1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA
2	EASIERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA
3	****************
4	TN DE DOONIETD DOONIOUS Deslet No MOI 1355
5	IN RE: PROPULSID PRODUCTS Docket No. MDL 1355 LIABILITY LITIGATION New Orleans, Louisiana
6	We knesday, January 14, 2005
7	**************************************
8	
9	TRANSCRIPT OF STATUS CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS HEARD BEFORE THE HONORABLE ELDON E. FALLON
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11	
12	APPEARANCES:
13	POD MUD DIAINMIPEG. UDDWAN MARKE ORGEN NIMONDNO C
14	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS: HERMAN, MATHIS, CASEY, KITCHENS & GEREL
15	BY: RUSS M. HERMAN, ESQ. LEONARD A. DAVIS, ESQ.
16	820 O'Keefe Avenue, Suite 100 New Orleans, LA 70113
17	
18	
19	NEBLETT, BEARD & ARSENAULT BY: RICHARD J. ARSENAULT, ESQ.
20	2220 Bonaventure Court Newport Beach, CA 92660
21	
22	BARRIOS, KINGSDORF & CASTEIX
23	BY: DAWN BARRIOS, ESQ. 701 Poydras Street, Suite 3650
24	New Orleans, LA 70119
25	

APPEARANCES CONTINUED: MURRAY LAW FIRM BY: JULIE JACOBS, ESQ. 909 Poydras Street, Suite 2250 New Orleans, LA 70112 Official Court Reporter: Karen A. Ibos, CCR, RPR 500 Poydras Street, Room HB-406 New Orleans, Louisiana 70130 (504) 589-7776 Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript produced by computer.

1 PROC<u>EEDINGS</u> 2 (WEDNESDAY, MAY 25, 2005) 3 4 (MOTION BY THE PLAINTIFF STEERING COMMITTEE FOR AWARD OF 5 ATTORNEY'S FEES AND REIMBURSEMENT OF COSTS) 6 7 THE COURT: Call the first matter, please. 8 THE DEPUTY CLERK: MDL 1355, in re: Propulsid. 9 THE COURT: Counsel make their appearance for the record, 10 please. 11 MR. HERMAN: May it please the court, your Honor, Russ 12 Herman and Leonard Davis of Herman Mathis for the Plaintiffs Steering Committee. 13 14 First of all, your Honor, we want to thank Susan Bryson 15 for her service to the court and in this case in particular. 16 understand that she will be leaving the court very soon. Susan, we 17 thank you, we wish you well, and remember when you play quidditch 18 you use the Nimbus 2005, that's the newest model. 19 THE COURT: You've exhausted five of my clerks in this 20 particular case, four of them have gone and now Susan's about ready 21 to leave. Hopefully we will finish the case. 22 MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, it's true and we did it with all 23 good intentions. Your Honor, we're here to confirm a \$22,500,000 legal fee 24

that was negotiated in connection with the MDL 1355 settlement.

25

want to make -- I make these representations to your Honor as an officer of the court. The fee paid by the defendants was negotiated separately and only after the common fund for the benefit of claimants and only after the settlement fund was negotiated, the administration fund was negotiated.

The administration fund has been operating, the \$15 million negotiated was placed in an account at interest with Deutsche's Bank. The \$70 million plus available for claimants has been placed already in the fund with Deutsche's Bank and the claims procedure is ongoing.

With respect to the fee which we asked to be confirmed, there are numerous cases both in the federal courts and in Louisiana, for example, in re: Combustion from the Western District, and cases from this district that confirm a percentage fees in excess of what we are requesting.

In applying the Johnson factors in terms of skill, in terms of difficulty, in terms of the inability to do other work, in terms of result, we believe that we have performed at levels which justify this fee. Your Honor is knowledgeable of what we have done in superintending and directing this entire matter is superior to ours and you have a point vantage which you must bring to bear in looking at this.

In terms of the commitment as to hours, the total hours which have been forwarded to the court on a form through an independent certified public accountant have been provided to the

court on a monthly basis. As an officer of the court, I say to you that not all hours are the same hours. Some were performed by paralegals, some were performed by first or second year lawyers, some by contract lawyers, and some by very experienced lawyers who were directly appointed by the court and their partners.

It is safe to say that more than 60,000 hours have been spent at one level or another. As to whether how productive they are, as an officer of the court, even if we reduce them by a third, 40,000 hours is significant, particularly in a case of this kind. Two members of the Plaintiffs Legal Committee, our firm tried one of these cases in California unsuccessfully, trial took a couple of months. Mr. Becnel tried one of his cases in this court unsuccessfully. It's a very difficult case and we're frankly very proud of the result.

