## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION

IN RE: NUVARING PRODUCTS	)
LIABILITY LITIGATION	)
	)Case No. 4:08-MD-01964 RWS
	)

STATUS CONFERENCE VIA TELEPHONE
BEFORE THE HONORABLE RODNEY W. SIPPEL
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
OCTOBER 4, 2012

**APPEARANCES** 

For Plaintiffs: Kristine Kraft, Esq.

Ashley Brittain-Landers, Esq.

SCHLICHTER AND BOGARD

100 S. Fourth Street, Suite 900

St. Louis, MO 63101

Nicolas R. Farnolo, Esq.

NAPOLI AND BERN 350 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10118

For Defendants: Melissa A. Geist, Esq.

REED SMITH, LLP

136 Main Street, Suite 250

Princeton, NJ 08540

Thomas J. Yoo, Esq. REED SMITH, LLP

355 S. Grand Avenue, Suite 2900

Los Angeles, CA 90071

(Appearanced continued on Page 2)

REPORTED BY: SHANNON L. WHITE, RMR, CRR, CSR, CCR

Official Court Reporter

United States District Court

111 South Tenth Street, Third Floor

St. Louis, MO 63102

(314) 244-7966

PRODUCED BY COURT REPORTER COMPUTER-AIDED TRANSCRIPTION

## (PROCEEDINGS STARTED AT 10:38 AM.) 1 2 THE COURT: All right. Well, we're here this morning 3 in the multidistrict case styled In Re: NuvaRing Products 4 Liability Litigation, Cause No. 4:08-MD-1964. Would counsel 5 make their appearances? On behalf of the plaintiffs? MS. KRAFT: Kristine Kraft. 6 7 MS. BRITTAIN: Ashley Brittain-Landers for plaintiff. 8 THE COURT: Anyone else for plaintiffs? 9 MR. FARNOLO: Nick Farnolo from Napoli Bern, Hunter 10 Shkolnik. 11 THE COURT: Anyone else? 12 MS. KRAFT: No, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: On behalf of the defendant? 14 MR. BALL: Dan Ball for defendants. 15 MS. GEIST: Melissa Geist from Reed Smith, Your 16 Honor, for defendants. Good morning. 17 MR. YOO: And Thomas Yoo as well. 18 THE COURT: Very good. We're here today on a status 19 conference. The only -- and I could always miss something, 20 but the only agenda item I have received was from Ms. Kraft as 21 to the supplemental document production. 22 MS. KRAFT: Yes, Your Honor. I can certainly address

that now if you're ready.

THE COURT: Might as well. Go ahead.

23

24

25

MS. KRAFT: Yes. With respect to that, actually,

\_

Melissa and I have spoken further, and we believe that we will be able to propose to the Court a proposed order with respect to the timing of production.

We have talked very generally about a proposal of every 30 days pertaining to regulatory-type communications regarding the recent communications with the FDA on various topics and another timetable yet to be determined with respect to other types of document supplemental production.

So we'll continue working together on that and intend to submit a proposal to the Court in short order. So I believe we've worked through that pretty well.

THE COURT: All right. Anything else on behalf of the plaintiffs?

MS. KRAFT: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Anything on behalf of the defendant?

MS. GEIST: No, Your Honor. This is Melissa Geist. Your Honor is correct, we did not submit an agenda. We did not have any particular items to bring before the Court, and everything Ms. Kraft said is accurate. We are working that out. So we have no additional items to discuss with Your Honor today.

THE COURT: All right. <u>Daubert</u> motions are at issue in approximately a month. Case-specific <u>Daubert</u> motions are at issue the first of January, along with summary judgment

motions.

Any issues with that schedule at this point? I hope not.

MR. YOO: Your Honor, this is Thomas Yoo. I think we discussed this at the last case management conference. I don't want to misstate the record, but as I recall, we reported to Your Honor certain developments in the New Jersey litigation, namely, that Judge Martinotti thought it prudent to continue on with the set briefing schedule for the <a href="Daubert/Kemp">Daubert/Kemp</a> challenges but to hear the summary judgment motions first. And I think Your Honor was in agreement with that sort of flipping of at least the hearings.

