Pg. 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS 2 3 3:09-md-02100-DRH-PMF IN RE YASMIN AND YAZ (DROSPIRENONE) MARKETING, SALES 4 PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY MDL No. 2100 5 LITIGATION 6 7 This Document Relates to: ALL CASES 8 9 10 STATUS CONFERENCE BE IT REMEMBERED AND CERTIFIED that heretofore on 3/2/2015, 11 the same being one of the regular judicial days in and for the 12 United States District Court for the Southern District of Illinois, Honorable David R. Herndon, United States District Judge, presiding, the following proceedings were recorded by 13 mechanical stenography; transcript produced by computer. 14 15 16 17 18 APPEARANCES: FOR PLAINTIFF: Mark R. Niemeyer, Michael A. London, Michael 19 Burg, Roger C. Denton, Seth A. Katz. 20 FOR DEFENDANT: Kaspar Stoffelmayr, John Galvin, Susan Weber, Prentiss Hallenbeck, Jr., Lisa Floro, Gary McConnell. 21 22 REPORTED BY: Molly N. Clayton, RPR, Official Reporter for 23 United States District Court, SDIL, 750 Missouri Ave., East St. Louis, Illinois 62201, (618)482-9226, 2.4 molly clayton@ilsd.uscourts.gov 25

Pg. 2 INDEX OF WITNESS EXAMINATION DX CX R-DX R-CX No witness testimony. MISCELLANEOUS INDEX PAGE No miscellaneous index entries. 

THE COURT: Okay. So we will call to order the status conference for the Yaz and Yasmin MDL2100.

Who is going to step to the podium and present the -- Michael Burg for the plaintiffs and Kaspar Stoffelmayr for the defendants.

So we have got the first order -- or first item on the agenda is the Gallbladder Resolution Program. Garrison Group submitted a report, as I found out, to the plaintiffs.

Mr. Burg, do you want to report on that?

MR. BURG: Your Honor, I will. We received the report. They're making progress on it. We did get some first notices of -- to the plaintiff lawyers concerning the lack of affidavits of private insurance. We've talked to them. We are going to follow up with them. They're hoping to have all the lien resolutions done by June, the majority of the ones that are not at this point that they claim to be deficient by mid-April. So we are making progress. We continue to talk to them to try to push forward as fast as we can, your Honor. And hopefully those dates will stay in place.

Mr. Stoffelmayr, you have nothing to add?

MR. STOFFELMAYR: Nothing from our side.

THE COURT: The next item is the genetic -- generic fact discovery against Bayer.

MR. BURG: We are moving forward. There were five depositions taken. I think four were in Amsterdam, one in the

Pg. 4

states. There is another deposition to be done two weeks from today and the -- we were told this morning that the last production will be made this week, so we are moving along pursuant to your order, your Honor.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. STOFFELMAYR: I have nothing to add. That's all correct.

THE COURT: All right. Great. And so the third item, as we move along here, is the report on the implementation of CMO 7.

MR. STOFFELMAYR: I think we reported to the Court first with respect to the Schuchart case that fact discovery is close to wrapped up there. We have one deposition of a doctor left to take this week, and then the deposition of the sales representative will be taken in March. And that should be it for fact discovery there.

We also discussed discovery in the other CMO 68 cases, and the parties are proceeding as instructed in CMO 70 to get the stage one depositions completed and on schedule and then proceed with the stage two depositions. And I think all parties reported that seems to be moving smoothly and cooperatively, and we don't foresee any problems. And lastly we discussed the nonviable cases described in CMO 70. In chambers, I provided some detail on our progress there. Unless you want me to, I don't need to put that on the record.

THE COURT: I don't think you need to put it on the record in detail, but it sounds like that's moving expeditiously, I think it would be a fair description. Would you agree with me?

MR. STOFFELMAYR: Your Honor, I think that is correct, and we should be ahead of -- we should either meet or beat all of the deadlines of CMO 70 pretty easily.

The one other related topic we discussed was providing the Court with a proposed CMO for how to deal with unserved cases to make sure that the ones that are going to be pursued are served promptly; and ones that haven't been served, because they are not going to be pursued, we can identify and dismiss and get off the docket.

THE COURT: Right. And I think you are going to work together on that and, perhaps, be able to change the procedure that was suggested in CMO 70 and come up with something a little bit more expeditious.

MR. STOFFELMAYR: I hope so.

THE COURT: Great. That's just fine.

Okay. Anything else to add to that, Mr. Burg?

MR. BURG: No. Just that we are working with the defense counsel with regard to the cases that don't meet the requirements, pursuant to your order, to have those cases dismissed. And we recognize that those cases, if they don't meet the requirements, should not be here and should be

dismissed.

THE COURT: And in terms of what we are talking about, we are just talking about cases that primarily should not have been filed in the first place or cases that appears to have been abandoned and just shouldn't.

MR. BURG: Or no use of the product that sort of thing.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. BURG: So we are clear on the record, if someone didn't use the product, obviously, they shouldn't have a case in the MDL or in state court.

THE COURT: Right. Okay. We are not talking depriving anybody of any due process, we are talking about cases that shouldn't be in.

MR. BURG: Correct.

THE COURT: So then the next item is the VTE settlement. Sounds like those are continuing, the discussions and cases continuing to discuss it; is there correct?

MR. BURG: Correct, your Honor. I know there's been meetings that have been set, and I know we are continuing to make progress. And I know there are meetings set in late March and April, and so I think we are coming closer to the end of having the VTE cases resolved.

THE COURT: And so with that in mind, if there is anyone listening, I would encourage people to check their

inventory and see if their cases are cases that there should 1 be -- discussions that can and should be taking place. They 2 3 should make sure they're looking over their inventory, and so we can resolve as many cases as possible as we try to wind 4 things down. So I encourage people to look over their 5 inventory. 6 7 So anything else, gentleman? MR. BURG: We have nothing, your Honor, except for the 8 9 next date for the next conference, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: All right. MR. STOFFELMAYR: Nothing here. 11 12 THE COURT: So we set that for April the 20th. 13 got a pretty busy early April schedule. So April 20th, the status conference will be at 12:30. 14 So if there's nothing else, we stand adjourned. And I 15 appreciate your efforts. 16 17 -000-18 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 19 I, Molly N. Clayton, RPR, FCRR, Official Court Reporter for the U.S. District Court, Southern District of Illinois, do 20 hereby certify that I reported with mechanical stenography the proceedings contained in pages 1 - 7; and that the same is a 21 full, true, correct and complete transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. 22 DATED this 7th day of March, 2015. s/Molly Clayton, RPR, FCRR 23 24

25