| 1  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT                           |  |
|----|--|--|
| 2  | EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA                          |  |
| 3  |  |  |
| 4  |  |  |
| 5  |  | Docket MDL 1657-L                                      |
| 6  | LIABILITE LITUATION                                    | March 25, 2008   |
| 7  |  | 9:00 a.m.  |
| 8  |  |  |
| 9  | STATUS CONFERENCE BEFORE THE                           |  |
| 10 | HONORABLE ELDON E. FALLON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE |  |
| 11 | ONLITED STATES DISTRICT SOUGH                          |  |
| 12 | <u>APPEARANCES</u> :                                   |  |
| 13 |  | an Herman Katz & Cotlar                                |
| 14 | BY:  | RUSS M. HERMAN, ESQ.<br>O'Keefe Avenue                 |
| 15 |  | Orleans, Louisiana 70113                               |
| 16 |  | ley Allen Crow Methvin<br>ortis & Miles                |
| 17 | BY: A  | ANDY D. BIRCHFELD, JR., ESQ. Commerce Street           |
| 18 | P.O.   | BOX 4160<br>gomery, Alabama 36103                      |
| 19 |  |  |
| 20 | For the Defendant: Will BY:                            | iams & Connolly, LLP<br>DOUGLAS R. MARVIN, ESQ.        |
| 21 | 725  | Twelfth Street, NW<br>ington, DC 20005                 |
| 22 |  |  |
| 23 | Committee: Barr  | ios, Kingsdorf & Casteix, LLP<br>DAWN M. BARRIOS, ESQ. |
| 24 | 701  | Poydras Street 2                                       |
| 25 |  | Orleans, Louisiana 70139                               |
|    | II   |  |

## 1 **APPEARANCES:** 2 Special Master: Juneau Law Firm BY: PATRICK A. JUNEAU, ESQ. 3 1018 Harding Street Suite 202 4 Lafayette, Louisiana 70503 5 BrownGreen, PLC BY: ORRAN BROWN, ESQ. BY: LYNN GREER, ESQ. Claims Administrator: 6 7 115 South 15th Street Suite 400 Richmond, Virginia 23285 8 9 Johnston, Hoefer, Holwadel & Curator: 10 Eldrige Robert M. Johnston, ESQ. 11 601 Poydras Street Suite 2490 12 New Orleans, Louisiana 70130 13 Official Court Reporter: Jodi Simcox, RMR 14 500 Poydras Street Room B-406 New Orleans, Louisiana 70130 (504) 589-7780 15 16 17 Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript 18 19 produced by computer. 20 21 22 23 24 25

1 **PROCEEDINGS** 2 (March 25, 2008) 3 THE DEPUTY CLERK: All rise. 4 **THE COURT:** Be seated, please. Good morning, ladies 5 and gentlemen. 6 **ALL LAWYERS:** Good morning, Your Honor. 7 THE DEPUTY CLERK: MDL 1657, in re: Vioxx. 8 THE COURT: Counsel, make their appearances. 9 MR. HERMAN: Good morning, Your Honor, Judge Fallon. 10 May it please the Court, Russ Herman for the plaintiffs. 11 MR. MARVIN: Douglas Marvin for Merck, Your Honor. 12 **THE COURT:** We're here today for our monthly status I met with the liaison committees in advance and 13 conference. 14 got from them a proposed agenda. I'll take it and I'll hear from the parties as to the agenda items. 15 MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, all the orders regarding 16 17 settlement agreement are posted. If Your Honor pleases, we'd 18 like to move to registration, and Mr. Marvin will introduce 19 that subject, followed by Mr. Birchfield, and then followed by 20 BrownGreer. 21 **THE COURT:** That's Item II, registration of claims in 22 the settlement program. Mr. Marvin? 23 MR. MARVIN: Yes, Your Honor. Orran Brown and Lynn 24 Greer, the claims administrators, are here today and they're

prepared to report to the Court on the number of registrations,

25

as well as the number of enrollments in the resolution program.

For my part, I'll just go quickly to the bottom line and advise the Court that more than 94 percent of the claimants with eligible claims have enrolled in the program to date. There are more claims that are being enrolled. More claims are being received each day.

We know that there are pro se claimants who have until March 31 to enroll in the program in order to qualify for an interim payment. Mr. Johnston will be able to report on that with respect to contacting those pro se claimants. So we do expect more pro se claimants to be enrolling in the program. There are also some firms that are still processing the claims, those particularly with large inventories. We're continuing to review those claims and enroll the claims in the program.

So we expect the numbers, more than 94 percent, to rise even further. To accommodate those continuing to enroll in the program, Merck has served notice, as provided in the master settlement agreement, to extend the deadline for enrollments in the program, and that deadline is now extended to May 1. So the program is still open to those wishing to enroll in the program.

Mr. Birchfield is going to address another issue that we'd like to bring to the Court's attention with respect to the program as well.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. BIRCHFIELD: Thank you, Your Honor. Andy Birchfield on behalf of the plaintiffs steering committee.

I would like to discuss the issue of the timing of motions to withdraw that are contemplated under the settlement agreement; but before I do, I'd like to provide one point of clarification that goes along with the extension of the enrollment deadline date.

