and early part of April 2010, Mr. Morosoff and I engaged in research and investigation into possible legal claims against the manufacturers and/or retailers of toning shoes such as MBT, Skechers ShapeUps and Reebok EasyTone shoes.

9. In the latter half of April 2010, Mr. Morosoff and I initiated discussions with the law firm of Blood Hurst & O'Reardon, LLP ("BHO"), regarding the co-counseling of a false advertising lawsuit against MBT. In those discussions, Mr. Morosoff and I raised the existence of similar claims against Skechers.

10. Throughout the course of our discussions with BHO, Mr. Morosoff and I provided the attorneys at BHO with a draft of a proposed complaint to be filed against MBT.

11. Ultimately, Mr. Morosoff and I co-counseled the case against MBT with another law firm, our current co-counsel in the present matter, the Law Offices of Herbert Hafif.

12. In April 2010, Mr. Morosoff and I also engaged in discussions with the law firm of Milberg LLP ("Milberg") regarding the co-counseling of a false advertising lawsuit against MBT. In these discussions, Mr. Morosoff and I raised the existence of similar claims against Skechers.

13. When Mr. Morosoff and I discussed potential claims and a potential lawsuit against MBT with both BHO and Milberg, neither firm was familiar with toning shoes or the marketing and advertising claims made by toning shoe companies such as MBT, Skechers or Reebok.

14. In 1995 I graduated from the University of California at Los Angeles, *summa cum*

laude, with a Bachelor of Arts degree. In 1998 I graduated from the University of California, Hastings College of the Law, with a Juris Doctor degree. I was admitted to practice in California in 1998, and have consistently practiced law since that time.

15. In my over 14 years as an attorney, I have dedicated the majority of my practice to representing plaintiffs in class action litigation. In the years 1998-2001, as a solo practitioner, I represented the plaintiffs in proposed class action cases in Los Angeles, San Francisco, and San Diego Superior Courts.

16. In 2001, I joined the law firm of Milberg, Weiss, Bershad, Hynes & Lerach LLP (“Milberg”), a national law firm specializing in representing plaintiffs in class action cases. I practiced law at Milberg and its successor firms (Lerach Coughlin Stoia & Robbins LLP; Lerach Coughlin Stoia Geller Rudman & Robbins LLP; and Coughlin Stoia Geller Rudman & Robbins LLP) until 2009 when, after being made a partner of the firm in 2008, I left to re-enter solo practice.

17. In my eight years of practice at Milberg and its successor firms, I represented the plaintiffs in numerous class action cases in California, Colorado, New York, New Jersey, and Texas courts. These cases often featured multiple parties and complex legal and factual issues.

18. I vigorously prosecuted the *Stalker* case on behalf of the proposed class. As indicated in the Docket Report for the *Stalker* case (a true and correct copy of which is attached as Exhibit E hereto), in that case Plaintiff’s counsel opposed a motion to dismiss filed by Skechers; filed a motion for class certification; and opposed a motion to stay filed by Skechers. I also conducted pre-filing investigation of the claims in the case; propounded discovery on Skechers; and participated in meet-and-confer efforts. A true and correct copy of my time records in the *Stalker* case are attached as Exhibit F hereto, and are summarized as follows:

Task	Hours Expended
Review advertising, media and draft complaint.	13.50
Review caselaw for substantive claims and class certification.	36.25
Research and draft motion for class certification and supporting papers.	103.25
Revise and final mot. for class certification and supporting documents.	62.00
Research and draft opposition to motion to dismiss.	43.25
Research and draft reply for motion for class certification.	46.25
Research and draft opposition to motion to stay.	56.5
Propound discovery and engage in meet-and-confer efforts.	27.5
Misc. time.	28.7
Total Hours:	417.20


The above calculation excludes all time expended on the Opposition of Plaintiff Sonia Stalker and Her Counsel to Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement [ECF. No. 95 in 11-md-02308] and the instant Application for Award of Attorneys' Fees and Costs by Plaintiff Sonia Stalker's Counsel.

19. My standard billing rate is \$495.00 per hour. When applied to my total submitted hours of 417.20, this yields a total lodestar of \$206,514.00. I believe that a substantial multiplier should be applied in this matter as it was taken on contingency.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 28th day of December 2012, at Temecula, California.

Ray A. Mandlekar

Exhibit A



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF KENTUCKY
AT LOUISVILLE

IN RE: SKECHERS TONING SHOES
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MASTER FILE No. 3:11-MD-2308-TBR

MDL No. 2308

This Document Relates To:

Honorable Thomas B. Russell

Grabowski v. Skechers U.S.A., Inc.
S.D. California, Case No. 3:10-01300

Case No. 3:12-cv-00263-TBR

– and –

Stalker v. Skechers USA, Inc.,
C.D. California, Case No. 2:10-cv-05460

**DECLARATION OF CHRISTOPHER J. MOROSOFF IN SUPPORT OF OPPOSITION
TO MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT**

I, Christopher J. Morosoff, declare as follows:

1. I am an attorney at law licensed to practice law before all of the courts of the State of California. I am an attorney of record for Plaintiff in the matter before this Court, *Sonia Stalker v. Skechers U.S.A., Inc.*, MDL 2308, Western District of Kentucky Master Case File No. 3:11-md-2308-TBR, individual Case No. 3:12-cv-00263. I have personal knowledge of facts set forth below and can and will competently testify thereto if called upon to do so.

2. I submit this Declaration in support of the Opposition of Plaintiff Sonia Stalker and Her Counsel to Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement.

3. In March 2010, a client retained my co-counsel, Ray Mandlekar, and I to represent her in connection with a false advertising lawsuit against Swiss toning shoe maker MBT. The client claimed that the company's advertising and marketing campaign was false and misleading in that she did not experience any of the physiological benefits of wearing the company's toning shoes promised therein.

4. That same month, March 2010, Mr. Mandlekar and I identified similar false and misleading advertising and marketing claims being made by other shoe makers such as Skechers and Reebok.

5. Throughout the latter half of March and early part of April 2010, Mr. Mandlekar and I engaged in research and investigation into possible legal claims against the manufacturers and/or retailers of toning shoes such as MBT, Skechers ShapeUps and Reebok EasyTone shoes.

6. In the latter half of April 2010, Mr. Mandlekar and I initiated discussions with the law firm of Blood Hurst & O'Reardon, LLP ("BHO"), regarding the co-counseling of a false advertising lawsuit against MBT. Mr. Mandlekar and I raised the existence of similar claims against Skechers.