And so the briefing will be timely completed on the <a href="Daubert">Daubert</a> motions, and the case-specific <a href="Daubert">Daubert</a> motions will be timely filed per the briefing schedule, and we, of course, have a summary judgment briefing schedule in place. It's been our expectation since the last discussion with Your Honor and Judge Martinotti that the two courts would hear the respective summary judgment motions first, and then when attention is turned to the <a href="Daubert/Kemp">Daubert/Kemp</a> motions as necessary, that we would try to work out some coordination between Your Honor and Judge Martinotti.

THE COURT: Logistically -- well, philosophically, unless there's a different view from the plaintiffs, I don't have a problem with that. Logistically, it's my understanding

you're appearing in front of Judge Martinotti the second week of December for oral argument on summary judgment motions. Is that right?

MS. GEIST: That's correct, Your Honor. This is

Melissa Geist again, Your Honor. Judge Martinotti did set

December 10 and December 11 for oral argument on the summary

judgment motions filed in the nine bellwether cases in New

Jersey.

THE COURT: And our summary judgment motions aren't at issue until the 7th. And then he still has a trial setting to my understanding in February; is that correct?

MS. GEIST: That is correct, Your Honor. That has not yet been moved.

THE COURT: So we'll have our backs to the wall. The <a href="Daubert">Daubert</a> motions here aren't at issue until January 7, depending on his ruling on the summary judgment motions, to coordinate a <a href="Daubert">Daubert</a> hearing in probably in his court, at least that's the way he and Judge Herndon did it in Yaz, but we'll cross each bridge when we get to it.

But logistically timing-wise, we're putting ourselves -- well, we'll see where it takes us. But you understand what I'm saying without articulating my problem. You guys have your backs to the wall.

MR. YOO: Yes, Your Honor. I appreciate where the Court is coming from. I think logistically a couple of pieces

```
-
```

of this are going to have to be adjusted in order for everyone's schedule to work, but as Your Honor observed, we can deal with that as we move forward. So we've got future case management conferences coming up where we can have further discussions about --

THE COURT: When do you talk to Judge Martinotti again?

MS. GEIST: This is Melissa Geist again. Let me just check our calendar and see when we are there. We are not there, Your Honor, again until November 14.

THE COURT: Okay. Why don't we set a status conference here for November 19, I assume by telephone, at 10:30.

MR. YOO: Your Honor, November 19 is the one day that is not available for me.

THE COURT: How about November 20?

MR. YOO: That works for me.

THE COURT: 10:30, November 20, for a status conference.

MS. KRAFT: Fine, Your Honor. Thanks.

THE COURT: And I still have -- and you all were working through it, but just confirm for me that there are no loose ends with the 30(b)(6) witness issues that arose out of the authentication of documents. That was the plaintiffs' concern. Have we moved past that?

MS. KRAFT: Your Honor, this is Kris Kraft. Melissa and I have made significant progress in that regard, and coincidently, we are scheduled to talk again this afternoon. So at this point we didn't have any further issues on that to bring to your attention.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to go ahead and term the pending motion on the idea that at a minimum, whatever will be left will be so -- would be a much smaller universe and discrete issues.

MS. KRAFT: Yes. I think that's certainly --

THE COURT: You can revisit it with me as to the nature and scope of whatever remains after you and Ms. Geist work it out and you tell me that you're done.

MS. KRAFT: Okay.

MS. GEIST: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: We'll talk to you on November 20. Thank you all.

(PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED AT 10:48 AM.)

## CERTIFICATE

I, Shannon L. White, Registered Merit Reporter and
Certified Realtime Reporter, hereby certify that I am a duly
appointed Official Court Reporter of the United States
District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri.

I further certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings held in the above-entitled case and that said transcript is a true and correct transcription of my stenographic notes.

I further certify that this transcript contains pages 1 through 9 inclusive and that this reporter takes no responsibility for missing or damaged pages of this transcript when same transcript is copied by any party other than this reporter.

Dated at St. Louis, Missouri, this 9th day of October, 2012.

<sup>/</sup>s/Shannon L. White Shannon L. White, RMR, CRR, CCR, CSR Official Court Reporter