We have received a number of questions about the timing of the final certification and when that should be filed with BrownGreer. Now that the enrollment deadline date has been extended to May 1st, that is the deadline for filing the final certification.

There's been a very slight modification that has been made to the final certification that will be posted on the BrownGreer Web site, and an e-mail will be sent to all primary counsel regarding that modification. But I would like to make it clear that for those firms or those lawyers that have already completed and executed their final certification, there is no need to submit a new one.

The modification is made just to allow a firm to -- if they have one or two clients where they are continuing to pursue enrollment, that they can exercise or execute that final certification. But the final certification now is due by May 1st, and we'll get notice out through the BrownGreer e-mail lists, as well as posting it on their Web site.

In conjunction with that, we have -- the settlement agreement contemplates that in a limited number of circumstances, lawyers, where they have exercised their independent judgment, determined that it's in the best interest of their clients to enroll in the program, they have recommended that the clients enroll in the program, yet for some reason, the clients have not yet enrolled, then the lawyers are to move to withdraw, provided they can do so within equitable parameters.

We've received some questions about timing:
When is the deadline for filing those motions to withdraw? We have worked with Merck and we have extended that deadline to
June 1st. So lawyers now have until June 1st, under the settlement agreement, to move to withdraw in the limited number of circumstances where that will be necessary.

We know from the number of releases and the number of enrollments that the percentage of folks that will -- or lawyers that will need to file motions to withdraw is very small. But in those circumstances, they have until June 1st.

One of the reasons that we see the need for extending that until June 1st is there are a small number of cases where lawyers have yet to be able to locate or to communicate with their clients. So we want to encourage lawyers to continue making those efforts before they file their motion to withdraw.

So another circumstance where lawyers would need to withdraw is if there is a disagreement between the lawyer and how they should proceed and the client. We would ask the Court if you could provide some guidance, perhaps through a case management order, about what you would expect to see in a motion to withdraw and the circumstances where a lawyer, for instance, has been unable to locate or communicate with their client.

We could submit something to you, or ask you if you would enter a case management order to provide that direction.

THE COURT: Yes, they will fall into two groups. One is the lost-client group. In the lost-client group, we're going to need to be a little more specific on it, but we'll need what the lawyer has done thus far, and I would expect him or her to try to locate the person by writing a letter and then post it in local newspapers, and give me proof that they have done that, and then the last known address, and, hopefully, the last known address of someone else, kin of some kind.

I'll be a little more specific in the case management order. But then irreconcilable differences, I want to make sure that the individual has an opportunity to get other counsel, or make a decision as to whether or not to proceed pro se, or to find other counsel. Again, we'll give them enough time to do so, and then we'll set their case for

trial and proceed.

MR. BIRCHFIELD: Your Honor, in regards to providing a declaration about the efforts that have been undertaken, a lot of times that will involve a level of attorney-client communication. We would ask the Court -- we think that that could be addressed in one of two ways. It could be filed under seal. But we think that would be an administrative burden on the Clerk's Office and as well as on the court.

One possibility we would ask you to consider is having the lawyer file the motion with the court, but the declaration that's setting out the effort that had been undertaken, the declaration that is submitted in support of that motion be filed only with BrownGreer.

THE COURT: That's fine, as long as I have the opportunity to see it.

MR. BIRCHFIELD: Yes, Your Honor, and BrownGreer could make that available to the Court. So the bottom line is that the deadline for filing the motions to withdraw, under the settlement agreement, is now June 1st.

**THE COURT:** Okay.

MR. BIRCHFIELD: Your Honor, Jason Wolf is here with the Garretson Law Group and just wanted me to let the Court know that they are continuing to make progress in working with the governmental lien issue; and then BrownGreer is here and prepared to give a report of the numbers that have been received.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. BROWN: Good morning, Your Honor. My name is Orran Brown from BrownGreer in Richmond, and with me today is Lynn Greer as well.

What we would like to do today, Your Honor, is what we've done before is update the Court and the parties on where we stand on the numbers, the materials, and the claimants from whom we've received information so far; also talk about other major activities that are underway right now at the claims administrative level with the parties involved in this settlement program; and then look ahead a little bit to the next stages and what's on the horizon for all of us as we move through the program.

First of all, let's talk about what we always report, the people, the folks who submitted materials to register for the program at the outset. The Court's order required those submissions by January 15th; but the parties agreed to still receive submissions of people signing up for the census, as the Court referred to it, after January 15th.

This table shows us how many more claimants we have heard from, and how many law firms, and unrepresented claimants have presented registration materials to us. By the 15th of this year, we had heard from a total of 57,595 people. In that process, the counsel were required to give us a

claimant spreadsheet that listed a lot of information about the clients, including their injury assertions; and a number of folks who signed up said that they had other injuries, which are not eligible injuries under the settlement program.

So to give us an accurate picture of the census results, we have to back out the folks who told us in the spreadsheet that they were other injuries. So if we're looking for a rough ball park of eligible claimants to participate in the program, we had 49,121 by the 15th of January, another 760 since then, for a total audience of 49,881 people who said they had -- who signed up and said they had injuries that may qualify for the program.