7. Throughout the course of our discussions with BHO, Mr. Mandlekar and I provided the attorneys at BHO with a draft of a proposed complaint to be filed against MBT.

8. Ultimately, Mr. Mandlekar and I co-counseled the case against MBT with another law firm, our current co-counsel in the present matter, Law Offices of Herbert Hafif.


9. In April 2010, Mr. Mandlekar and I also engaged in discussions with the law firm of Milberg LLP ("Milberg") regarding the co-counseling of a false advertising lawsuit against MBT. Mr. Mandlekar and I raised the existence of similar claims against Skechers.

10. When Mr. Mandlekar and I discussed potential claims and a potential lawsuit against MBT with both BHO and Milberg, neither firm was familiar with toning shoes or the marketing and advertising claims made by toning shoe companies such as MBT, Skechers or Reebok.

11. The complaint in the *Grabowski* action appears to have been patterned after the complaint that Mr. Mandlekar and I drafted for use against MBT, a copy of which was sent to BHO on or about May 10, 2010.

12. Attached hereto as Exhibit 1 is a true and correct copy of the webpage www.skecherssettlement.com/EN/faq as it appeared on May 17, 2012.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 6th day of June 2012, at Palm Desert, California.



CHRISTOPHER J. MOROSOFF

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on June 6, 2012, I served the foregoing via e-mail on each attorney appearing on the Panel Attorney Service List for MDL 2308, and that I have mailed the foregoing document or paper via the United States Postal Service to those attorneys on the Panel Attorney Service List for MDL 2308 who do not have an e-mail address.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 6th day of June 2012, at Palm Desert, California.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Christopher J. Morosoff", with a stylized, cursive script.

CHRISTOPHER J. MOROSOFF

Exhibit B

SUMMONS
((CITACION JUDICIAL))

SUM-100

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT:
((AVISO AL DEMANDADO)):

SKECHERS U.S.A., INC. and DOES 1 through 100,
Inclusive

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: SONIA STALKER, on
((LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE)) behalf of herself,
all others similarly situated, and the general public

FOR COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
(FORMA PARA USAR EN LA CORTE)

UNIFORMED COPY
OF ORIGINAL FILED
LOS ANGELES Superior Court

JUL 02 2010

J. A. Clarke, Executive Officer/Clerk
SHAUNA WESLEY, Deputy

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. **¡AVISO!** Le han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. **AVISO:** Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 o más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desear el caso.

The name and address of the court is:
(El nombre y dirección de la corte es):

LOS ANGELES COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT - Central District
111 No. Hill Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

CASE NUMBER:
(Número del Caso):

BC440890

The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is:

(El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es):

Greg K. Hafif, Esq., Bar No. 149549 (909) 624-1671 (909) 625-7772

LAW OFFICES OF HERBERT HAFIF

269 West Bonita Avenue

Claremont, CA 91711

DATE:

(Fecha)

JUL 02 2010

S. WESLEY

, Deputy

(Adjunto)

(For proof of service of this summons, use Proof of Service of Summons (Form POS-010).)

(Para prueba de entrega de esta citación use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons, (POS-010)).

NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served

1. ☐ as an individual defendant.

2. ☐ as the persons sued under the fictitious name of (specify):

3. ☒ on behalf of (specify): **Skechers USA, Inc.**

Under: ☐ CCP 4116.10 (corporation)

☐ CCP 4116.20 (defunct corporation)

☐ CCP 4116.40 (association or partnership)

☐ other (specify):

☐ CCP 4116.60 (minor)

☐ CCP 4116.70 (conservatee)

☐ CCP 4116.90 (authorized person)

4. ☒ by personal delivery on (date): **July 2, 2010**

SUMMONS

Legal

CGP

EXHIBIT A

Exhibit C

Attorney for Party without Authority: Timothy C. Blood, Esq. Bar # 49343 Blood Hurst & O'Regan LLP 600 B Street Suite 1550 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone No: 619-338-1100 FAX No: 619-338-1101		For Court Use Only	
Attorney for Plaintiff: Insert name of Court and Judicial District and Branch Court: United States District Court - Southern District Of California Plaintiff: Tamara Grabowski, et al. Defendant: Skechers U.S.A., Inc., et al.		Ref/No on Filed No.:	
PROOF OF SERVICE Summons / Complaint		Hearing Date:	Time:
		Date/Time:	Case Number: 10CV1300JM(WVG)

1. At the time of service I was at least 18 years of age and not a party to this action.
2. I served copies of the Summons in a Civil Action; Civil Cover Sheet; Class Action Complaint
3. a. Party served: Skechers U.S.A., Inc.
b. Person served: Claudia Leiva, Person Authorized to Accept Service
4. Address where the party was served: 225 South Sepulveda Blvd
Manhattan Beach, CA 90266
5. I served the party:
a. by personal service. I personally delivered the documents listed in item 2 to the party or person authorized to receive service of process for the party (1) on: Fri., Jul. 09, 2010 (2) at: 4:30PM
7. Person Who Served Papers:
a. Derek Lee
b. Class Action Research & Litigation
P O Box 740
Penryn, CA 95663
c. (916) 663-2562, FAX (916) 663-4955
d. The Fee for Service was:
e. I am: (3) registered California process server
(i) Independent Contractor
(ii) Registration No.: 3368
(iii) County: Los Angeles
Recoverable Cost Per CCP 1033.5(a)(4)(B)

8. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: Tue, Jul 13, 2010

Exhibit D

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF KENTUCKY
LOUISVILLE DIVISION

IN RE: SKECHERS TONING SHOES) Master File No. 3:11-MD-2308-TBR
PRODUCTS LIABILITY) MDL No. 2308
LITIGATION)
)
) July 24, 2012
) Louisville, Kentucky

TRANSCRIPT OF PRELIMINARY SETTLEMENT HEARING
BEFORE HONORABLE THOMAS B. RUSSELL
UNITED STATES DISTRICT SENIOR JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For plaintiffs in Grabowski and Morga actions:
Timothy Gordon Blood
Blood, Hurst & O'Reardon, LLP
701 B Street
Suite 1700
San Diego, California 92101

Joshua Keller (By telephone)
Milberg, LLP
One Pennsylvania Plaza
New York, New York 10119

Alan W. Wernecke, RMR, CRR
Official Court Reporter
221 U.S. Courthouse
Louisville, Kentucky 40202
502-625-3779

Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript
produced by computer.

