1	ROUGH DRAF	?T	
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA		
3	į		
4	IN RE: PROPULSID PRODUCT	**************************************	
5	LIABILITY LITIGATION	Section "L" New Orleans, Louisiana	
6		Thursday, April 29, 200 <b>4</b> 9:00 a.m.	
7	**************************************		
8	HEARD BEFORE THE HONORABLE ELDON E. FALLON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
9 .			
10	APPEARANCES: LIAISON COUNSEL FOR		
11	ВУ	CRMAN, MATHIS, CASEY & KITCHENS T: LEONARD A. DAVIS, ESQUIRE	
12	•	20 O'Keefe Avenue ew Orleans, LA 70113	
13			
14	ВУ	MMERMAN, REED, P.L.L.P.  CHARLES ZIMMERMAN, ESQ.  North Third Street, Suite 100	
15		nneapolis, MN 55401	
16	, ne		
17	ВУ	CBLETT, BEARD & ARSENAULT C: JOHN R. WHALEY, ESQ.  C20 Bonaventure Court	
18	i e	ewport Beach, CA 92660	
19	нд	ARTLEY, O'BRIEN, 'PARSONS,	
20	TH	OMPSON & HILL  C: BARRY HILL, ESQ.	
21	13	325 National Road Reeling, WV 26003	
22		reeling, wv 20003	
23	MU BY	URRAY LAW FIRM ': JULIE JACOBS, ESQ.	
24	90	Poydras Street, Suite 2550 W Orleans, LA 70112	
25			

1	ROUGH DRA	FT
2		
3	APPEARANCES CONTINUED:	
4		
5		ECNEL, LANDRY & BECNEL Y: DANIEL E. BECNEL, JR., ESQ.
6		VIRGINIE RAMIREZ 06 West Seventh Street
7	R	eserve, LA 70084-0508
8		ARRIOS, KINGSDORF & CASTEIX
9	7	Y: DAWN BARRIOS, ESQ. 01 Poydras Street, Suite 3650 ew Orleans, LA 70119
10		ew Offeans, LA 70119
11	1	AUTHIER, DOWNING, LaBARRE, DEAN & ULZER, L.L.P.
12	В	Y: JAMES R. DUGAN, ESQ. 500 North Hullen Street
13	•	etairie, LA 70002
14	T.	EVIN, FISHBEIN
15	В	Y: FRED S. LONGER, ESQ. 10 Walnut Street, Suite 500
16	•	hiladelphia, PA 19301
17	FOR DEFENDANTS:	RWIN, FRITCHIE, URQUHART &
18	M	OORE, L.L.C. Y: JAMES B. IRWIN, ESQUIRE
19		MONIQUE GARSAUD, ESQUIRE 00 Poydras Street, Suite 2700
20		ew Orleans, LA 70130
21	)	RINKER, BIDDLE & SHANLEY
22	В	Y: THOMAS F. CAMPION, ESQ. 00 Campus Drive
23	· ·	lorham Park, NJ 07932-1047
24	P	REUSS, SHANAGHER, ZVOLEFF & ZIMMER
25	В	Y: CHARLES F. PREUSS, ESQ. 25 Bush Street, 15th Floor

• -

1	ROUGH	DRAFT
2		San Francisco, CA 94104-4207
3		
4	APPEARANCES CONTINUED:	
5	FOR BLUE CROSS/ BLUE SHIELD:	WALLACE, JORDAN, RATLIFF & BRANDT
6		BY: KIMBERLY R. WEST, ESQ. 800 Shores Creek Parkway, Suite 400
7		Birmingham, AL 35209
8		
9		
10	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER:	Karen A. Ibos, CCR, RPR 501 Magazine Street, Room 406
11		New Orleans, Louisiana 70130 (504) 589-7776
12		
13	Proceedings recorded by med	chanical stenography, transcript
14	produced by computer.	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

# ROUGH DRAFT PROCEEDINGS

(STATUS CONFERENCE)

(THURSDAY, APRIL 29, 2004)

THE COURT: Be seated, please. Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Let's call the case.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: MDL No. 1355, in re: Propulsid

Products Liability.