That is not an exact number because the information at this stage is still subject to the medical records and everything else coming in. Some of the folks still left the injury answer unanswered. So the number is, as best we could tell today, 49,881 people who registered in the program who may have an injury that qualifies, or at least they have told us that they do.

THE COURT: You're counting the people who came in after the deadline?

MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor. That total number of 49,000 includes the 760 potentially eligible people who have come in since January 15th. We still receive these. We still get some registration sign-ups here and there every day.

THE COURT: You're counting those?

MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor. This is through

yesterday.

THE COURT: I think that's appropriate. As I remember the first census in Bethlehem, there were some people who were late and they seem to have done okay and were counted.

MR. BROWN: We're following that model, Your Honor. The parties have told us to count them and include them, and the volume has dropped off considerably, obviously, because now people have moved really into the enrollment stage.

As the parties announced the last time we were here, they had agreed to a second amendment to the settlement agreement that specified what counsel had to do to enlist their clients in the program by February 29th, 2008, which was the date to be considered for an interim payment under the settlement program.

And that was the key to get their initial information by the 29th to us, which was they could sign up for us online through their Web site portal that we created for each firm; they could send us the claimant's spreadsheet, which is CS up here, with the question 35, which is, is the claimant enrolled, answered yes; or they could just send us a claimant list attached to an enrollment form. They also had to give us an enrollment form by the 29th of February.

Now, coupled with that, they still have to send

us the other pieces of the enrollment package, which are the release, the stipulation of dismissal if they have a pending lawsuit, a medical authorization form, and then an employment records authorization form if they're seek the extraordinary injury payments.

So those are the pieces of the enrollment package, and they were required to do the first part, the informational part, the enrollment form by the 29th, and finish out the rest of it by the 31st of March, which is soon, to be in the running for consideration for an interim payment.

**THE COURT:** The 3,108, is the hard copy that the others can be hard copied or online?

MR. BROWN: We're supposed to have a hard copy of everything, Your Honor, except for the medical authorization form and the employment authorization form, the parties have told us we could accept electronic copies of those two documents. Everything else is supposed to be sent to us in hard copy form, post-marked or handed to an overnight carrier by the 31st to meet that deadline.

So looking at our enrollment numbers, first we're going to break them down into folks that we heard from by the 29th, and then I'll show the Court who we've heard from since the 29th, and then we'll show the totals.

This is the group that really was enrolling within the meaning of the second amendment, by the 29th of

February, to be considered for an interim payment. As I mentioned, they could come in online to us, which is the first row, the 29,000 plus; they could send us their spreadsheet, which a number of firms did that with their claimant's spreadsheet, that's another 19,000 plus. We had a few folks who just sent us a claimant list attached to an enrollment form.

If you pull out the folks that were turned in by more than one firm or turned in twice, we had a total number of claimants from law firms, from primary counsel, of 49,135 of people who enrolled by the 29th. By that time we'd already heard from 233 of the pro se, unrepresented folks, which gave us a total number of people who were enrolling by the 29th of 49,368.

But once again, to get a little bit better feel for claimants who really may be eligible for the program, we have to back out the claimants who told us in their spreadsheet had an other injury, an ineligible injury. So we get to a number of 45,804 claimants from primary counsel and pro se's who enrolled by the 29th.

Now, the pro se claimants themselves, they have until March 31st to do all of this. They were not bound by the 29th deadline. They can enroll by the 31st of March and still be considered by then for an interim payment. We've still received enrollments after the 29th. We've got the numbers

shown here, coming in in the same methods, a net number of 480 folks who have signed up, enrolled since the 29th. Because you can still enroll after the 29th, you just won't be considered for the interim payment.

As we just heard from Mr. Marvin, the parties now have -- or claimants now have until May 1st to send us enrollment materials to sign up for the program and be considered in the program. They just won't be considered for an interim payment if that occurs.

So then we look at the total enrollments. These are the numbers of the total enrollments from before the 29th of February and after -- or since the 29th of February. As of yesterday afternoon when we ran these numbers, we have a total of 50,004 people, claimants, who are enrolled in the program within the meaning of the second amendment, take out the 3,720 folks who said they had an other injury, and we get to the 46,284 claimants who have done what they need to so far to enroll in the program.

Now, the next step for all those claimants are sending us the rest of the enrollment package, as we mentioned earlier: The releases, the stipulation of dismissal, the medical authorization — the medical records authorization form, and the employment records authorization form. Those are the numbers as of yesterday afternoon that we had of those documents.

We've already gotten almost 40,000 of the release documents. We've gotten over 27,000 stipulations of dismissal, and over 39,000 medical authorization forms, and then 25,000 -- almost 26,000 employment authorization forms. These are the documents that are coming in every day now.

We receive shipments, mail, Fed Ex and UPS deliveries every day. Because these are the materials that are required to be post-marked or delivered to an overnight carrier, addressed to us, by the 31st of March -- or on before the 31st of March to complete their enrollment package for purposes of being considered for the interim payment.