1 APPEARANCES (Continued):

2 Co-lead counsel for MDL plaintiffs and plaintiffs in Boatright
3 action:

Ronald E. Johnson, Jr.
Schacter, Hendy & Johnson, PSC
909 Wright's Summitt Parkway
Suite 210
Ft. Wright, Kentucky 41011

5 Liaison counsel for MDL plaintiffs and plaintiffs in Boatright
6 action:

Penny U. Hendy
Schacter, Hendy & Johnson, PSC
909 Wright's Summitt Parkway
Suite 210
Ft. Wright, Kentucky 41011

9 For plaintiffs in Loss action:

Melanie S. Bailey
Burg, Simpson, Eldredge, Hersh &
Jardine, P.C.
312 Walnut Street
Suite 2090
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

13 For plaintiffs in Stalker action:

Christopher J. Morosoff (By telephone)
Law Offices of Christopher J. Morosoff
77735 California Drive
Palm Desert, California 92211

Greg K. Hafif (By telephone)
Law Offices of Herbert Hafif
239 West Bonita Avenue
Claremont, California 91711

19 For defendant in Grabowski and Morga actions:

Jeffrey A. Barker
O'Melveny & Myers, LLP
1999 Avenue of the Stars
7th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90067

22 Lead counsel for MDL defendant:

23 Michael D. Eagen
Dinsmore & Shohl, LLP
255 East Fifth Street
Suite 1900
25 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

1 point on the --

2 THE COURT: Be sure and get close to that microphone.
3 I don't know that he can hear you.

4 MR. BARKER: Maybe I can move over here. If the
5 settlement is approved as it currently stands, this is an
6 optional procedure. Somebody can always object, and in the
7 course of that objection they can make their own fee award. I
8 will tell you right now that I would oppose the fee request,
9 particularly with respect to the lawyers in the Stalker case.
10 They have been a cost on this process to us. I've been fighting
11 a multi-front war with them until they were stayed by Judge
12 Otero.

13 That class certification motion was precipitously brought
14 without any basis for it, and it was after we had offered them
15 an opportunity to be transferred to San Diego to be joined with
16 the other two cases. They wanted to go their own way. That's
17 their option. They can do that. But it didn't add any value to
18 this case to have that motion brought. I can't speak to what
19 happened before the case other than to know what Mr. Blood has
20 put in his declaration.

21 That's really not my fight. My interest here is to make
22 sure that this settlement agreement goes through and is handled
23 expeditiously, and that's why we were willing to negotiate a
24 provision that allowed for negotiations where Mr. Blood could
25 seek an award and apportion it amongst other counsel who in his

Exhibit E

(JEMx), CLOSED, DISCOVERY, STAYED, TRANSFERRED

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT for the CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (Western
Division - Los Angeles)
CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 2:10-cv-05460-JAK -JEM**

Sonia Stalker v. Skechers USA Inc et al
Assigned to: Judge John A Kronstadt
Referred to: Magistrate Judge John E. McDermott
Case in other court: Superior Court of CA Los Angeles County,
BC440890
Cause: 28:1441 Notice of Removal

Date Filed: 07/23/2010
Date Terminated: 05/21/2012
Jury Demand: Plaintiff
Nature of Suit: 890 Other Statutory Actions
Jurisdiction: Federal Question

Plaintiff

Sonia Stalker

*on behalf of herself, all others similarly
situated, and the general public*

represented by **Christopher J. Morosoff**
Law Offices of Christopher J. Morosoff
77-735 California Drive
Palm Desert, CA 92211
760-469-5986
Fax: 760-469-5986
Email: cjmorosoff@morosofflaw.com
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Greg K Hafif

Law Offices of Herbert Hafif
269 West Bonita Avenue
Claremont, CA 91711-4784
909-624-1671
Fax: 909 625 7772
Email: ghafif@hafif.com
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Herbert Hafif

Herbert Hafif Law Offices
269 West Bonita Avenue
Claremont, CA 91711-4784
909-624-1671
Fax: 909-625-7772
Email: jayna-cpb@hafif.com
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Michael G Dawson

Law Offices of Herbert Hafif
269 West Bonita Avenue
Claremont, CA 91711-4784
909-626-1166
Fax: 909-625-7772
Email: mgdawson@hafif.com
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Rajesh A Mandlekar

Ray A Mandlekar Attorney At Law
27555 Ynez Road Suite 208

Temecula, CA 92591
951-200-3427
Fax: 951-824-7677
Email: raym@mandlekarlaw.com
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Ray A Mandlekar
Ray A. Mandlekar Law Offices
27555 Ynez Road Suite 208
Temecula, CA 92591
951-200-3427
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

V.

Defendant

Skechers USA Inc

represented by **Anthony Michael Glassman**
Glassman Browning Saltsman and Jacobs
360 North Bedford Drive
Suite 204
Beverly Hills, CA 90210-5157
310-278-5100
Fax: 310-271-6041
Email: amg@gbsjlaw.com
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Carlos Manuel Lazatin
O'Melveny & Myers LLP
400 S Hope Street 18th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071-2899
213-430-6000
Fax: 213-430-6407
Email: clazatin@omm.com
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Daniel M Petrocelli
O'Melveny and Myers LLP
400 South Hope Street 18th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071-2899
213-430-6000
Fax: 213-430-6407
Email: dpetrocelli@omm.com
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Gloria M Borges
O'Melveny and Myers LLP
400 South Hope Street 18th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90017
213-430-6000
Fax: 213-430-6407
Email: gborges@omm.com
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Jeffrey A Barker
O'Melveny & Myers
1999 Avenue of the Stars, Ste 700

Los Angeles, CA 90067-6045
 310-553-6700
 Fax: 310-246-6779
 Email: jbarker@omm.com
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Jon P Kardassakis
 Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP
 221 North Figueroa Street Suite 1200
 Los Angeles, CA 90012
 213-580-3908
 Fax: 213-250-7900
 Email: kardassakis@lbbslaw.com
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

