UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

IN RE: ZIMMER NEXGEN KNEE)
IMPLANT PRODUCTS LIABILITY) MDL NO. 2272
LITIGATION)
)
This Document Applies to All Cases) Master Docket Case No. 1:11-cv-05468
)
) Honorable Rebecca Pallmeyer

CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER NO. 11

On December 10, 2014, the Court entered Case Management Order No. 8, which required all plaintiffs to provide evidence or certification of loosening of a NexGen Flex femoral component, a 5950 NexGen MIS Stemmed Tibial Component, or any other tibial component implanted with a NexGen Flex femoral component. (*See* Doc. 1256 in Case No. 1:11-cv-05468, ¶ 1-2.) On January 22, 2016, the Court entered Case Management Order No. 9, which required each plaintiff to designate his or her case as a "Track One" or "Track Two" case. (*See* Doc. 1651 in Case No. 1:11-cv-05468, ¶ 1-4.) Plaintiffs in Track One were required to submit one or more of the following: (1) a medical record demonstrating knee flexion greater than 120 degrees; (2) a certification by counsel that he or she had reviewed the medical records and conferred with the client, and as a result, had a reasonable and good faith basis for contending that the client achieved high flexion activity; and/or (3) a medical record demonstrating implantation and subsequent loosening of a 5950 NexGen MIS Stemmed Tibial Component. (*Id.*)

On January 22, 2016, the Court also entered Case Management Order No. 10, wherein the Court stated its commitment to have full resolution of these consolidated proceedings by the end of 2017. To that end, the Court identified a bellwether trial schedule and a plan for global mediation. (*See* Doc. 1652 in Case No. 1:11-cv-05468). It is now clear to the Court that

additional support for Plaintiff's claims is necessary in furtherance of the goals set forth in Case Management Order No. 10.

Therefore, the Court now determines that an order in the nature of the order used in *Lore v. Lone Pine Corp.*, No. L33606-85 (N.J.Super.Ct. Law Div., Monmouth Co., Jan. 1, 1986), is necessary to ensure that certain cases in Track One have sufficient merit to proceed to trial and orders the following:

- 1. Plaintiffs who meet the following criteria shall provide the Expert Declaration form attached to this order by September 13, 2016.
 - a. Plaintiff has complied with CMO-2, CMO-8, and CMO-9, and,
 - Plaintiff has designated his or her case as a Track One case under CMO 9,
 and,
 - c. Plaintiff intends to pursue any of the following claims:
 - (1) femoral loosening resulting from high flexion activities, regardless of the tibial component implanted;
 - (2) loosening of a tibial component other than an MIS 5950 tibial component resulting from high flexion activities;
 - (3) tibial loosening resulting from high flexion activities of an MIS tibial component which was implanted with a drop down stem.

For the sake of clarity, Plaintiffs who intend to pursue a claim regarding loosening of a MIS 5950 tibial component without a drop down stem are **not** required to provide an Expert Declaration form under this order even if that claim includes a theory that high flexion activity contributed to or enhanced the loosening of the MIS 5950 tibial component, unless a Plaintiff is also pursuing a claim of femoral loosening resulting from high flexion activities, as described in

section c.1. If Plaintiffs who wish to allege femoral loosening in addition to loosening of a MIS 5950 Tibial Component without a stem extension fail to complete the Expert Declaration form, those Plaintiffs will be precluded from pursuing a theory that the femoral component loosened due to high-flexion at trial. If Plaintiffs who allege loosening of a MIS 5950 Tibial Component with a stem extension fail to complete the Expert Declaration form, they will be precluded from pursuing a high-flexion theory at trial.

Plaintiff's counsel should email completed Expert Declarations to defense counsel, Faegre Baker Daniels LLP, at nicole.brett@faegrebd.com.

- 2. Failure of Plaintiffs subject to the terms of paragraph 1 to provide the signed Expert Declaration before September 13, 2016 may result in the dismissal of a Plaintiff's case with prejudice, as set forth below:
 - a. For any Track One, high-flexion Plaintiff who is required to comply with this order and fails to comply with this Order in a timely and complete manner, Zimmer will notify the plaintiff and the Court of the failure to comply by filing a notice of non-compliance on the MDL's Master Docket on October 3, 2016.
 - b. Any non-compliant Plaintiffs will then have until November 3, 2016 to provide the expert declaration required in paragraph 1 and to file a brief with the Court showing cause for the failure to provide the expert declaration before the September 13, 2016 deadline.
 - c. On November 11, 2016, Zimmer will file a final list of Plaintiffs who still have yet to comply with this Order. The Court will promptly dismiss those Plaintiffs' cases with prejudice.

Case: 1:11-cv-05468 Document #: 1934 Filed: 06/24/16 Page 4 of 4 PageID #:48687

d. The Court will also review any briefs attempting to show cause for late

compliance and determine whether good cause exists, such that those

cases can proceed, rather than being dismissed with prejudice.

3. New Cases and Cases Without a Served Plaintiff Fact Sheet or Proof of

Loosening

In cases in which the Plaintiff has not yet served a Plaintiff Fact Sheet, proof of

loosening, and proof of high-flexion under CMO Nos. 2, 8, and 9, or in which a Complaint has

not been filed as of the date of this Order, Plaintiffs meeting the criteria of paragraph 1c above

shall submit the Expert Declaration attached to this Order within 180 days of the date upon

which the case was filed. If a Plaintiff in such a case fails to comply with this Order and provide

the Expert Declaration within that time frame, upon written notification by Zimmer, Plaintiffs

shall have 30 days to comply. The failure to supply the Expert Declaration shall subject a

Plaintiff to the deficiency process outlined in Case Management Order No. 2.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 24, 2016

HON. REBECCA R. PALLMEYER

Debuce Rachweye

United States District Judge