Now, these materials, if you're not in line for an interim payment, didn't make the 29th of February step to be considered for that, as we just heard, you can still send these materials through May 1st to sign up and enroll for the program, just not for the interim payment step.

Looking ahead, Your Honor, to the next steps in the program, one thing that has to happen is review of all those enrollment materials. We and the parties have been working out the criteria and the process to look at the releases and the stipulations and let the counsel know, or let the pro se claimants know, if there's something wrong with them, if they're not signed, if there's some material flaw in them that keeps them from being a true, complete enrollment document.

2 3 4

We've been working with the parties on those criteria for completeness, and then the process for reviewing them and how we transfer to claimants or counsel what they need to do to remedy a problem in a document.

We're going to use the Vioxx portal as the method to tell represented claimants what they have, what they've sent us, and what's not complete, what they need to fix about it. We have been using this portal so much that we keep adding functions to it; and I think that most of the counsel are finding this to be an efficient, helpful way to receive information from us and send us information.

So we have now and will post in the next couple of days, a complete user manual and send an announcement to each law firm about how to use all the functions on this. It will have screen shots in it and will explain how to go about each function that's now available online through our processes to handle claims, submit claims, find out about their enrollment documents.

This is the system we're going to use to permit firms to hear about that. So far we're getting a lot of good feedback from firms that are using this. So we're getting ready to issue a complete user manual to show them how that's done. One of the things that they will see on their portal now is under the enrollment section -- we've rolled this out last week -- a firm can go to their enrollment page and they can

search their clients.

This will now show them what enrollment documents we have received and not received from each claimant. They can look up each person, look up all their claimants. This is where you go now if you're a primary counsel to see what documents we have and don't have.

If you're wondering what we have by the 31st of March and don't have for somebody, this is how you look it up. Because right now what it shows for each firm is if we have received a document, a release, a stipulation, a medical authorization, or an employment authorization, as shown across the bottom, for each claimant it will say, "received". If we haven't received it, it's blank. We've explained that to the firms. That way they can tell what we're missing, we just don't have yet.

As we do the completeness reviews, working with the parties on the completeness reviews, this is where we'll show a deficiency. If we found something and the parties found something wrong in the release, that it's not signed, for example, it will say, "deficiency", here, as this example here shows. If it says, "NCD", that means no current deficiencies. That means we've looked at it, the parties have looked at it and it's okay.

If it says a deficiency, this is where they can open it up and see what the problem is and what they have to do

to correct it. If someone didn't sign it, or it was the wrong signature, this is how you correct it. This is a normal deficiency process for any document in the settlement program. Here, we're going to provide that online. This will also allow the firms to print everything, print the deficiencies, print all of them, print them as to a particular claimant.

We think this is the most efficient way to make that happen.

THE COURT: Each firm will be private? That is to say, no one else can go into that without the proper authorization?

MR. BROWN: Correct, Your Honor. Each firm has a secure password and an I.D. and can see only their claimants and no one else's claimants. Only the people in their firm that they've authorized and given the password to can even do that within their own firm.

Another area of activity, Your Honor, since we last met is we've been working with Mr. Johnston, the pro se curator, and folks in his office, to set up the processes to enable him to have information about the unrepresented claimants from whom we've already heard.

We are doing the same thing for him, to set up a Web-based connection with us as a pro se curator portal. It will permit them to do the same sort of search on each of the unrepresented claimants that we've heard from. They will be

able to look them up and see what we have, see some basic information on them. This is all dummy information, not real social security numbers.

They can access this and look up what those claimants have sent us, if they need to see what we've received and not received. We'll also enable them to actually view the images, the PDF image, of each document if they need to see it or download it for themselves if they'd like to have it.

The Court's order appointing the pro se curator required the curator to keep a log of telephone calls with unrepresented claimants. We're setting up the process to enable Mr. Johnston, and the folks at this office, to use our call center screens and database so that they can log into our system and record them directly into the database and keep notes as to what the call was, what the purpose was, and the outcome of it, to enable him to perform that function under his order.

Your Honor, that carries us through registration, enrollments, and recent activities in our office. The next, and last, part of our presentation deals with the claims status and where we are on that right now, the deadlines for that, and Lynn is going to cover that for the Court.

THE COURT: Thank you very much.

MR. BROWN: Thank you.

MR. BIRCHFIELD: Your Honor, before Lynn addresses

the claims package, if I could address one matter that Mr. Brown raised, and that is using the portal to notify lawyers regarding any deficiencies in the documents. One area where we have received a large number of inquiries, and I know BrownGreer has as well, is the status of the documents that have been received.

I know that lawyers are concerned about receiving notice of a deficiency and it impacting that client's eligibility for an interim payment. So I want to make it very clear that once BrownGreer notifies a firm of a deficiency, they will be given a reasonable period of time to correct that deficiency. If that deficiency is timely corrected, it will not impair the eligibility for their interim payment.

I know that there's been some concerns. So I wanted everyone to know that once you receive the notice of the deficiency, there will be an opportunity, a window there, of reasonable time to make that correction and not affect eligibility for interim payment.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. GREER: Good morning, Your Honor. Lynn Greer from BrownGreer in Richmond, Virginia.