Does

1 through 100, Inclusive

Date Filed	#	Docket Text
07/23/2010	<u>1</u>	NOTICE OF REMOVAL the from Superior Court of CA Los Angeles County, case number BC440890 with CONFORMED copies of summons and complaint. Case assigned to Judge S. James Otero, discovery to Magistrate Judge John E McDermott; (Filing fee \$ 350 PAID); filed by defendant Skechers USA Inc.(esa) (mg). (Entered: 07/26/2010)
07/23/2010		CONFORMED COPY OF ANSWER TO COMPLAINT (see copy in Notice of Removal, <u>1</u>) filed by defendant Skechers USA Inc.(esa) (Entered: 07/26/2010)
07/23/2010	<u>2</u>	CERTIFICATION AND NOTICE OF INTERESTED PARTIES filed by Defendant Skechers USA Inc. (esa) (mg). Modified on 7/29/2010 (esa). (Entered: 07/26/2010)
07/23/2010	<u>3</u>	DECLARATION of DAVID WEINBERG in support of Notice of Removal, <u>1</u> filed by Defendant Skechers USA Inc. (esa) (mg). (Entered: 07/26/2010)
07/23/2010	<u>4</u>	PROOF OF SERVICE BY REGULAR MAIL filed by defendant Skechers USA Inc, served on 7/23/10. (esa) (mg). (Entered: 07/26/2010)
07/26/2010	<u>5</u>	INITIAL STANDING ORDER FOR CASES ASSIGNED TO JUDGE S. JAMES OTERO by Judge S. James Otero, (lc) (Entered: 07/26/2010)
08/05/2010	<u>6</u>	MINUTES (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER held before Judge S. James Otero: Counsel are hereby notified that a Scheduling Conference has been set for Monday, September13, 2010 at 8:30 a.m. before District Judge S. James Otero in Courtroom 1, 312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, California 90012. Counsel are directed to comply with Rule 26(f) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in a timely fashion and to file a Joint Rule 26(f) report on or before August 30, 2010. (See document for further details) (yl) (Entered: 08/05/2010)
08/23/2010	<u>7</u>	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Dismiss Case (Motion set for hearing on 9/20/2010 at 10:00 AM before Judge S. James Otero.), MOTION to Stay Case pending Previousl Filed Action Involving Substantially Identical Parties And Claims Is Already Pending// <i>Defendant Skechers USA Inc.'s Notice Of Motion And Motion To Dismiss Or, In The Alternative, Stay Or Transfer Proceedings; Memorandum Of Points And Authorities</i> filed by defendant Skechers USA Inc. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Declaration Of Jeffrey Barker In Support, # <u>2</u> Exhibit A to J. Barker Declaration, # <u>3</u> Exhibit B to J. Barker Declaration, # <u>4</u> Exhibit C to J. Barker Declaration, # <u>5</u> Exhibit D to J. Barker Declaration, # <u>6</u> Proposed Order, # <u>7</u> Proof Of Service)(Borges, Gloria) (Entered: 08/23/2010)

08/27/2010	<u>8</u>	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Certify Class filed by plaintiff Sonia Stalker. Motion set for hearing on 10/18/2010 at 10:00 AM before Judge S. James Otero. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Memorandum of Points and Authorities, # <u>2</u> Declaration of Sonia Stalker, # <u>3</u> Declaration of Greg K. Hafif, # <u>4</u> Declaration of Christopher J. Morosoff, # <u>5</u> Declaration of Ray A. Mandlekar, # <u>6</u> Proposed Order)(Hafif, Greg) (Entered: 08/27/2010)
08/27/2010	<u>9</u>	EXHIBIT A thru N to MOTION to Certify Class <u>8</u> filed by Plaintiff Sonia Stalker. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit D - F, # <u>2</u> Exhibit G - K, # <u>3</u> Exhibit L - N)(Hafif, Greg) (Entered: 08/27/2010)
08/27/2010	<u>10</u>	EXHIBIT O to MOTION to Certify Class <u>8</u> filed by Plaintiff Sonia Stalker. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit O Part 2 of 2)(Hafif, Greg) (Entered: 08/27/2010)
08/27/2010	<u>11</u>	EXHIBIT P Part 1 thru 3 of 11 to MOTION to Certify Class <u>8</u> filed by Plaintiff Sonia Stalker. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit P Part 2 of 11, # <u>2</u> Exhibit P Part 3 of 11)(Hafif, Greg) (Entered: 08/27/2010)
08/27/2010	<u>12</u>	EXHIBIT P Part 4 thru 7 of 11 to MOTION to Certify Class <u>8</u> filed by Plaintiff Sonia Stalker. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit P Part 5 of 11, # <u>2</u> Exhibit P Part 6 of 11, # <u>3</u> Exhibit P Part 7 of 11)(Hafif, Greg) (Entered: 08/27/2010)
08/27/2010	<u>13</u>	EXHIBIT P Part 8 thru 11 of 11 to MOTION to Certify Class <u>8</u> filed by Plaintiff Sonia Stalker. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit P Part 9 of 11, # <u>2</u> Exhibit P Part 10 of 11, # <u>3</u> Exhibit P Part 11 of 11)(Hafif, Greg) (Entered: 08/27/2010)
08/30/2010	<u>14</u>	STATUS REPORT <i>Joint Scheduling Conference Statement of the Parties</i> filed by Plaintiff Sonia Stalker. (Hafif, Greg) (Entered: 08/30/2010)
08/30/2010	<u>15</u>	Opposition Opposition re: MOTION to Dismiss Case MOTION to Stay Case pending Previousl Filed Action Involving Substantially Identical Parties And Claims Is Already Pending // <i>Defendant Skechers USA Inc.'s Notice Of Motion And Motion To Dismiss Or, In The Alternative, Stay Or Transfer Proceed</i> <u>7</u> filed by Plaintiff Sonia Stalker. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Declaration of Christopher J. Morosoff in Support of Opposition to Defendants Motion to Dismiss or in the Alternative Stay or Transfer Proceedings)(Hafif, Greg) (Entered: 08/30/2010)
08/30/2010	<u>16</u>	MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS by Judge S. James Otero: The Court continues the Scheduling Conference to Monday, September 20, 2010 8:30 a.m. (lc) (Entered: 08/30/2010)
08/31/2010	<u>17</u>	NOTICE TO FILER OF DEFICIENCIES in Electronically Filed Documents RE: Status Report <u>14</u> . The following error(s) was found: Incorrect event selected. The correct event is: Joint Rule 26(f) Discovery Plan, which prompts for required inforaation. In response to this notice the court may order (1) an amended or correct document to be filed (2) the document stricken or (3) take other action as the court deems appropriate. You need not take any action in response to this notice unless and until the court directs you to do so. (lc) (Entered: 08/31/2010)
08/31/2010	<u>18</u>	NOTICE of Appearance filed by attorney Jeffrey A Barker on behalf of Defendant Skechers USA Inc (Barker, Jeffrey) (Entered: 08/31/2010)
08/31/2010	<u>19</u>	JOINT REPORT Rule 26(f) Discovery Plan <i>Joint Scheduling Conference Statement of the Parties</i> ; estimated length of trial 1 to 6 weeks, filed by Plaintiff Sonia Stalker.. (Hafif, Greg) (Entered: 08/31/2010)
09/03/2010	<u>20</u>	REPLY in Further support of MOTION to Dismiss Case MOTION to Stay Case pending Previousl Filed Action Involving Substantially Identical Parties And Claims Is Already Pending // <i>Defendant Skechers USA Inc.'s Notice Of Motion And Motion To Dismiss Or, In The Alternative, Stay Or Transfer Proceed</i> <u>7</u> filed by Defendant Skechers USA Inc. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Declaration of Jeffrey A. Barker, # <u>2</u> Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Jeffrey A. Barker, # <u>3</u> Exhibit 2 to the Declaration of Jeffrey A. Barker, # <u>4</u> Exhibit 3 to the Declaration of Jeffrey A. Barker, # <u>5</u> Exhibit 4 to the Declaration of Jeffrey A. Barker, # <u>6</u> Exhibit 5 to the Declaration of Jeffrey A. Barker, # <u>7</u> Exhibit 6 to the Declaration of Jeffrey A. Barker, # <u>8</u> Exhibit 7 to the Declaration of Jeffrey A. Barker, # <u>9</u> Exhibit 8 to the Declaration of Jeffrey A. Barker, # <u>10</u> Exhibit 9 to the Declaration of Jeffrey A. Barker, # <u>11</u> Exhibit 10 to the Declaration of Jeffrey A. Barker, # <u>12</u> Proof of Service)(Petrocelli,