THE COURT: Counsel, make your appearance for the record, please.

MR. ZIMMERMAN: Charles Zimmerman, your Honor, Zimmerman, Reed for the Plaintiffs Steering Committee.

MR. DAVIS: James Dugan with Gauthier Downing for the PFC. Good morning, your Honor.

MR. IRWIN: Good morning. Judge, Jim Irwin for the defendants.

THE COURT: We're here today for the monthly status conference. As is usual, I met with liaison counsel in preparation for the conference and discussed many of the issues. I'll take them in order.

The first, the Update of Rolling Document

Discovery and Electronic Document Production. Anything on
that?

MR. IRWIN: Judge, since Mr. Herman is engaged in

combat this morning in other venues, it was agreed that I would attempt to take the lead in addressing the court on the agenda this morning.

As the joint report indicates, we have completed the document production. The only outstanding issue now is, on the table is our effort to craft a pretrial order providing for the suspension of some of the preservation measures that are in place. Those measures are obviously expensive. And since the materials that are being preserved now, the materials that are only generated at the very tail end of these issues, we felt and we don't think there is any substantial disagreement from the PFC, that a proper supplementation of Pretrial Order No. 10 would provide for an appropriate suspension of these preservation measures.

It's complicated though because preservation of these materials is a complicated process in terms of preserving electronic documents on a live server. So we are trying to make sure we get it correct and submit the language that would make sure we didn't make any mistakes and trip up inadvertently.

So we are working on that, Ken Conour is working on drafting that order and talking with Johnson & Johnson and Janssen about that.

THE COURT: That's a significant area because these are

18 .

cases that may need to be tried in other jurisdictions or in the states, they have an interest in this issue because it may affect the material that they will be using or will have access to. When can that be done?

MR. IRWIN: We are hoping that by the next conference, which we understand is going to be on June 11th, that we will be concluded with that. We will have language prepared and submit it to the Plaintiffs Steering Committee for their review, and they will obviously have to have it reviewed by their electronics experts; and hopefully by June 11th we will be able to submit a proposed amendment to Pretrial Order No. 10.

THE COURT: Let's do that then by the next status conference, and give me a copy of it the day before when you send me the agenda for the meeting so that I will have an opportunity to look at it.

Next one is State Liaison Counsel. Anything from the State Liaison Counsel?

MS. BARRIOS: Good morning, your Honor. Dawn Barrios for the State Liaison Counsel. Considering your comments at the last status conference about the state's possible involvement in any resolution of the Propulsid cases in state court, I believe the defendants are aware that we stand ready, willing and able to help in any regard in that fashion.

THE COURT: Okay. We have a couple of loose ends to tie up on this. I think once we get the momentum, we have a lot of momentum started, but once it's congealed a little bit I think that hopefully we'll be able to do something. It's important that you keep up with it though and keep an eye on it.

MS. BARRIOS: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Anybody else from the state? Okay. What about Patient Profile Forms?

MR. IRWIN: Your Honor, we have agreed, as reported at the last conference and we will maintain at the present conference, that the defendants will suspend filing of PTO-9 motions with respect to outstanding patient profile forms pending the settlement process.

THE COURT: Let's not do that indefinitely because if you still have 800, 837 that are overdue, if they're not participating we'll dismiss them. From a political standpoint it may not be opportune at this time, but let's keep an eye on that.

MR. IRWIN: Yes, sir. Service List of Attorneys, we have the current list.

MR. ZIMMERMAN: Your Honor, on the overdue claim form issue. The PSC, of course, doesn't want to seek dismissal because they have the claim form option. And so we would like

to at least be heard on that at the time it becomes ripe for settlement.

THE COURT: I will, I just don't want to just not do anything and not address it. I've tried to give two and three and four notices to people who are interested in participating in it. If they're not focused on it or not interested or not responding, we can't let those folks pull down the rest of the people.