I wanted to talk quickly about the next phase of the process, which is the claims package submission phase, and that deadline, the settlement agreement provides, is July 1st of this year. 2 3 4

We have been talking with many firms about the steps that they are taking to submit these packages. It's obviously a lot of work for the firms to compile the records and to submit them to us.

So what I wanted to do was give the Court a brief report of how many packages we've gotten to date; and also to share with the Court some steps that we are taking to simplify, we hope, the steps that the firms must take as they compile and submit these packages to us.

The claims package contains the claims form; the required pharmacy, medical and event records, which we call the PME records; the profile forms, which were submitted in the underlying litigation; and any additional claims information that we may request. These are the components of the claims package that we look for when we receive packages from the firms.

As of yesterday, we have received from 25 different firms and pro se claimants, 49 pro se's, 774 claims packages. The majority of these are coming to us in electronic format, which is what we prefer, and I'll go into a little more detail about that in a moment. We have gotten 35 claims packages by hard copy from primary counsel; 49 from pro se's. The pro se's are submitting those in hard copy, which we would have expected. And a total today of 774 claims packages.

We are sharing with the firms and would like to

share with the Court our suggestions on how firms can submit these packages to us most efficiently. First and foremost is that they should submit them electronically. We will handle and we can accommodate hard copy submissions. But the easiest way for the firm, and for us, is to process those upon receipt is for the firm to use their own Vioxx portal to upload the claims packages to us.

We have many people at our firm who monitor that and will download the claims packages as they come in to us. It is also very important that as they send the packages to us that they label each package with the client's VCN, or Vioxx claims number, which is our unique identifier within our system.

The claims form is available in two places.

One, there is a hard copy form that's available on the Vioxx claims administrator Web site that the firm can use and print and fill in by hand.

However, what we have made available to the firms is, again, on their Vioxx portal, each claimant has a claims form that is viewable by the firm when the firm logs in and selects a claimant. The claims form is prefilled with a lot of information that we received as part of the enrollment and registration phases.

The goal here was to try to pre-populate as much as possible so the firm would not have to continue to repeat

entering the same data on these claimants. So the firm can pull up a claims form, they can change the data if there has been any change in address or any other demographic information.

This is where the firm indicates the primary injury. We've recently changed this claims form to allow the firm to input a secondary injury, if they are alleging a second injury, and this is where they also provide the event date.

When it comes time to sign and submit the claims form, one of the things that we have done, with the agreement of the parties, is rather than have the firm fill this out, print it, and then send it to us as part of the claims package, we have provided a method for them to provide an electronic signature.

So if, and only if, there has been a profile form submitted in the litigation which contains the requisite signatures, if we have record of a profile form, or if the firm indicates that they are submitting a profile form, then they will be able to get this box that confirms their electronic signature.

They will be able to say that they agree that this is the equivalent of an original signature, they submit the claim form, and it automatically gets transmitted to our server, and they need not include the claims form in the package that they submit to us.

If there was not a profile form submitted in the litigation, then the claimant has to complete Attachment A to this claims form which asks family history and risk factor information. The claimant does have to provide his or her original signature. And in that instance, the firm would need to print off a claims form, obtain the original signature and send that to us.

The other area that is a challenge for many is to be able to gather the proof of use information. One of the things that we have posted as of Friday of last week for each firm to use is a pill count calculator. This is a tool that on the Web site is a live Excel document.

What it does is it allows the firm, as they're looking through their claimant's records, to enter the claimant name, the VCN, the event date, and then the firm can enter each instance of a prescription or a sample having been provided to a claimant.

The only areas that firm need enter are these in the green areas here. So they do have to enter the claimant name, the VCN, and the event date. Then they can list each of the prescription dates, the number of pills dispensed, the dose, and the prescriber pharmacy.

The calculator tool on the live Excel document has a button that they can push once they have entered all the information -- it's a calculate button -- and they push that

button and the rest of the spreadsheet is populated. What this calculator does, and what it will assist the firms with doing, is it helps them access whether the claimant has met both duration and the proximity gates under the gate criteria. It also provides information for the point calculation.

What it does is it will shade, in pink, any prescription that was dispensed within 365 days prior to the event. So that's the one-year look-back period where any prescription that was dispensed -- I mean, any pills that were dispensed within that 365 days are highlighted in pink. If the quantity satisfies one of the proximity gates, then those cells are also highlighted in pink.

So you see for this test claimant that it meets the portion of the proximity gate that at least 90 pills were dispensed within the 140 days prior to the eligible event. And it shows this firm then that their client has met the proximity gate. That is automatically prefilled once this is calculated.

The other thing that this does for the points criteria is it calculates the consistency of use adjustment that the settlement agreement provides, as well as the label adjustment. So this is a one-stop shop for any of the duration and the pill calculations that are relevant under the settlement agreement.

Finally, the things that we are -- other things that we are encouraging the firms to do are to understand what

the settlement agreement provided in terms of the required records, and this is posted -- it is an exhibit to the settlement agreement, obviously, but we have also made this available in a document that lists for each injury type, specifically, what the records are that are required.