		<i>Daniel</i>) (Entered: 09/03/2010)
09/14/2010	21	EX PARTE APPLICATION to Continue hearing date from October 18, 2010 to a date no earlier than the end of this year convenient to the Court / <i>For Class Certification Motion to Allow Parties to Conduct Discovery on Class Certification Issues</i> filed by defendant Skechers USA Inc. (Attachments: # 1 Declaration of Jeffrey A. Barker in Support of Ex Parte Application to Continue Hearing Date For Class Certification Motion to Allow Parties to Conduct Discovery on Class Certification Issues, # 2 Exhibit A to Barker Declaration, # 3 Exhibit B to Barker Declaration, # 4 Exhibit C to Barker Declaration, # 5 Exhibit D to Barker Declaration, # 6 Proposed Order, # 7 Proof of Service)(Petrocelli, Daniel) (Entered: 09/14/2010)
09/15/2010	22	MINUTES (IN CHAMBERS): ORDER by Judge S. James Otero. The parties are advised that MOTION To Dismiss Or, In The Alternative, Stay Or Transfer Proceedings; Memorandum Of Points And Authorities filed by defendant Skechers USA Inc. 7 filed 8/23/10, scheduled for hearing on September 20, 2010, is taken under submission. Accordingly, the hearing date is vacated. Order will issue. (sch) (Entered: 09/15/2010)
09/15/2010	23	OPPOSITION to Defendant Skechers U.S.A., Inc.s Ex Parte Application to Continue Hearing Date for Class Certification Motion to Allow Parties to Conduct Discovery on Class Certification Issues re: EX PARTE APPLICATION to Continue hearing date from October 18, 2010 to a date no earlier than the end of this year convenient to the Court / <i>For Class Certification Motion to Allow Parties to Conduct Discovery on Class Certification Issues</i> 21 filed by Plaintiff Sonia Stalker. (Hafif, Greg) (Entered: 09/15/2010)
09/16/2010	24	MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS by Judge S. James Otero: The Court vacates the scheduling conference set on Monday, September 20, 2010. No appearance is required. (lc) (Entered: 09/16/2010)
09/27/2010	25	Opposition in opposition re: MOTION to Certify Class 8 / <i>Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification</i> filed by Defendant Skechers USA Inc. (Attachments: # 1 Appendix, # 2 Declaration of Rick Graham in Support of Opposition to Motion, # 3 Declaration of Andrew Lopez in Support of Opposition to Motion, # 4 Declaration Declaration of Jeffrey A. Barker in Support of Opposition to Motion)(Petrocelli, Daniel) (Entered: 09/27/2010)
09/27/2010	26	DECLARATION of Jeffrey A. Barker re Objection/Opposition (Motion related), Objection/Opposition (Motion related) 25 / <i>in Opposition to Motion For Class Certification</i> filed by Defendant Skechers USA Inc. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 1 to Barker Declaration, # 2 Exhibit 2 to Barker Declaration, # 3 Exhibit 3 to Barker Declaration, # 4 Exhibit 4 to Barker Declaration, # 5 Exhibit 5 to Barker Declaration, # 6 Exhibit 6 to Barker Declaration, # 7 Exhibit 7, Part 1 of 2 to Barker Declaration, # 8 Exhibit 7, Part 2 of 2 to Barker Declaration, # 9 Exhibit 8 to Barker Declaration, # 10 Exhibit 9, Part 1 of 3 to Barker Declaration, # 11 Exhibit 9, Part 2 of 3 to Barker Declaration, # 12 Exhibit 9, Part 3 of 3 to Barker Declaration, # 13 Exhibit 10 to Barker Declaration, # 14 Exhibit 11 to Barker Declaration, # 15 Exhibit 12 to Barker Declaration, # 16 Exhibit 13 to Barker Declaration, # 17 Exhibit 14 to Barker Declaration, # 18 Exhibit 15 to Barker Declaration, # 19 Exhibit 16 to Barker Declaration, # 20 Exhibit 17 to Barker Declaration, # 21 Exhibit 18, Part 1 of 3 to Barker Declaration, # 22 Exhibit 18, Part 2 of 3 to Barker Declaration, # 23 Exhibit 18, Part 3 of 3 to Barker Declaration, # 24 Exhibit 19 to Barker Declaration, # 25 Exhibit 20 to Barker Declaration, # 26 Exhibit 21 to Barker Declaration, # 27 Exhibit 22 to Barker Declaration, # 28 Exhibit 23 to Barker Declaration, # 29 Exhibit 24 to Barker Declaration) (Petrocelli, Daniel) (Entered: 09/27/2010)
09/27/2010	27	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by defendant Skechers USA Inc, re Objection/Opposition (Motion related), Objection/Opposition (Motion related) 25 , Declaration (non-motion), Declaration (non-motion), Declaration (non-motion), Declaration (non-motion), Declaration (non-motion), Declaration (non-motion) 26 served on 9/27/2010. (Petrocelli, Daniel) (Entered: 09/27/2010)
10/04/2010	28	REPLY In Support of MOTION to Certify Class 8 filed by Plaintiff Sonia Stalker. (Attachments: # 1 Declaration of Ray Mandlekar in Support of Reply for Motion for Class Certification, # 2 Exhibit Q,