MR. ZIMMERMAN: Right. But our communication has been there is a claim form coming so don't do anything because you're going to have the opportunity to file a claim form.

THE COURT: I understand, I see that point.

MR. IRWIN: It is your impression that a great number of these outstanding PPF's are outstanding from lawyers and persons who we expect will enroll. So we're encouraged by that.

THE COURT: All right. The Motion on Class Certification.

MR. IRWIN: Yes, your Honor. The PLC has indicated that it is putting that on hold pending settlement process for the time being. We intend to talk to them about that.

THE COURT: Okay. I won't address that, but I have a note to myself to follow-up on that. So I won't do it at this point, but I am interested in resolving that.

As I see it with the class certification issue, the issue's going to be whether or not there are class actions in the various states. The question is whether I send them back to the states to make that determination or whether I do it here and send them back as a class action. So that's the big issues that I will be dealing with.

Plaintiffs' and Defendants' Respective Requests for Production of Documents.

MR. IRWIN: Your Honor, we discussed that a little bit this morning in chambers in preparation for the conference this morning, and it is our impression that the court prefers instead of a brief from us that we submit merely a letter listing the cases that were contained in the draft brief that we sent to Plaintiffs' Steering Committee.

THE COURT: We're talking about 803(6) issues, and the parties at the last conference were told that I'd like to get from them a brief description of the document and the reason why it should be admitted or should not be admitted. And I mentioned to counsel that I would like to have that within a week. Give me any case names or case citations that support your position, that's fine. If I need any additional briefing on it, I'll get to you and give you dates for it. So within one week give me that material, please.

MR. ZIMMERMAN: We understand that and that was the

agreement made in chambers, your Honor. We just want to make sure that the letter brief is limited to cases as opposed to argument.

THE COURT: I think I know the cases, but give me a list of cases but if you have more I'll look at those. But I just see this -- I really don't need a brief at this time.

MR. IRWIN: Judge, the PSC is requesting if we can have two weeks on that instead of one week to submit the whole final package to you.

THE COURT: Any problem with that?

MR. IRWIN: We have no problem with that.

THE COURT: Okay. Two weeks then.

MR. IRWIN: Your Honor, the stipulation concerning the use of electronic data, this provides for the preservation of the hard drives on the sales reps laptops, and it was agreed that these would be preserved. And if in the event it was needed to retrieve information off of a hard drive, we agreed it would be done at the transfer to a lower court. We have agreed now on the final pretrial order to submit to your Honor for consideration, and we will get that to you by the close of business tomorrow.

THE COURT: Trust Accounts, anything from the plaintiff?

MR. IRWIN: I think we are current with respect to the

1 ROUGH DRAFT 2 issues surrounding the trust account. 3 MR. ZIMMERMAN: Yes. Your Honor, there has only been one addition to the trust account in the interim, and there is 5 no further report on the trust account. 6 THE COURT: Eight is Declassified Documents. 7 MR. IRWIN: And by the same token, your Honor, there is nothing to be reported on that. And I think that probably 8 9 could be removed from the agenda. 10 THE COURT: All right. Mediation is the next item. 11 MR. IRWIN: There have been no mediations, Judge, 12 pending the program, resolution program. 13 THE COURT: And Trial Schedule, any trials throughout the country, any trials in other states? 14 MR. IRWIN: I believe that there has been one case 15 scheduled in Pennsylvania, perhaps Mr. Campion can address 16 that. 17 MR. CAMPION: There is one scheduled for trial at the 18 end of June, but the expectation is that it will be resolved. 19 20 THE COURT: What about the Indemnity Agreements? 21 MR. IRWIN: We continue to provide indemnity agreements when appropriate and when requested. I do not believe we have 22 been requested to provide any since the last status conference, 23 but we keep that on the agenda so that we can update the court 24

with respect to any development.