There's also a document on the Web site that is a step-by-step guideline for submitting claims packages that tells the firms in detail how to bookmark and highlight the medical records. Firms can go to our Web site and print this and it goes through, step-by-step, how to put together the claims packages in terms of Adobe folders, how to label those, and send those to us.

So we are trying, Your Honor, to provide tools for the firms to use that will assist them in making submission of claims packages consistent.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much. That's very good. I appreciate your work.

MS. GREER: Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. HERMAN: May it please the Court, Your Honor --

THE COURT: Mr. Johnston had some comment.

MR. JOHNSTON: Mr. Herman, can I get in front of you?

MR. HERMAN: Absolutely.

MR. JOHNSTON: I asked to speak briefly to the Court because I have a 10:00 status conference in Jefferson, and we

all know how much time it takes to get over there. All I want to notify the Court is that last Thursday there was the initial communication that was disseminated by our office, sent out to those that have registered but not enrolled.

I checked this morning with my office manager at 7:15 and was told either the next batch of letters, which are some 900 of those who have not yet registered, either went out yesterday or are going out this morning. Russ and I have talked and we have gotten great assistance from BrownGreer. They're obviously way ahead of us in terms of that.

The logging-in that you heard about is going to be a great benefit to us. We'll be able to provide reports to the Court. I think things are moving well from our standpoint. If there's any questions that the Court --

THE COURT: How many pro se claimants have you written to so far?

MR. JOHNSTON: So far it was 314, I think, went out last Thursday, and we're having another 900-some that may well have gone out yesterday. I just was not in my office and I wasn't able to verify it. But hot off the press is it's either yesterday or today in terms of my office manager.

We're going to be dealing very closely with BrownGreer and I think that we'll be able to provide additional positive information to the Court the next time we're together.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.

MR. JOHNSTON: Thank you. Thanks, Russ.

MR. HERMAN: May it please the Court. Your Honor, just two quick references that relate to registration.

We have had some problem with health care providers of one type or another or pharmacies requiring special medical authorizations, repetitively. The plaintiffs and defendants have worked on a proposed recommendation, Your Honor, for a universal order that would remedy that, and we should be able to get that to Your Honor before the week's end.

Secondly, with regard to the medical certification of records, preservation of records, as Your Honor has pointed out, it's been a burden on the Clerk's Office because those preservation certifications have been going to the Clerk's Office, and we just wanted to make special note that they should not go to the Clerk's Office. They should go to Merck's representatives.

BrownGreer has agreed to pick up whatever there is in the Clerk's Office to that effect and just store them for the present. But I do want to emphasize the necessity that those preservation certifications not be sent to the Clerk's Office.

**THE COURT:** Okay.

MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, the next matter on your suggested agenda is the Item IV, special master and deputy special masters. On January 14th Your Honor appointed Mr. Pat

Juneau as a special master.

Then after Your Honor consulted with Judges
Chaney and Higbee, Your Honor on January 16th appointed as a
deputy special master, retired Justice John Trotter of
California and retired Judge Marina Corodemus of New Jersey.

Your Honor and Mr. Juneau directed that there be an orientation, which was held on March 5th, 2008. Mr. Juneau and Your Honor, I believe, have some comments regarding that.

THE COURT: I met with the special master and the deputy special masters together with representative counsel from both plaintiffs and defendants. The deputy special masters, with Mr. Juneau's direction, were given a course in what to expect and how to handle the proceedings. I thought it went well. It took the better part of a day. Their questions were answered and I think they went away with a good feeling for their responsibility and duties.

I'm also directed that the appeals, if there be any, be randomly divided between and among the special masters. They will go to Mr. Juneau, and Special Master Juneau will then randomly send them out. I think the random approach is best for consistency and also credibility. It's just best for the special masters, for the deputy special masters, as well as for the litigation as a whole.

Mr. Juneau, do you have any comments?

MR. JUNEAU: No, sir, Your Honor, other than the fact

1
 2
 3

that we've already gotten together and interfaced with BrownGreer, and we've already started into that process, how that will be done in a blind system.

The second thing is, we've already interfaced and dealt with the forms and procedures we'll use in that regard. We're also putting in process in fairly short order, we're going to have a dry-run process to make sure everybody's on board with the mechanics -- a test run, if you will, of the procedures.

So I think we're ahead of the learning curve in that regard.

THE COURT: I think that's very helpful. They've devised a program whereby we can have a dummy run, so to speak. They'll get a couple of claims and be able to process those claims and get input from the various parties as to the proper way of doing it, the most efficient way of doing it from BrownGreer's standpoint. I think that will be very helpful.

MR. JUNEAU: Just one last comment, Your Honor. Pursuant to the Court's direction at the orientation program, and I have verified this with the two deputy special masters, the object after we've gotten the system established, we've got the commitment that this thing will be done on an efficient, prompt manner. There won't be any delay in the reviewing process. We have a tracking mechanism to track all that.

So I think all that is in place to make this

thing run efficiently and on board as you've directed.

THE COURT: Thank you very much. The next item is state court trial settings.

MR. MARVIN: Your Honor, I guess for the first time in three years, we're able to advise the Court that there are no cases set for trial in any of the state courts through the relevant period.