		# 3 Exhibit R)(Hafif, Greg) (Entered: 10/04/2010)
10/13/2010	29	MINUTES (IN CHAMBERS): ORDER by Judge S. James Otero. The parties are advised that MOTION to Certify Class filed by plaintiff Sonia Stalker 8 filed 8/27/10, scheduled for hearing on October 18, 2010, is taken under submission. Accordingly, the hearing date is vacated. Order will issue. (sch) (Entered: 10/13/2010)
10/13/2010	30	OBJECTION In Opposition re: MOTION to Certify Class 8 // <i>Objection To New Evidence In Plaintiff's Reply In Support Of Motion For Class Certification</i> 28 filed by Defendant Skechers USA Inc. (Attachments: # 1 Proof Of Service)(Petrocelli, Daniel) (Entered: 10/13/2010)
11/12/2010	31	NOTICE of Change of Attorney Information for attorney Rajesh A Mandlekar counsel for Plaintiff Sonia Stalker. Filed by Plaintiff Sonia Stalker (Mandlekar, Rajesh) (Entered: 11/12/2010)
01/03/2011	32	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Stay Case pending Case filed by Defendant Skechers USA Inc. (Attachments: # 1 Memorandum of Points and Authorities, # 2 Proposed Order) (Petrocelli, Daniel) (Entered: 01/03/2011)
01/03/2011	33	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Stay Case pending Stay all Further Proceedings filed by Defendant Skechers USA Inc. Motion set for hearing on 1/31/2011 at 10:00 AM before Judge S. James Otero. (Attachments: # 1 Proposed Order)(Petrocelli, Daniel) (Entered: 01/03/2011)
01/03/2011	34	DECLARATION of Jeffrey A. Barker in support of MOTION to Stay Case pending Case 32 filed by Defendant Skechers USA Inc. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A to Barker Declaration, # 2 Exhibit B to Barker Declaration, # 3 Exhibit C to Barker Declaration, # 4 Exhibit D to Barker Declaration, # 5 Exhibit E to Barker Declaration, # 6 Exhibit F to Barker Declaration, # 7 Exhibit G to Barker Declaration, # 8 Exhibit H to Barker Declaration, # 9 Exhibit I to Barker Declaration, # 10 Exhibit J to Barker Declaration, # 11 Exhibit K to Barker Declaration)(Petrocelli, Daniel) (Entered: 01/03/2011)
01/04/2011	35	NOTICE TO FILER OF DEFICIENCIES in Electronically Filed Documents RE: MOTION to Stay Case pending Stay all Further Proceedings 33 , MOTION to Stay Case pending Case 32 . The following error(s) was found: Motion to stay docketed twice, however # 32 failed to schedule hearing. #33 the PDF is the memorandum ONLY which is already within #32, however, it scheduled the hearing. In response to this notice the court may order (1) an amended or correct document to be filed (2) the document stricken or (3) take other action as the court deems appropriate. You need not take any action in response to this notice unless and until the court directs you to do so. (lc) (Entered: 01/04/2011)
01/04/2011	36	ORDER by Judge S. James Otero: the following document(s) be STRICKEN for failure to comply with the Local Rules, General Order and/or the Courts Case Management Order: MOTION to Stay Case pending Stay all Further Proceedings 33 (memorandum of points and authorities), for the following reasons: incorrect document attached to docket entry. TO PREVENT DUPLICATION, MOTION TO STAY 32 IS SET FOR HEARING 1/31/11 10:00 AM. (lc) (Entered: 01/04/2011)
01/04/2011	37	NOTICE of Association of Counsel associating attorney Jon Kardassakis on behalf of Defendant Skechers USA Inc. Filed by Defendant Skechers USA Inc (Petrocelli, Daniel) (Entered: 01/04/2011)
01/10/2011	38	Plaintiff Sonia Stalker's Opposition to Defendant Skechers U.S.A. INC.'S MOTION FOR STAY Opposition re: MOTION to Stay Case pending Case 32 filed by Plaintiff Sonia Stalker. (Hafif, Greg) (Entered: 01/10/2011)
01/14/2011	39	REPLY In Support MOTION to Stay Case pending Case 32 filed by Defendant Skechers USA Inc. (Petrocelli, Daniel) (Entered: 01/14/2011)
01/21/2011	40	MINUTES (IN CHAMBERS): ORDER GRANTING Defendant's Motion to Dismiss or, in the Alternative, Stay or Transfer Proceeding 7 , 8 , 32 , by Judge S. James Otero. Accordingly, for the reasons stated above, the Court GRANTS Defendant's Motion to Dismiss or, in the Alternative, Stay or Transfer Proceeding 7 and STAYS this proceeding. As an additional reason, the Court notes that a stay is appropriate and necessary because resolution of appeals for Mazza v. American Honda Motor Co., Inc., 254 F.R.D. 610 (C.D. Cal. 2008) and Dukes v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., 603 F.3d 571 (9th