THE COURT: The End Game Committee, any report from Mr. Zimmerman?

MR. ZIMMERMAN: Yes, your Honor. The term sheet is now completed and will be posted, I believe, forthwith on the court's web site. There are no further issues with regard to the term sheet. The claim forms have been drafted, there are a couple of language issues that we are going to meet today on, and we have committed to the court that the claim forms will be resolved within two weeks and submit it to your Honor.

To the extent they are not resolvable between the parties in two weeks, we will submit them to your Honor in opposing forms and your Honor will make the call on any issues that separate us within the claim forms.

At that point everything is ready to go. All of the administrative issues are still in play with regard to selecting panels and administrative offices, but all of that is also in the works; and I can report to the court that we are quite a ways along in exchanging the names of panelists, who will be on the medical panel, and selecting administrators, of course we have that, we have Patrick Juneau, and then an assistant to Mr. Juneau, that issue is still in play, but we have been interviewing candidates.

So I guess I'm very pleased to report after some delay that we are ready to go and within two weeks the claim

1 2

forms will be finished, and we still are trying to hold to that August deadline, although there may be some extension of that enrollment date, if necessary, but we are holding to that date at this point.

THE COURT: Okay. And let's get the term sheet to the government through Tom Watson so that we can keep an eye on Medicare claims and have that moved along at the same time so that we're not dealing with any escrows or anything of that sort that might delay or might derail the issue.

Let's see. We have two weeks for the claim forms. Either agree on one or give me two and I'll have one from you the next day.

What is the other issue that you were going to deal with?

MR. IRWIN: I think, Judge, we agree that it's going to be very helpful to the court, for the court's involvement. We agree that this conference call that we scheduled I believe on the 18th of May to report to the court on that is going to be very help. We're optimistic we'll be able to get this thing concluded by then.

In all respects, these must be something that we necessarily agree upon, these forms and these term sheets are part of a settlement that we must agree upon. And I thought it was important for us to say that.

THE COURT: I understand that. But my sense of this and once it starts down this road, we've got some momentum going and I'm afraid if we let that drop it's going, we're going to have more problems. So we have to get a form out there, we have to get something that's agreeable that people can fill out and file. You have 800 and some odd now that are delinquent, and the reason they're delinquent plaintiff says is that they're waiting for forms. So we're going to have more and more of that. And then there is a certain unrest that once that starts that can present problems. So we need to do that.

MR. IRWIN: We agree with that, Judge, and we want to resolve the issues surrounding the claim form. I believe we have an agreement on the enrollment form, and we should be able to have this re involved by the 18th of May.

THE COURT: Global Application of Daubert.

MR. IRWIN: We've agreed that we will suspend activity on that pending the program, the implementation of the program.

And by the same token I believe that the parties agree that they will suspend activity on motions to withdraw as counsel of record pending the implementation of the program.

THE COURT: Stipulation and Pre-Trial Order Providing for Use at Trial of Depositions in State Court and Federal Court.

MR. IRWIN: We have exchanged drafts a couple of times,

Judge. We got some comments back from the PSC I believe yesterday or perhaps the day before. We need to talk about it, they suggested a couple of changes that are still under discussion.

THE COURT: That's something that the PSC's got to touch base with the states on so that you can get it in a form that they're satisfied with, too. It's one of the aspects that we've been trying to do with 'this case, and hopefully it'll be helpful toward the ultimate resolution of it. But that's where you need to move.

CIS-NED-32 Depositions.

MR. IRWIN: As is described in the joint report, Judge, the efforts to conduct that deposition have been canceled pending the resolution of the program and that as was reported last month.

And the same can be said with respect to the next item on the agenda concerning the possible summary judgment activity regarding parental liability, Johnson & Johnson liability.

THE COURT: And then there is a Motion to Dismiss for Failure to Exhaust Administrative Claims and Notice and Order to Substitute the United States as a defendant. I think I substituted the United States as a defendant. I haven't received any response to the motion to dismiss, I'll,

1 2

3

6

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

therefore, grant the motion to dismiss.