THE COURT: I've been in touch with several of the judges and I'm happy that the situation is working out. I think that throughout the country the program has been well-received. I know the state courts around the country appreciate it, as well as the MDL court.

MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, with regard to the next item on your docket titled, class actions, Merck's motions to dismiss have been briefed and replied to and have been submitted. Those motions have been submitted on brief and they're under advisement.

With regard to discovery directed to Merck, Item VII, that discovery has been stayed. With regard to discovery directed to third parties, the FDA, through its counsel, has indicated that it will provide a privilege log and documents within the next two weeks.

I believe that Dawn is here with a report on the state liaison committee. Ms. Barrios?

THE COURT: I should say that I personally appreciate

the FDA's cooperation. I think that that's very helpful that they're doing that. I appreciate their work.

MR. HERMAN: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Barrios for the state/federal coordination liaison committee.

MS. BARRIOS: Good morning, Your Honor. Dawn Barrios for the state liaison committee.

I've provided to your law clerk today our usual submissions. We have two DVDs of cases that you have pending remands for. We have set up, Your Honor, a conference call tomorrow with Lynn Greer at BrownGreer to review the cases which you have before you with pending remands to see if those claimants have actually been enrolled and registered so we can whittle down the number of valid remands still before you.

With regard to the other issue we've been working on, the nonpersonal injury cases that are still before you. I've provided you with a spreadsheet of the cases in the MDL. There are, by my count, still 49. We've been circulating this list around the country for several weeks. I think we're going to wind up with just 49 nonpersonal injury cases before you.

Of those 49 on the spreadsheet, I've listed the national ones first. There are 15 cases that are nationwide in scope, particularly with regard to class definitions. There are 35 that relate to state matters. There's one case that

2

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

23

22

24 25 alleges both national and one particular state issues.

**THE COURT:** What type of cases are they, basically?

MS. BARRIOS: I've broken them down in the chart. Your Honor. They're third-party payor cases. They're Medicaid/Medicare cases from state attorney generals, return of purchase price, unjust enrichment. But we've broken those down. We're continuing a dialogue with -- the PSC, through Ms. Cabraser, has set up a Friday call that we have every Friday. We're just beginning that. I've been invited to participate in that call.

We're trying to get our arms around a method to present to Your Honor that would be logical on how to group these cases in any bellwether matter.

**THE COURT:** The ones that you've mentioned, are they a multiple-claims or just one claim? You said there were 40 or Is that each of those have a number of claims with it?

MS. BARRIOS: Yes, Your Honor. Yes, Your Honor.

And that's what we're going to look at, the complaints, individually, to see how best to group them.

I've also provided Your Honor something that I've gotten from Judge Higbee yesterday. It's a letter in your packet. She is having a status conference on April 14th for the 14 nonpersonal injury claims before her. I plan on attending that status conference so that I can personally witness what is going on in New Jersey and report back to you

on that. 1 2 **THE COURT:** Okay. 3 MS. BARRIOS: Thank you, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Thank you very much. The next item is 5 the pro se claimants, and we've heard from Mr. Johnston on that 6 previously. Merck's motions is number IX. 7 MR. HERMAN: There are two motions pending, Arnold and Gomez, and I believe they're under advisement. 8 9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 MR. MARVIN: Yes, Your Honor, they are under 11 advisement. There's no change there. 12 **THE COURT:** Any issues relating to Pretrial Order 9? 13 MR. HERMAN: There are no new developments with 14 regard to that at this time, Your Honor. I believe that's because discovery, for the most part, has been stayed. 15 THE COURT: Item XIII is Vioxx suits statistics. 16 17 Anything on that? 18 MR. MARVIN: Your Honor, there's virtually no change 19 in the number of statistics. We'll be having a new report on 20 statistics at the next conference. 21 **THE COURT:** All right. Item XIV is the trial 22 package. 23 MR. HERMAN: Yes. There's been a series of 24 conference calls and work done regarding the trial package,

particularly the outstanding stroke package. Ms. Sanford and

25

N

Mr. Rafferty of that committee are here.

\_\_\_

5

Yesterday I spoke with Mr. Meunier, who gave me a report that the stroke package would be ready for presentation to Your Honor at Your Honor's selection of date and time within the next two weeks, in camera.

There would still be one expert report outstanding. We received an outline, but it won't be ready for 90 days. Because there have been requests, the PSC believes it would be important to go ahead and release the stroke trial package, the MI trial package, now and then supplement the stoke package when that additional expert report is ready.

We've only had, thus far, two requests for trial packages. I'd like to get those expedited within the next three weeks, a week post the time that Your Honor selects for a review.

THE COURT: I think, as I said before, one of the advantages of the MDL process is, of course, the global opportunity for the parties to look and see whether or not the matter can be resolved.

Another aspect of it is the trial packages. It gives the other claimants who choose to proceed in either their respective state jurisdictions, or other jurisdictions, or in this jurisdiction, an opportunity to benefit from all of the discovery that has gone on in the matter.