		Cir. 2010) will significantly affect the outcome of Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification and the resolution of this instant action. Pursuant to this Order, Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification 8 is vacated and off calendar. Plaintiff may re-file its Motion for Class Certification at a later date. Furthermore, Defendant's Motion for Stay 32 is hereby MOOT. The Court also sets a Status Conference on July 18, 2011 to discuss the status of the Grabowski action. Parties are ordered to file a joint status report by July 5, 2011. (sch) (Entered: 01/21/2011)
04/21/2011	41	ORDER by Judge S. James Otero: denying 21 Ex Parte Application to Continue to Continue Hearing Date for Class Certification Motion. (lc) (Entered: 04/21/2011)
04/25/2011	42	ORDER OF THE CHIEF JUDGE (#11-007) approved by Chief Judge Audrey B. Collins. Pursuant to the recommended procedure adopted by the Court for the Creation of calendar of Judge John A. Kronstadt, this case is transferred from Judge S. James Otero to the calendar of Judge John A. Kronstadt for all further proceedings. The case number will now reflect the initials of the transferee Judge CV10-5460 JAK(JEMx). (sn) (Entered: 04/26/2011)
05/26/2011	43	INITIAL STANDING ORDER FOR CASES ASSIGNED TO JUDGE JOHN A. KRONSTADT. (rrey) (Entered: 05/27/2011)
06/24/2011	44	MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS by Judge John A Kronstadt. This action has been reassigned to the Honorable John A. Kronstadt, United States District Judge. The Magistrate Judge previously assigned to this matter will continue. The Status Conference re Grabowski Action, currently set for 7/11/2011 at 8:30 a.m., is continued to 7/11/2011 at 10:30 a.m. The Joint Status Report is due 7/5/2011. (vdr) (Entered: 06/27/2011)
07/05/2011	45	STATUS REPORT / <i>Joint Status Report</i> filed by Defendant Skechers USA Inc. (Petrocelli, Daniel) (Entered: 07/05/2011)
07/08/2011	46	STIPULATION to Continue Status Conference from 07/11/2011 to 07/18/2011 filed by defendant Skechers USA Inc. (Attachments: # 1 Proposed Order)(Petrocelli, Daniel) (Entered: 07/08/2011)
07/08/2011	47	ORDER GRANTING STIPULATION 46 TO CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE by Judge John A Kronstadt. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the status conference currently scheduled for 7/11/2011, at 10:30 a.m., is continued to 7/18/2011, at 10:30 a.m. (vdr) (Entered: 07/11/2011)
07/12/2011	48	MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS by Judge John A Kronstadt. The Court, on its own motion, continues the Status Conference, from 7/18/2011 to 8/4/2011 at 8:30 a.m. (vdr) (Entered: 07/12/2011)
08/04/2011	50	AMENDED MINUTES held before Judge John A Kronstadt re: Status Conference, Set/Reset Hearing, 49 . (shb) (Entered: 09/12/2011)
08/05/2011	49	MINUTES OF Status Conference held before Judge John A Kronstadt. The stay in this matter shall remain until the Court can determine if there has been any development in either the Grabowski or Mazza case. The Court sets a status conference for 10/3/2011 at 10:30 a.m. Counsel are to file a joint status report no later than 9/28/2011. The Court will determine if the 10/3 hearing is necessary upon review of the status report. Court Reporter: Alex Joko. (vdr) (Entered: 08/08/2011)
09/28/2011	51	STATUS REPORT / <i>Joint Status Report</i> filed by Defendant Skechers USA Inc. (Petrocelli, Daniel) (Entered: 09/28/2011)
09/30/2011	52	MINUTE IN CHAMBERS ORDER CONTINUING STATUS CONFERENCE RE GRABOWSKI ACTION by Judge John A Kronstadt: The Court has reviewed the parties joint status report, filed 9/28/2011, and continues the Status Conference from 10/3/2011 to 12/5/2011 at 1:30 PM. A joint status report shall be filed no later than 11/28/2011. The matter is stayed until 12/5/2011, at which time the Court will re-evaluate whether the stay shall remain depending on the status of the Ninth Circuit proceeding. (jp) (Entered: 10/03/2011)
11/28/2011	53	STATUS REPORT / <i>Joint Status Report</i> filed by Defendant Skechers USA Inc. (Petrocelli, Daniel) (Entered: 11/28/2011)

11/29/2011	54	MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS by Judge John A Kronstadt. The Court has reviewed the parties joint status report, filed 11/28/2011, and continues the Status Conference to 2/13/2012 at 1:30 p.m. A joint status report shall be filed no later than 2/6/2012. The matter is stayed until 2/13/2012, at which time the Court will re-evaluate whether the stay shall remain depending on the status of the Ninth Circuit proceeding. (vdr) (Entered: 11/29/2011)
02/06/2012	55	STATUS REPORT / <i>Joint Status Report</i> filed by Defendant Skechers USA Inc. (Barker, Jeffrey) (Entered: 02/06/2012)
02/13/2012	56	MINUTES OF STATUS CONFERENCE RE GRABOWSKI ACTION before Judge John A Kronstadt: The status conference is held. The Court continues the Status Conference to 4/16/2012 at 1:30 PM., with a joint report to be filed no later than 4/12/2012, which shall address: (i) the status of the motion to lift the stay in the Grabowski matter; (ii) the status of the en banc review in Mazza; and (iii) each partys respective views on how this case should proceed if there has been any action in either Mazza or the Grabowski matter. The Court will determine if the 4/16/2012 hearing is necessary upon rview of the report. Court Reporter: Alex Joko. (jp) (Entered: 02/14/2012)
04/12/2012	57	STATUS REPORT / <i>Joint Status Report</i> filed by Defendant Skechers USA Inc. (Barker, Jeffrey) (Entered: 04/12/2012)
04/13/2012		(IN CHAMBERS) ORDER CONTINUING APRIL 16, 2012 STATUS CONFERENCE RE GRABOWSKI ACTION by Judge John A Kronstadt: The Court has reviewed the parties' joint status report (Dkt. 57) and continues the status conference from April 16, 2012 to May 7, 2012 at 1:30 p.m. A further joint status report shall be filed no later than May 2, 2012, which shall address whether Judge Miller has acted on the motion to lift the stay, which is currently set for hearing on April 23, 2012. THERE IS NO PDF DOCUMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS ENTRY.(ake) TEXT ONLY ENTRY (Entered: 04/13/2012)
05/02/2012	58	STATUS REPORT / <i>Joint Status Report</i> filed by Defendant Skechers USA Inc. (Barker, Jeffrey) (Entered: 05/02/2012)
05/04/2012		(IN CHAMBERS) ORDER CONTINUING STATUS CONFERENCE RE GRABOWSKI ACTION by Judge John A Kronstadt: The Court has reviewed the parties' joint status report (Dkt. 58) and continues the status conference from May 7, 2012 to June 11, 2012 at 1:30 p.m., with a further report to be filed no later than June 4, 2012 as to the status of the case and any potential transfer to MDL 2308. THERE IS NO PDF DOCUMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS ENTRY.(ake) TEXT ONLY ENTRY (Entered: 05/04/2012)
05/21/2012	59	CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO-7) RE MDL 2308 by the Multidistrict Litigation Panel. transferring case to Western District of Kentucky, Louisville for the coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 USC Sect 1407 and with the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Thomas B Russell. Entire case transferred electronically. (MD JS-6. Case Terminated.) (Attachments: # 1 Transmittal Letter CV 117) (shb) (Entered: 05/21/2012)
05/21/2012	60	Transfer Out Transmittal Letter. A certified copy of the docket sheet and Entire case transferred electronically sent to USDC Western District of Kentucky at Louisville, Kentucky on 5/21/2012. (shb) (Entered: 05/21/2012)
05/22/2012		(IN CHAMBERS) ORDER VACATING JUNE 11, 2012 STATUS CONFERENCE RE GRABOWSKI ACTION by Judge John A Kronstadt: The matter has been transferred to the United States District Court for the Western District of Kentucky MDL 2308 and, therefore, the matter is not longer pending before this Court and the June 11, 2012 Status Conference is vacated. THERE IS NO PDF DOCUMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS ENTRY.(ake) TEXT ONLY ENTRY (Entered: 05/22/2012)
05/22/2012	61	RECEIVED Notice of Receipt of Electronic Case Transfer. Case transferred from California Central has been opened in Western District of Kentucky as case 3:12-cv-00263, filed 05/22/2012. (cbr) (Entered: 05/24/2012)