In terms of shared costs and expenses, over \$2 million

were spent. And by shared costs what I mean most respectfully, your

Honor, is the cost of depositions, experts, the depository, salaried

personnel, the depository itself, copying costs and costs of those

nature that are set out, have been set out on a monthly basis.

In terms of held costs, there are over \$2,400,000 in held costs. A held cost would be airfare, hotel, something of that nature where firms held their own costs until resolution. And basically we're responsible to eat those costs in the event that there wasn't success.

Almost all of the shared costs have been reimbursed

2

3

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

through an assessment of four percent and six percent, four percent in state court cases settled, six percent in terms of federal cases which are resolved. Out of the held costs have not been reimbursed nor have any future reserves been made in connection with the depository, which is obligated to stay open for at least 18 months which has certain rent, salary and other costs attached to it.

With respect to the four percent and six percent, your Honor, those, any future four percent and six percent assessments as we go through the claims process will be held in escrow and will not be disbursed as legal fees or costs, except by contradictory motion brought for your Honor for consideration at some future date. funds will be placed in escrow with Deutsche's Bank with the same investment and interest and protection as the \$22,500,000, or as much as your Honor approves of that amount.

In terms of how lawyers who claim common benefit will be treated, the first situation is that the Plaintiffs Legal Committee appointed by your Honor will send out a questionnaire to each attorney that claims common benefit, and they will be asked to list their employees, the date of hire, the date of termination, their background, their experience in class action work, whether they were contract employees, permanent employees, associates, paralegals or partners.

That questionnaire will be sent to all THE COURT: lawyers?

MR. HERMAN: All lawyers who have submitted common benefit

papers in accord with your Honor's order which was posted and which has been ongoing for almost five years now.

THE COURT: And that includes the State Liaison Committee?

MR. HERMAN: Yes. I am going to get to that in a minute,
your Honor.

For each year there was a sign-in sheet at the Propulsid depository listing names of individuals. Those names have been soldered as to whether they worked a whole day, part of a day, whether they were working on other matters at the same time they were in the depository. The PSC will have to review those, review the questionnaire returns and then determine for each firm seeking common benefit how they, how the common fund will be allocated.

The PSC members themselves will then attempt to arrive at a fair apportionment of fees. The other court appointed lawyers in this case are the State Liaison Committee, and the State Liaison Committee has submitted their hours, they have submitted their expenses in connection with state liaison work, and they will likewise be sent questionnaires before, each one of them afforded the opportunity to present their claim for common benefit fees.

In the event that any fee allocation is not reserved, then at that point a fee committee will be appointed by the PSC and we will attempt to resolve any fee disputes at that point. In the event that we can't do that, I have met with Special Master Juneau. Special Master Juneau is prepared to call each attorney in who has submitted a request for common benefit, review their materials, sit

with the CPA and audit any audits that are necessary, and then make a report to your Honor. And the dispute, if it can't be resolved by the Special Master will then be resolved by yourself.

I am a bit distressed by individuals who have written or called me claiming common benefit fees. I've explained this procedure to them, evidently they don't accept my word for it, and it's a bit of an embarrassment after shepherding this case for five years. Frankly I am offended by it. The order of the court was very clear it was to common benefit, and the fact that that issue has been raised to me personally is insulting. I say that only because there obviously has been some discussion or communication that certain folks were disturbed that they might not be considered for common benefit. I've never been party to such a thing and I won't be party to it.

Notwithstanding that, your Honor, I appreciate the opportunity that you appointed me to the position that I hold and I say to you as an officer of this court, someone who has been familiar with this case from inception, that I believe that the fund is barely adequate to compensate the attorneys that pulled this load. If we just went on an hourly basis, it would be less than what the top lawyers at the New Orleans bar, much less the bars of Philadelphia, New York and other places are able to recover in terms of their functioning hourly rates.

On the other hand, we're contingent fee lawyers and contingent fee lawyers always accept the risk that they're not going

to be well compensated and they should accept the risk, because if
the only motivation in practicing law is to earn a fee, then we
should become butchers or bakers or candlestick makers. The law is
a calling, it's a responsibility. The highest service is to the
client, and of course to this court.

So in sum, your Honor, I feel very comfortable, very safe to say to your Honor that the \$22,500,000 figure that we request to be approved is fair, equitable and reasonable.

In addition to that, your Honor, arrangements have been made to deposit these funds in escrow with Deutsche's Bank. The escrow agreement and the investment agreement have been agreed to based upon whatever you order, whether it's 22,500,000 or some lesser sum, those funds will immediately be deposited. They will not be distributed. And when they are distributed costs will be reimbursed firsts. Verified out of pocket costs will be reimbursed first with some factor to account for the interest and after that common benefit fees will be disbursed.