A trial package is prepared with various

documents and witnesses and it's trial-ready. The individual 1 2 then can garnish that package with case-specific information 3 and their client and proceed to trial. So this is a very 4 important aspect of the whole MDL process. 5 I'll get with counsel and we'll set a date in 6 the very near future. 7 MR. MARVIN: Your Honor, just for equal time, the 8 defense trial package is complete and ready to go. 9 THE COURT: Okav. 10 MR. HERMAN: Would counsel entertain an exchange?

THE COURT: You want to see his, but you don't want

him to see yours? I'm kidding.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HERMAN: Fair is fair.

THE COURT: Other motions?

MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, there are two matters. One is, actually, not in motion form, but I understand will be either by letter or formal motion. Mr. Harrison --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HERMAN: -- as Your Honor knows, has matters that he wants to bring to the Court's attention. The Court has directed that they be placed in writing, and that's been communicated to Mr. Harrison. We would expect some filing directed to Your Honor. I will follow-up, personally, with Mr. Harrison to see that he gets his opportunity to submit whatever writings he deems are necessary.

THE COURT: I know Mr. Harrison has been very 1 thorough in researching this particular matter. I would profit from his information that he has. He's an articulate individual and he can package it properly, and then I will look at it and deal with it accordingly.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The other motion of HRI, I'll take after we're finished with this proceeding. It's almost finished at this time.

**MR. HERMAN:** Your Honor, the third-party payor cases have been previously discussed today by Ms. Barrios. I do want to point out that Ms. Cabraser has organized those efforts and is present in the court. Your Honor, the next status conference --

THE COURT: The next status conference is April 17th at 9:00. I'll see the committees at 8:30 that day. My thinking on the other matters, right now, as you can see, we're trying to focus everyone's attention and take all of their time and effort and talent on trying to move the settlement aspect of it.

There's a lot of documents that have to be collected. A lot of information. A lot of communication has to be made. A lot of effort and time goes into that. I would like for all the parties to focus on that aspect of the case.

When we're finished with that aspect of the case, I think we also have to recognize that when it gets to

6

5

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

the processing of these claims, people going through the gate, hopefully, many will get through the gate; some may trip and not get through the gate, that's going to be a problem that has to be dealt with somewhat. That's the appeal process and the review process.

After some headway in that program, then I want to figure out what's left. I'll be meeting with the parties to determine, first, what's left and then invite their input as to the most efficient and effective way of packaging those what's-left cases and see if we can bellwether some of them to learn more about them in that way and give counsel an opportunity to present the cases, and we'll see how that works out. But right now, I want to focus on the program.

Anything else from anybody? Mr. Becnel?

MR. BECNEL: Your Honor, one of the things I just heard that brought back something is the people who are having lawyer problems of getting enrolled and not getting enrolled.

Sometimes I find that we've all had those kind No matter what we say, no matter what legal arguments we make, no matter what minefields they have, they're just sort of at loggerheads.

I'm particularly concerned about those people that might not be able to get enrolled by May 1st. I was going to suggest something that kind of worked in breast implant. Mr. Levine, Ms. Cabraser and Mr. Blizzard knew that in breast

implant, when settlement was announced, nobody would take anymore cases. People were scrambling and looking try to find a lawyer, couldn't find one. Finally, Judge Pointer asked us to volunteer to do that.

I think our office did about 70 percent of them. They're still, 13 years later right now, they're getting checks. So what I was going to suggest is, pro bono, that our office would be willing to talk to those people once they get here and you have to say, hey, you're out, Mr. Lawyer A.

We have a couple of retired state judges at our office. Judge Marino, who has worked almost two years on this case almost exclusively, that could talk to them. Sometimes a judge, or even you could have one of your magistrates talk to the people after you present the minefields that a pro se claimant now has in the environment that we have concerning preemption and all of the other potential defenses that defendants may have.

I think that might help two people. Number one, it would help the client most of all. Number two, it would help that lawyer who's just kind of in a box of quicksand that he can't get out of.

It would help the Court and the defendants, trying to get these people in, even if it takes a long time and they don't get the prepayment or what have you. I can tell you when we get these checks -- every week we get some of these

breast implant checks now for people and there's no fee in
them.

I mean, if it's under \$10,000, you don't charge
them anything. If it's over that, it was like are 5 percent or
10 percent. I mean, it's unbelievable. But I think that might

help get a lot of those people into this program where they

should and ought to be, especially after all of the work that

I've seen that all of these lawyers have done and having read

6 7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

1617

18

1920

21

23

22

24

25

I think if people would spend time, independent of the lawyer they hired, whether it's me or anybody else, might help the situation. We'd be more than happy to do that pro bono.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you for your suggestions, Mr. Becnel. I appreciate it.

most of the transcripts of all the trials.

Anything else from anyone?

I'll take a five-minute break here and then we'll come back and I'll have argument on the motion. Court will stand in recess.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: All rise.

(WHEREUPON, the Court took a recess.)

\*\*\*\*

## **CERTIFICATE**

I, Jodi Simcox, RMR, Official Court Reporter for the United States District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript, to the best of my ability and understanding, from the record of the proceedings in the above-entitled and numbered matter.

> Jodi Simcox, RMR Official Court Reporter