Exhibit F

SKX

<i>Attorney Ray Mandilekar</i>		
Date	Activity	Time
06/25/10	Review advertising.	02.25
06/26/10	Review advertising and draft complaint.	05.75
06/28/10	Review advertising, media and draft complaint.	03.00
06/27/10	Review advertising, media and draft complaint.	02.50
06/29/10	Meet with client to discuss case.	02.00
06/29/10	Draft Complaint; discuss with C. Morosoff.	05.50
07/05/10	Review California caselaw for substantive claims and class certification.	04.25
07/06/10	Review California procedures for class certification.	02.50
07/06/10	Review California caselaw for substantive claims and class certification.	03.75
07/07/10	Review California caselaw for substantive claims and class certification.	07.50
07/08/10	Review California caselaw for substantive claims and class certification.	06.00
07/15/10	Review California caselaw for substantive claims and class certification.	08.00
07/16/10	Review California caselaw for substantive claims and class certification.	06.75
07/30/10	Research and draft motion for class certification and supporting papers.	05.50
07/02/10	Research and draft motion for class certification and supporting papers.	08.25
08/03/10	Research and draft motion for class certification and supporting papers.	09.50
08/04/10	Research and draft motion for class certification and supporting papers.	11.50
08/05/10	Research and draft motion for class certification and supporting papers.	13.00
08/06/10	Research and draft motion for class certification and supporting papers.	14.50
08/07/10	Research and draft motion for class certification and supporting papers.	12.25
08/08/10	Research and draft motion for class certification and supporting papers.	13.75
08/09/10	Research and draft motion for class certification and supporting papers.	09.00
08/10/10	Research and draft motion for class certification and supporting papers.	06.00
08/17/10	Draft client update letter.	00.50
08/18/10	Revise motion for class certification; discuss with litigation team.	06.25
08/20/10	Revise and final motion for class certification and supporting documents.	08.75
08/21/10	Revise and final motion for class certification and supporting documents.	09.00
08/22/10	Revise and final motion for class certification and supporting documents.	11.25
08/23/10	Revise and final motion for class certification and supporting documents.	10.50
08/24/10	Revise and final motion for class certification and supporting documents.	09.50
08/25/10	Revise and final motion for class certification and supporting documents.	10.75
08/26/10	Revise and final motion for class certification and supporting documents.	02.25
08/27/10	Research and draft Opp. To Mot. to Dismiss; discuss with C. Morosoff.	11.50
08/28/10	Research and draft Opp. To Mot. to Dismiss; discuss with C. Morosoff.	13.25
08/29/10	Research and draft Opp. To Mot. to Dismiss; discuss with C. Morosoff.	14.00
08/30/10	Research and draft Opp. To Mot. to Dismiss; discuss with C. Morosoff.	04.50
09/14/10	Discuss case strategy with litigation team.	01.00
09/15/10	Draft Opposition to Defendant's ex parte application; discuss with litigation team.	06.25
09/27/10	Research and draft Reply in Support of Motion for Class Certification.	01.00
09/28/10	Research and draft Reply in Support of Motion for Class Certification.	06.75
09/29/10	Research and draft Reply in Support of Motion for Class Certification.	08.50
09/30/10	Research and draft Reply in Support of Motion for Class Certification.	09.00
10/01/10	Research and draft Reply in Support of Motion for Class Certification.	05.75
10/02/10	Research and draft Reply in Support of Motion for Class Certification.	08.00

SKX

10/03/10	Research and draft Reply in Support of Motion for Class Certification.	04.50
10/04/10	Research and draft Reply in Support of Motion for Class Certification.	02.75
10/24/10	Review and help draft first set of interrogatories; discuss with litigation team.	01.50
10/29/10	Review studies; discuss subpoena with C. Morosoff.	02.50
10/30/10	Draft consultant agreement.	01.00
12/06/10	Research and draft letter re Skechers' response to document requests. Discuss with C. Morosoff.	07.50
12/12/10	Research and draft letter re Skechers' response to document requests. Discuss with C. Morosoff.	03.50
12/17/10	Discuss Skechers' email response to letter with C. Morosoff.	01.00
12/19/10	Research additional authorities for meet-and-confer discussion.	04.25
12/20/10	Discuss discovery issue with C. Morosoff.	01.00
12/22/10	Travel to meet & confer conference; participate in meet & confer conference; discuss discovery issues with C. Morosoff; return from meet & confer conference.	06.25
01/07/10	Research and draft opposition to Defendant's motion to stay.	11.50
01/08/10	Research and draft opposition to Defendant's motion to stay.	13.75
01/09/10	Research and draft opposition to Defendant's motion to stay.	16.25
01/10/10	Research and draft opposition to Defendant's motion to stay.	15.00
07/05/11	Discuss content of status report with G. Hafif and C. Morosoff; draft Plaintiff's statement.	00.50
09/28/11	Discuss status report with C. Morosoff.	00.30
04/12/12	Discuss status report with C. Morosoff and draft Plaintiff's statement.	00.50
04/23/12	Correspond with client <i>re</i> case.	00.20
04/24/12	Discuss MDL with C. Morosoff	00.90
04/30/12	Discuss MDL with C. Morosoff.	00.40
05/01/12	Discuss contents of status report with C. Morosoff.	00.40
05/01/12	Participate in MDL counsel call.	00.50
	Total:	417.2

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on December 28, 2012, a copy of the foregoing was filed electronically and served via ECF to all counsel listed on the Attorney Service List. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 28th day of December 2012, at Claremont, California.


Gwen Simmons