So I thank your Honor for hearing us this morning, and I am prepared to answer any questions.

THE COURT: All right. Before I ask the questions, anybody else have any comments?

MR. ARSENAULT: Your Honor, I was uncertain with the settlement program whether there needed to be a separate application made by non-PSC members that were claiming common benefit. I spoke to Mr. Herman about that, he was very cooperative and returned my

2

3

1

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

call immediately. He indicated we need make no separate application and he explained to me the protocol that he's just explained to your Honor. It appears imminently fair and we're satisfied with that protocol.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Anybody else? We have it in open court at this point. I instructed counsel to advise everyone of this motion so that it could be in open court so that I could entertain any comments. Hearing none.

I have been familiar, of course, with the work that was done in this matter. I required from the outset a CPA be appointed and that a CPA give me periodic reports, namely on a monthly basis, showing the amounts expended as well as the hours put into the case. I reviewed those as they came in and consistently supervised that type of program.

In looking at this matter I've considered, first considered the number of hours spent. I've also fashioned in my own mind a fee based on a lodestar method, and then after doing so I turned to the various Johnson factors such as time and labor required and time and difficulty of the issues and the skill required to perform the legal services properly to conclusion and, loss of opportunity from other employment, the customary fee looking both at the national customary fee, as well as the customary fee locally and regionally and whether or not the fee was fixed or contingent.

Contingency is the type of fee that was involved in this

particular case, it was a rather dicey case in some instances, some of the scientific aspects of the case were problematic at best and there was some question as to recovery at all. So contingency was meaningful in a case of this sort. The other Johnson factors I considered were, the time limitations imposed by the client and the circumstances which consumed a tremendous amount of time. The time involved, the results obtained it seems to me that all sides benefited from the result obtained in the litigation. Other factors I considered included the experience, reputation and ability of the attorneys I had selected both liaison counsel, lead counsel and also the various committees, including the State Liaison Committee. picked the people that I felt could handle the case and I felt that they were the top people in the field of this type of litigation. I was familiar with them and with their ability. I also considered the desirability or undesirability of the case, the nature and professional relationship with the client, as well as the awards in other cases.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The application that I have before me actually has two aspects in it, one is for approval of the amount of fee negotiated by the committees with opposing counsel, and that's one aspect of it. The other aspect seeks approval for the fee which the court set forth in PT No. 16, which is a percentage, a four percent, six percent of the amounts. I am not going to authorize that amount at the present time.

I've got various state cases that are still outstanding,

as well as other cases. So I don't want to speak on that fee on that disbursal fee at this time, so I am not going to approve a fee on the four and six percent as requested by liaison counsel.

I will address only the \$22,500,000 which has been negotiated. With regard to that amount, I feel that it's an appropriate amount, both based on the load star method as well as a consideration of the Johnson factors, and I would authorize and do authorize the payment of that fee.

I tell liaison counsel, however, that I would like that fee paid in some kind of, disbursed in some kind of increments, that is to say I would not want all of that fee disbursed before a substantial amount of the parties receive their funds, namely the claimants. I would like to see them come forward and get their settlement amounts before the entirety of that fee is disbursed so that we get the program underway and also so that the litigants get paid at the same time or somewhat before the attorneys. That's the way it should be done in this type of litigation.

MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, we will attempt to resolve first --

THE COURT: Costs.

MR. HERMAN: -- benefit held costs.

THE COURT: I understand that.

MR. HERMAN: And at that point I will report to the

24 | court --

THE COURT: Let's take care of all of the costs first, and

then when you get to the fee, if you have any problem with having to 1 2 work out the fee with regard to the disbursement of that fee I would 3 like to make sure that we get the program well underway before 4 that's started. 5 MR. HERMAN: With regard to disbursement, we will notice 6 the court and make a recommendation to the court before any fees are 7 disbursed. THE COURT: All right. I will authorize the \$2,500,000 8 9 fee under that program, disburse the costs first in the manner explained by counsel, and then from the standpoint of the 10 disbursement of the fees I'll await further discussions with 11 12 counsel. MR. HERMAN: Thank you, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Anything further? Okay. Thank you very much. 14 MR. HERMAN: Thank you, Judge. 1.5 (WHEREUPON, THE PROCEEDINGS WERE CONCLUDED.) 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, Karen A. Ibos, CCR, Official Court Reporter, United States
District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, do hereby certify
that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript, to the best of
my ability and understanding, from the record of the proceedings in
the above-entitled and numbered matter.

Karen A. Ibos, CCR, RPR
Official Court Reporter