The next one is Stipulation and Order Appointing
Patrick Juneau as Special Master in Compliance with Rule 53.
There has been some amendment to Rule 53, and I sent it to
counsel to take a look at and give me a draft of that
stipulation.

MR. IRWIN: We have prepared a proposed order in compliance with the amendment to Rule 53. It was submitted yesterday, perhaps the day before, to the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee. It is my impression that they are generally okay with it, a couple of small tweaks that they want to talk to us about this morning. We will be meeting on that this morning and a couple of other things after the conference. We then need, of course, to circulate it to Mr. Juneau and we will do so promptly.

THE COURT: Let's get it to Mr. Juneau, and I hope to have that from you in week.

MR. ZIMMERMAN: I am happy to report, your Honor, we did review the draft. Only amendments that we're concerned about are structural in terms of language and ways to make things a little more clear, but we have no substantive problems. We're going to get that to Mr. Juneau after we exchange our draft with defense counsel, and we should have that down the road and completed very quickly.

THE COURT: Let's do that within a week. Blue Cross/Blue Shield Request for Term Sheet.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$  IRWIN: I met Ms. West this morning, she has very kindly introduced herself to me.

MS. WEST: Kimberly West from Birmingham. Nice to meet you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Good to see you, Ms. West.

MR. IRWIN: And I conveyed to her that the government was also interested in access to the term sheet. We thought that we would be able to provide that access. She agreed that if the access was provided before the general publication of the term sheet that they would afford all of the necessary confidentiality. I told her we would be back in touch with her very promptly about that.

THE COURT: I am concerned about confidentiality,

Ms. West. I've been putting the final drafts on the web site

rather than have it filtered through all sorts of places in

various stages so as to create misinformation or incomplete

information.

MS. WEST: If I could, your Honor. Certainly our plans have no interest in the term sheet other than they have reimbursement, subrogation rights. It's very close to the government's claims.

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. WEST: They seek access only to assure themselves that those have been appropriately dealt with, and if there are any issues to bring to the court's attention before the program or the party's attention and hopefully resolve them. Any appropriate confidentiality protective order, anything that your Honor would request, we're more than willing to agree to. We have no interest in making it public or disseminating it beyond myself and my clients for any purpose whatsoever.

THE COURT: I want the public to see it, but I want the public to see it when it's finished and when it's drafted and when it's in its final form. Anything else?

MR. IRWIN: We're satisfied with Ms. West's representation on the record that she will maintain this confidentiality, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything further?

MR. ZIMMERMAN: No, your Honor, other than the next setting of the next status.

THE COURT: The next status conference, I talked to you all in chambers, will be Friday, June the 11th. And I'd like a status conference in the meantime in-between then, a telephone status conference to see how we're doing with all of the things we talked about here today. And I'll have that telephone status conference on May the 18th at 1:30, and I can do that by phone. Just give me a list of the people who are going to

participate and we'll arrange to get them on the line at that time.

At that status conference I'm mainly interested in the items that we talked about that you would get done within a week or two weeks. Anything further?

MR. BECNEL: Can I address this Medicare problem?

Because with Mr. Irwin's firm, we resolved the Rezulin case six months ago and we still don't have the Medicare.

THE COURT: What we've done is the government is involved in it, I met with them this morning, they're on board, and hopefully that will be resolved and then they'll give it to Pat Juneau to resolve at the same time as the other things. I want that resolved, I don't want it hanging out there.

So we have started that process, I've asked the government to sit in on the conferences, they have done so the last two months, they have participated; and they're participating in the drafting or at least they're aware of the drafting of the forms, and they have their Washington counterparts up to speed on it.

MR. BECNEL: I have been dealing with Dallas and no one will ever give you a decision, they just keep you hanging.

THE COURT: I don't think we will have that problem here, but I appreciate you bringing it to my attention.

Anything further? Okay. Thank you very much, the